

December 30, 2019

Mr. Daniel Guthrie Manager – Energy New Source Review Permits Section Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) – MC 163 12100 Park 35 Circle Austin, TX 78753

Re: QuikTrip/PowerSecure, Inc. Midlothian, TX RN106208655/CN600241673 Minor New Source Review Permit Application

Dear Mr. Guthrie:

QuikTrip Distribution (QuikTrip) retained PowerSecure, Inc. (PowerSecure) to permit the operation of three new generator sets (gensets) for both emergency and non-emergency use (Project) at its Distribution Center (Facility), located at 4200 Railport Parkway in Midlothian, TX. Each genset is driven by a diesel fuel-fired Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engine (RICE). This submittal is the Minor New Source Review (NSR) Permit Application (Application) for the proposed Project.

Application

This Application is submitted in accordance with the provisions of 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 116, Subchapter B: *NSR Permits* and consists of the following information:

- Project Description
- Facility Location Map and Plot Plan
- Process Flow Diagram
- Summary of Emissions and Emissions Calculations
- TCEQ Forms
 - o TCEQ 20833a: PI-1 General Application, Version 4.0
 - TCEQ 10166: Table 7(b) Horizontal Fixed Roof Storage Tank Summary
 - TCEQ 10169: Table 7(e) Chemical Data Information
 - TCEQ 10195: Table 29 Reciprocating Engines
- Regulatory Applicability Analyses
- Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Determinations
- Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW)
- Engine and Fuel Specifications
- Sample Calculations
- ALL4 Quality Professional (AQP) Seal
- Fee Receipt

Project Description

QuikTrip is seeking approval to permit the operation of three gensets driven by diesel fuel-fired RICE [referred to as Engine Generators (EG)-1, EG-2, and EG-3] for both emergency and non-emergency use at the Facility. Although the Application is to permit non-emergency operation, the intent of the engines is to serve in both emergency and non-emergency situations as described in the following paragraph. The proposed EG-1, EG-2, and EG-3 are placed onsite, and while this Application for non-emergency service is being processed, the gensets would operate for emergency use only under the conditions of a permit by rule (PBR). In accordance with 30 TAC §106.4: Requirements for Permitting by Rule and §106.511: Portable and Emergency Engines and Turbines, this emergency operation does not require registration or fees. As applicable, QuikTrip will maintain records required pursuant to 30 TAC §106.8.

The proposed genset engines are Volvo TWD1673GE model certified Tier 4 Final (i.e., Tier 4f) units rated at 931 brake horsepower (bhp) each. The engines receive fuel from one, dedicated and integrated, 3,000-gallon ultra-low sulfur diesel (ULSD) storage tank (TK-1). Each engine is equipped with selective catalytic reduction (SCR) to control emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO_X) as part of its Tier 4f configuration. Once permitted, the engines will operate for the following emergency and non-emergency purposes:

- Emergency situations, for example but not limited to unstable grid conditions, power outages, and similar events.
- Emergency Response Service (ERS) managed by the Electric Reliability Council of Texas (ERCOT). ERCOT is the non-profit corporation that oversees the Texas power grid. As such, ERCOT selects qualified loads and generators to make themselves available for deployment in an electric grid emergency.
- Non-emergency situations, for example, participation in the 4 Coincident Peak (4CP) program, maintenance, readiness testing, demand response program participation and electric power for the Facility.

Each engine will operate no more than 500 hours per year in emergency and nonemergency situations. Therefore, QuikTrip is proposing a federally enforceable permit limit of 500 hours per rolling 12-month period for each engine. Should you have any questions related to this submittal or require additional information, please contact Tanner Henson at <u>thenson@all4inc.com</u> or 281-937-7553 x308 or me at <u>Krudd@quiktrip.com</u> or 918-615-7233.

Sincerely, QuikTrip/PowerSecure, Inc.

Kyla Rudd Environmental Project Manager

cc: Trisha Victor, PowerSecure Kristin Gordon, P.E. – ALL4 Tanner Henson – ALL4



Executive Summary

QuikTrip Distribution (QuikTrip) retained PowerSecure, Inc. (PowerSecure) to permit the operation of three new generator sets (gensets) for both emergency and non-emergency use (Project) at its Distribution Center (Facility), located at 4200 Railport Parkway in Midlothian, TX. Each genset is driven by a diesel fuel-fired Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engine (RICE). This submittal is the Minor New Source Review (NSR) Permit Application (Application) for the proposed Project. The Facility details are provided below.

QuikTrip Corporation Midlothian, TX RN106208655/CN600241673

Introduction

This Application is submitted via the State of Texas Environmental Electronic Reporting System (STEERS) in accordance with the provisions of 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 116, Subchapter B: *NSR Permits* and consists of the following information. The bolded items are included in this section:

- Process Description
- ALL4 Quality Professional (AQP) Seal
- TCEQ 20833a: PI-1 General Application, Version 4.0
- Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW)
- Figures
 - Facility Location Map
 - o Plot Plan
 - o Process Flow Diagram
- Regulatory Applicability Analyses
- Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Determinations
- Summary of Emissions and Emissions Calculations
- Sample Calculations
- Equipment Tables
 - o TCEQ 10166: Table 7(b) Horizontal Fixed Roof Storage Tank Summary
 - o TCEQ 10169: Table 7(e) *Chemical Data Information*
 - o TCEQ 10195: Table 29 Reciprocating Engines
- Engine and Fuel Specifications

Should you have any questions related to this submittal or require additional information, please contact Tanner Henson at thenson@all4inc.com or 281-937-7553 x308 or me at Krudd@quiktrip.com or 918-615-7233.



Process Description

QuikTrip is seeking approval to permit the operation of three gensets driven by diesel fuel-fired RICE [referred to as Engine Generators (EG)-1, EG-2, and EG-3] for both emergency and nonemergency use at the Facility. Although the Application is to permit non-emergency operation, the intent of the engines is to serve in both emergency and non-emergency situations as described in the following paragraph. The proposed EG-1, EG-2, and EG-3 are placed onsite, and while this Application for non-emergency service is being processed, the gensets would operate for emergency use only under the conditions of a permit by rule (PBR). In accordance with 30 TAC \$106.4: Requirements for Permitting by Rule and \$106.511: Portable and Emergency Engines and Turbines, this emergency operation does not require registration or fees. As applicable, QuikTrip will maintain records required pursuant to 30 TAC \$106.8.

The proposed genset engines are Volvo TWD1673GE model certified Tier 4 Final (i.e., Tier 4f) units rated at 931 brake horsepower (bhp) each. The engines receive fuel from one, dedicated and integrated, 3,000-gallon ultra-low sulfur diesel (ULSD) storage tank (TK-1). Each engine is equipped with selective catalytic reduction (SCR) to control emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO_X) as part of its Tier 4f configuration. Once permitted, the engines will operate for the following emergency and non-emergency purposes:

Emergency situations, for example but not limited to unstable grid conditions, power outages, and similar events.

Emergency Response Service (ERS) managed by the Electric Reliability Council of Texas (ERCOT). ERCOT is the non-profit corporation that oversees the Texas power grid. As such, ERCOT selects qualified loads and generators to make themselves available for deployment in an electric grid emergency.

Non-emergency situations, for example, maintenance, readiness testing, demand response program participation and electric power for the Facility.



Each engine will operate no more than 500 hours per year in emergency and non-emergency situations. Therefore, QuikTrip is proposing a federally enforceable permit limit of 500 hours per rolling 12-month period for each engine.



ALL4 Quality Professional (AQP) Seal



Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Case-by-Case New Permit

Site Information (Regulated Entity)

| | What is the name of the site to be authorized? | QUIKTRIP DISTRIBUTION |
|-----|--|-----------------------|
| | Does the site have a physical address? | Yes |
| | Physical Address | |
| | Number and Street | 4200 RAILPORT PKWY |
| | City | MIDLOTHIAN |
| | State | ТХ |
| | ZIP | 76065 |
| | County | ELLIS |
| | Latitude (N) (##.######) | |
| | Longitude (W) (-###.######) | |
| | Primary SIC Code | |
| | Secondary SIC Code | |
| | Primary NAICS Code | 311812 |
| | Secondary NAICS Code | |
| | Regulated Entity Site Information | |
| | What is the Regulated Entity's Number (RN)? | RN106208655 |
| | What is the name of the Regulated Entity (RE)? | QUIKTRIP DISTRIBUTION |
| | Does the RE site have a physical address? | Yes |
| | Physical Address | |
| | Number and Street | 4200 RAILPORT PKWY |
| | City | MIDLOTHIAN |
| | State | ТХ |
| | ZIP | 76065 |
| | County | ELLIS |
| | Latitude (N) (##.######) | |
| | Longitude (W) (-###.#######) | |
| | Facility NAICS Code | 311812 |
| | What is the primary business of this entity? | FLEET REFUELING |
| Cus | stomer (Applicant) Information | |
| | How is this applicant associated with this site? | Operator |
| | What is the applicant's Customer Number (CN)? | CN600241673 |
| | Type of Customer | Corporation |
| | Full legal name of the applicant: | |

https://www19.tceq.texas.gov/ePermitsExternal/faces/views/reports/applicationSummaryReport.xhtml?appId=334088

Legal Name

ApplicationSummaryReport

| | Texas SOS Filing Number | 12299906 |
|-------|--|-----------------------------------|
| | Federal Tax ID | 730675375 |
| | State Franchise Tax ID | 17306753751 |
| | State Sales Tax ID | |
| | Local Tax ID | |
| | DUNS Number | |
| | Number of Employees | 501+ |
| | Independently Owned and Operated? | Yes |
| | I certify that the full legal name of the entity applying for this permit has been provided and is legally authorized to do business in Texas. | Yes |
| | Responsible Authority Contact | |
| | Organization Name | Quiktrip Corporation |
| | Prefix | MS |
| | First | Kyla |
| | Middle | |
| | Last | Rudd |
| | Suffix | |
| | Credentials | |
| | Title | Environmental Project Manager |
| | Responsible Authority Mailing Address | |
| | Enter new address or copy one from list: | RE Physical Address |
| | Address Type | Domestic |
| | Mailing Address (include Suite or Bldg. here, if applicable) | 4705 S 129TH EAST AVE |
| | Routing (such as Mail Code, Dept., or Attn:) | |
| | City | TULSA |
| | State | OK |
| | ZIP | 74134 |
| | Phone (###-#####) | 9186157233 |
| | Extension | |
| | Alternate Phone (###-#####) | |
| | Fax (###-######) | |
| | E-mail | krudd@quiktrip.com |
| Respo | onsible Official Contact | |
| | Person TCEQ should contact for questions about this application: | |
| | Same as another contact? | CN600241673, Quiktrip Corporation |
| | Organization Name | Quiktrip Corporation |

MS

Kyla

Prefix

First

Middle

| | Last | Rudd |
|--------|--|--|
| | Suffix | |
| | Credentials | |
| | Title | Environmental Project Manager |
| | Enter new address or copy one from list: | |
| | Mailing Address | |
| | Address Type | Domestic |
| | Mailing Address (include Suite or Bldg. here, if applicable) | 4705 S 129TH EAST AVE |
| | Routing (such as Mail Code, Dept., or Attn:) | |
| | City | TULSA |
| | State | OK |
| | ZIP | 74134 |
| | Phone (###-####-####) | 9186157233 |
| | Extension | |
| | Alternate Phone (###-#####) | |
| | Fax (###-####) | |
| | E-mail | krudd@quiktrip.com |
| | | |
| Techni | cal Contact | |
| | Person TCEQ should contact for questions about this application: | |
| | Same as another contact? | |
| | Organization Name | PowerSecure, Inc. |
| | Prefix | MRS |
| | First | Trisha |
| | Middle | |
| | Last | Victor |
| | Suffix | |
| | Credentials | |
| | Title | Manager of Environmental Compliance |
| | Enter new address or copy one from list: | |
| | Mailing Address | |
| | Address Type | Domestic |
| | Mailing Address (include Suite or Bldg. here, if applicable) | 1609 HERITAGE COMMERCE CT |
| | Routing (such as Mail Code, Dept., or Attn:) | |
| | City | WAKE FOREST |
| | State | NC |
| | ZIP | 27587 |
| | Phone (###-###-####) | 2025037455 |
| | Extension | |

Alternate Phone (###-####) Fax (###-###-####) E-mail

Case by Case General Information-New Sites

| 1. | Is this application a re-submittal of a project voided within the last six months? | No |
|---|---|-------------------------|
| 2. | What type of new authorization are you applying for? | CONSTRUCT |
| 2.1 | Are there any associated federal Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD), Nonattainment (NA), or major source hazardous pollutants Federal Clean Air Act § 112(g) permits? | No |
| 2.2 | Are there any Permits by Rule (PBR) or standard exemptions associated to be incorporated? | No |
| 2.3 | List any PBR or standard exemptions with date claimed that need to be referenced that the TCEQ was previously not required to be notified of (unregistered PBR and standard exemptions). | PBR 106.511 |
| 2.4 | List any PBR or standard exemptions with date claimed that need to be consolidated that the TCEQ was previously not required to be notified of (unregistered PBR and standard exemptions). | None |
| 2.5 | Are there any standard permits associated with this permit to be incorporated? | No |
| 2.6 | Are there any other permits to be consolidated into this permit? | No |
| | | |
| 1. | Is a completed Form PI-1 General Application attached with all supporting documentation? | Yes |
| 1. 3. | Is a completed Form PI-1 General Application attached with all supporting documentation? Is an air quality impacts demonstration required? | Yes |
| 1. 3. 3.1 | Is a completed Form PI-1 General Application attached with all supporting documentation? Is an air quality impacts demonstration required? Is the application for a major New Source Review (federal or PSD) permit? | Yes Yes No |
| 1. 3. 3.1 4. | Is a completed Form PI-1 General Application attached with all supporting documentation? Is an air quality impacts demonstration required? Is the application for a major New Source Review (federal or PSD) permit? Do the emissions from the proposed facility comply with all rules and regulations of the commission and with the intent of the Texas Clean Air Act (TCAA), including protection of the health and property of the public? | Yes Yes No Yes |
| 1. 3. 3.1 4. 5. | Is a completed Form PI-1 General Application attached with all supporting documentation? Is an air quality impacts demonstration required? Is the application for a major New Source Review (federal or PSD) permit? Do the emissions from the proposed facility comply with all rules and regulations of the commission and with the intent of the Texas Clean Air Act (TCAA), including protection of the health and property of the public? Do the emissions from the proposed facility, group of facilities, or account as determined under 30 TAC 116.716 comply with all rules and regulations of the commission and with the intent of the Texas Clean Air Act (TCAA), including protection of the health and property of the public? | Yes Yes Yes |
| 3. 3.1 4. 5. 6. | Is a completed Form PI-1 General Application attached with all supporting documentation? Is an air quality impacts demonstration required? Is the application for a major New Source Review (federal or PSD) permit? Do the emissions from the proposed facility comply with all rules and regulations of the commission and with the intent of the Texas Clean Air Act (TCAA), including protection of the health and property of the public? Do the emissions from the proposed facility, group of facilities, or account as determined under 30 TAC 116.716 comply with all rules and regulations of the commission and with the intent of the Texas Clean Air Act (TCAA), including protection of the health and property of the public? Do the emissions from the proposed facility, group of facilities, or account as determined under 30 TAC 116.716 comply with all rules and regulations of the commission and with the intent of the Texas Clean Air Act (TCAA), including protection of the health and property of the public? Does the application contain provisions for measuring emissions of significant air contaminants? | Yes No Yes |
| 3. 3.1 4. 5. 6. 7. | Is a completed Form PI-1 General Application attached with all supporting documentation? Is an air quality impacts demonstration required? Is the application for a major New Source Review (federal or PSD) permit? Do the emissions from the proposed facility comply with all rules and regulations of the commission and with the intent of the Texas Clean Air Act (TCAA), including protection of the health and property of the public? Do the emissions from the proposed facility, group of facilities, or account as determined under 30 TAC 116.716 comply with all rules and regulations of the commission and with the intent of the Texas Clean Air Act (TCAA), including protection of the health and property of the public? Do the emissions from the proposed facility, group of facilities, or account as determined under 30 TAC 116.716 comply with all rules and regulations of the commission and with the intent of the Texas Clean Air Act (TCAA), including protection of the health and property of the public? Does the application contain provisions for measuring emissions of significant air contaminants? Does the application contain a best available control technology (BACT) evaluation for all facilities subject to the TCAA? | Yes No Yes Yes |

| | (NSPS)? | |
|--------|--|-----|
| 8.1 | If yes, does the application contain a NSPS evaluation for all facilities subject to the TCAA, as listed in Title 40 CFR Part 60? | Yes |
| 9. | Is the proposed facility subject to a National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (Title 40 CFR Part 61) (NESHAP)? | No |
| 10. | Is the proposed facility subject to National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (Title 40 CFR Part 63) (MACT) evaluation required? | Yes |
| 10.1 | If yes, does the application contain a MACT evaluation for all facilities subject to the TCAA, as listed in Title 40 CFR Part 63? | Yes |
| 11. | Is a nonattainment review (NA) and/or prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) evaluation required? | No |
| 12. | Does the application contain information to demonstrate that the proposed facility will achieve the performance specified in its permit? | Yes |
| 13. | If subject to Chapter 101, Subchapter H, Division 3 (relating to Mass Emissions Cap and Trade Program), the proposed facility, group of facilities, or account must obtain allowances to operate. Have the allowances been identified for the facilities contained in the application? | NA |
| 14. | Is the facility an affected source (as defined in 116.15(1)) for hazardous air pollutants? | No |
| Case b | y Case Table 30 | |
| 1. | Do nonattainment permitting requirements apply to this application? | No |
| 2. | Do prevention of significant deterioration permitting requirements apply to this application? | No |
| 3. | Do Hazardous Air Pollutant Major Source [FCAA § 112(g)] requirements apply to this application? | No |
| 4. | Is a Plant-wide Applicability Limit permit being requested? | No |

690000

0

- **5.** Enter estimated capital cost for process and control equipment not previously owned by the applicant and not currently authorized under this chapter.
- 6. Enter estimated capital cost for Auxiliary equipment, including hoods, ducting, fans, pumps, piping, conveyors, stacks, storage tanks, wasted disposal facilities, and air pollution control equipment specifically needed to meet permit and regulation requirements.
- 7. Enter estimated capital cost for freight charges 0
 8. Enter estimated capital cost for Site preparation, including demolition, construction of fences, outdoor lighting, road, and parking areas.
 9. Enter estimated capital cost for installation, including 2000
- foundations, erection of supporting structures, enclosures or weather protection, insulation and painting, utilities and connections, process integration, and process control equipment.

| 1/7/2020 | Appl | icationSummaryReport | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|---------|
| 10. | Enter estimated capital cost for auxiliary buildings, inclumaterial storage, employee facilities, and changes to estructures. | uding 0 xisting | |
| 11. | Enter estimated capital cost for ambient air monitoring | network. 0 | |
| 12. | Enter estimated capital cost for final engineering desig supervision, and administrative overhead. | n and 0 | |
| 13. | Enter estimated capital cost for construction expense, in construction liaison, securing local building permits, ins temporary construction facilities, and construction clear | including 382000 surance, n-up. | |
| 14. | Enter Estimated capital cost for Contractor's fee and or | verhead. 0 | |
| Expedi | te Case by Case | | |
| 1. | Per Texas Health and Safety Code, Section 382.05155 applicant want to expedite the processing of this applic | , does the No ation? | |
| Case b | y Case Attachments | | |
| If the FTP !win !imp !imp | e file size for any attachment is greater than 50MB, then process to create an account at https://ftps.tceq.texas.gov/ut.php , upload fil ortant;">APIRT@tceq.texas.gov. Detailed instructio | combine all non-excel files into one PDF document and eq.texas.gov/ut.php" onclick="return bars=yes');" style="color: blue es, and share to <b ftps.tceg.texas.gov="" h<="" https:="" style="color: blue ns can be found at <td>use the</td> | use the |

onclick="return !window.open(this.href,'window_name','resizable=true,scrollbars=yes');" style="color: blue

!important;">https://ftps.tceq.texas.gov/help/.

| Attach Form PI-1 General Application | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| [File Properties] | | | |
| File Name | NSR_WORKBOOK_20191230_010852.xlsx | | |
| Hash | A5EF1C8E29D2DF11EE760BFA5ECBECE3C7EBB928DB82AED007113219DB0F02C | | |
| MIME-Type | application/vnd.openxmlformats- officedocument.spreadsheetml.sheet | | |
| Confidential | No | | |
| Attach Electronic Modeling Evaluatio [File Properties] | Workbook (EMEW), MERA, or Protocol. | | |
| File Name | EMEW_MERA_20191230_011134.xisx | | |
| Hash | 0F54E61155318DF4B9AFAEA1AAFDCD82FF7FEDDE7C702A33AD9C40BBAE300640 | | |
| MIME-Type | application/vnd.openxmlformats- officedocument.spreadsheetml.sheet | | |
| Confidential | Νο | | |
| Attach executive summary, introduct | on, and process description documents. | | |
| [File Properties] | | | |
| File Name | EXEC_SUMMARY_20191230_012446.pdf | | |

1/7/2020

ApplicationSummaryReport

| | ApplicationSummaryReport |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Hash | 948DD0372946D6825BC209EDA9C365DA3BAB9306FB467A9280BFC2190582151A |
| MIME-Type | application/pdf |
| Confidential | No |
| [File Properties] | |
| File Name | EXEC_SUMMARY_20191230_012438.pdf |
| Hash | A1471752DF51460835C34A55A9D4C98F569A418E7B94B292A679081F5C150B55 |
| MIME-Type | application/pdf |
| Confidential | No |
| Attach area map, plot plan, | and process flow diagram. |
| [File Properties] | |
| File Name | AREA_MAP_20191230_012506.pdf |
| Hash | 978670F38D2DC5A4CFD173941B90F460F9CD22A9B01286ACFE931F9C601AA5DF |
| MIME-Type | application/pdf |
| Confidential | No |
| Attach federal applicability of | description. |
| [File Properties] | |
| File Name | FEDERAL_APPLICABILITY_20191230_012621.pdf |
| Hash | 91C24ED0198D80DA03A000052B14FF52995EE6D960F6FE05CFEB9B47E50359C1 |
| MIME-Type | application/pdf |
| Confidential | No |
| Attach the Best Available C | ontrol Technology (BACT) demonstration. |
| [File Properties] | |
| File Name | BACT_20191230_012729.pdf |
| Hash | EC811E3FDA1F9CC35C92D8FBDAD8B0F4CE88A108A9A6D37A0F02A90096BE54AE |
| MIME-Type | application/pdf |
| Confidential | No |
| Attach Emission Calculation | ٦. |
| [File Properties] | |
| File Name | EMISSIONS_CALCULATIONS_20191230_012830.pdf |
| Hash | E6C35D7609C733E3479782C71E57D10686D4CFF4B92A7E373F0C87B57294ACFD |
| MIME-Type | application/pdf |
| Confidential | No |
| Attach Material balance doo | cumentation. |
| Attach all equipment tables | |
| [File Properties] | |

| 1/7/2020 |) |
|----------|---|
|----------|---|

ApplicationSummaryReport

| File Name | EQUIPMENT_TABLES_20191230_012849.pdf |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Hash | 5AFE47289ECC7F99DB0D332451EDC68347A85EA522A08B922DC93487F957952B |
| MIME-Type | application/pdf |
| Confidential | No |
| Attach netting forms (1F, 2F, | , 3F, and 4F). |
| | |
| Attach any other necessary | information needed to complete the permit. |
| [File Properties] | |
| File Name | OTHER_INFORMATION_20191230_012914.pdf |
| Hash | 48ECB0A2E34597235B2521AF28E3C0A82457BE2A02607BEBA51D5B27EA17EE2A |
| MIME-Type | application/pdf |
| Confidential | No |
| An additional space to attac | h any other necessary information needed to complete the permit. |

| I. Applicant Information | | | | |
|--|--|--|------------------|--|
| I acknowledge that I am sub | mitting an authoriz | ed TCEQ application workbook and any | | |
| necessary attachments. Exc | ept for inputting th | e requested data and adjusting row height and | _ | |
| column width. I have not cha | column width I have not changed the TCEQ application workbook in any way including but | | | |
| not limited to changing form | ulas, formatting, c | ontent, or protections. | | |
| A. Company Information | | ; - | | |
| | | | | |
| Company or Legal Name: | Company or Legal Name: QuikTrip Corporation | | | |
| Permits are issued to either the | e facility owner or op | perator, commonly referred to as the applicant or per | mit holder. List | |
| the legal name of the company | y, corporation, partn | ership, or person who is applying for the permit. We | will verify the | |
| legal name with the Texas Sec | cretary of State at (5 | 12) 463-5555 or at: | | |
| https://www.sos.state.tx.us | | | | |
| Texas Secretary of State Char | ter/Registration | 12299906 | | |
| Number (if given): | | 12299900 | | |
| B. Company Official Contact | Information: must | not be a consultant | | |
| Prefix (Mr., Ms., Dr., etc.): | Ms. | | | |
| First Name: | Kyla | | | |
| Last Name: | Rudd | | | |
| Title: | Environmental | Project Manager | | |
| Mailing Address: | 4705 South 12 | 29th East Ave | | |
| Address Line 2: | | | | |
| City: | Tulsa | | | |
| State: | Oklahoma | | | |
| ZIP Code: | <mark>74134</mark> | | | |
| Telephone Number: | <mark>918-615-7233</mark> | | | |
| Fax Number: | N/A | | | |
| Email Address: | krudd@quiktri | o.com | | |
| C. Technical Contact Information | ation: This person r | nust have the authority to make binding agreements | and | |
| representations on behalf of th | ne applicant and may | y be a consultant. Additional technical contact(s) c | an be provided | |
| in a cover letter. | | | | |
| Prefix (Mr., Ms., Dr., etc.): Mrs. | | | | |
| First Name: Trisha | | | | |
| Last Name: Victor | | | | |
| Title: Manager of Environmental Compliance | | | | |
| Company or Legal Name: PowerSecure, Inc. | | | | |
| Mailing Address: 1609 Heritage Commerce Court | | | | |
| Address Line 2: | | | | |
| City: Wake Forest | | | | |
| State: North Carolina | | | | |
| ZIP Code: 27587 | | | | |
| Celephone Number: 202-503-7455 | | | | |
| Fax Number: | Fax Number: N/A N/A | | | |
| Email Address: | tvictor@power | secure.com | | |
| D. Assigned Numbers | | | | |
| The CN and RN below are ass | signed when a Core | Data Form is initially submitted to the Central Registre | ry. The RN is | |
| also assigned if the agency has conducted an investigation or if the agency has issued an enforcement action. If these | | | | |
| numbers have not yet been assigned, leave these questions blank and include a Core Data Form with your application | | | | |
| submittal. See Section VI.B. below for additional information. | | | | |

| Enter the CN. The CN is a unique number given to each business, governmental | |
|---|-------------|
| body, association, individual, or other entity that owns, operates, is responsible for, | CN600241673 |
| or is affiliated with a regulated entity. | |

| Enter the RN. The RN is a unique agency assigned number given to each person, organization, place, or thing that is of environmental interest to us and where regulated activities will occur. The RN replaces existing air account numbers. The RN for portable units is assigned to the unit itself, and that same RN should be used when applying for authorization at a different location. | RN106208655 |
|---|-------------|
| | |

II. Delinquent Fees and Penalties

Does the applicant have unpaid delinquent fees and/or penalties owed to the TCEQ? This form will not be processed until all delinquent fees and/or penalties owed to the TCEQ or the Office of the Attorney General on behalf of the TCEQ are paid in accordance with the Delinquent Fee and Penalty Protocol. For more information regarding Delinquent Fees and Penalties, go to the TCEQ Web site at:

https://www.tceq.texas.gov/agency/financial/fees/delin

III. Permit Information

A. Permit and Action Type (multiple may be selected, leave no blanks) Additional information regarding the different NSR authorizations can be found at: https://www.tceq.texas.gov/permitting/air/guidance/authorize.html

Select from the drop-down the type of action being requested for each permit type. If that permit type does not apply, you MUST select "Not applicable".

Provide all assigned permit numbers relevant for the project. Leave blank if the permit number has not yet been assigned.

| Permit Type | Action Type Requested (do not leave blank) | Permit Number (if assigned) |
|---|---|-----------------------------|
| Minor NSR (can be a Title V major source): Not applicable, Initial, Amendment, Renewal, Renewal Certification, Renewal/Amendment, Relocation/Alteration, Change of Location, Alteration, Extension to Start of Construction | Initial | N/A |
| Special Permit: Not applicable, Amendment, Renewal, Renewal Certification, Renewal/Amendment, Alteration, Extension to Start of Construction | Not applicable | |
| De Minimis: Not applicable, Initial | Not applicable | |
| Flexible: Not applicable, Initial, Amendment, Renewal, Renewal Certification, Renewal/Amendment, Alteration, Extension to Start of Construction | Not applicable | |
| PSD: Not applicable, Initial, Major Modification | Not applicable | |
| Nonattainment: <i>Not applicable, Initial, Major</i> <i>Modification</i> | Not applicable | |
| HAP Major Source [FCAA § 112(g)]: Not applicable, Initial, Major Modification | Not applicable | |
| PAL: Not applicable, Initial, Amendment, Renewal, Renewal/Amendment, Alteration | Not applicable | |
| GHG PSD: Not applicable, Initial, Major Modification, Voluntary Update | Not applicable | |

| How are/will MSS activities for sources associated with this project be authorized? | This permit | |
|---|---|--|
| C. Consolidating NSR Permits | | |
| Will this permit be consolidated into another NSR pe | ermit with this action? | No |
| Will NSR permits be consolidated into this permit with this action? No | | No |
| D. Incorporation of Standard Permits, Standard I | Exemptions, and/or Permits By Rule (PBR) | |
| To ensure protectiveness, previously issued authorian including those for MSS, are incorporated into a per and/or amendment, consolidation (in some cases) n regarding incorporation can be found in 30 TAC § 1 | zations (standard permits, standard exemptions, or mit either by consolidation or by reference. At the ti nay be voluntary and referencing is mandatory. Mor 16.116(d)(2), 30 TAC § 116.615(3) and in this mem | PBRs) me of renewal re guidance no: |

https://www.tceq.texas.gov/assets/public/permitting/air/memos/pbr_spc06.pdf

| Are there any standard permits, standard exemptions, or PBRs to be incorporated by reference? | Yes |
|---|-------------|
| If yes, list any PBR, standard exemptions, or standard permits that need to be referenced: | PBR 106.511 |
| Are there any PBR, standard exemptions, or standard permits associated to be incorporated by consolidation? Note: Emission calculations, a BACT analysis, and an impacts analysis must be attached to this application at the time of submittal for any authorization to be incorporated by consolidation. | No |
| E. Associated Federal Operating Permits | |

Is this facility located at a site required to obtain a site operating permit (SOP) or general operating permit (GOP)?

| IV. Facility Location and General Information | |
|--|--|
| A. Location | |
| County: Enter the county where the facility is physically located. | Ellis |
| TCEQ Region | Region 4 |
| County attainment status as of Sept. 23, 2019 | Serious Ozone nonattainment |
| Street Address: | 4200 Railport Pkwy |
| City: If the address is not located in a city, then enter the city or town closest to the facility, even if it is not in the same county as the facility. | Midlothian |
| ZIP Code: Include the ZIP Code of the physical facility site, not the ZIP Code of the applicant's mailing address. | 76065 |
| Site Location Description: If there is no street address, provide written driving directions to the site. Identify the location by distance and direction from well-known landmarks such as major highway intersections. | N/A |
| Use USGS maps, county maps prepared by the Tex such as Google Earth to find the latitude and longitu | kas Department of Transportation, or an online software application ude. |
| Latitude (in degrees, minutes, and nearest second (DDD:MM:SS)) for the street address or the destination point of the driving directions. Latitude is the angular distance of a location north of the equator and will always be between 25 and 37 degrees north (N) in Texas. | 32.436887 |

| Longitude (in degrees, minutes, and nearest second (DDD:MM:SS)) for the street address or the destination point of the driving directions. Longitude is the angular distance of a location west of the prime meridian and will always be between 93 and 107 degrees west (W) in Texas. | -97.048632 | |
|---|---|--|
| Is this a project for a lead smelter, concrete crushing facility? | g facility, and/or a hazardous waste management | |
| B. General Information | | |
| Site Name: | QuikTrip Distribution | |
| Area Name: Must indicate the general type of operation, process, equipment or facility. Include numerical designations, if appropriate. Examples are Sulfuric Acid Plant and No. 5 Steam Boiler. Vague names such as Chemical Plant are not acceptable. | Emergency and standby electric power generation at the distribution center for a North Texas gas station used for storage and transport of various goods. | |
| Are there any schools located within 3,000 feet of the site boundary? | No | |
| C. Portable Facility | | |
| Permanent or portable facility? | Permanent | |
| D. Industry Type | | |
| Principal Company Product/Business: | Electric Power Generation | |
| A list of SIC codes can be found at: | | |
| https://www.naics.com/sic-codes-industry-drilldown/ | | |
| Principal SIC code: | 4225 | |
| NAICS codes and conversions between NAICS and | SIC Codes are available at: | |
| https://www.census.gov/eos/www/naics/ | | |
| Principal NAICS code: | 493110 | |
| E. State Senator and Representative for this site | | |
| This information can be found at (note, the website | is not compatible to Internet Explorer): | |
| https://wrm.capitol.texas.gov/ | | |
| State Senator: | Brian Birdwell | |
| District: | 22 | |
| State Representative: | John Wray | |
| District: | 10 | |
| | | |
| V. P | roject Information | |
| A. Description | | |
| Provide a brief description of the | ing outborization to operate three sensetion acts (sensets) for hoth | |
| project that is requested. (Limited UVINI IN IS SEEKING AUTIONIZATION to operate three generator sets (gensets) for both | | |

| project that is requested. (Limited | QuikTrip is seeking authorization to operate three generator sets (gensets) for both |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| to 500 characters). | 931 bhp Volvo TWD1673GE model certified Tier 4f engines (EG-1, EG-2, and EG- |
| | 3), which receive fuel from one 3,000-gallon ULSD storage tank (TK-1). Refer to |
| | |

B. Project Timing

Authorization must be obtained for many projects before beginning construction. Construction is broadly interpreted as anything other than site clearance or site preparation. Enter the date as "Month Date, Year" (e.g. July 4, 1776).

| Projected Start of Construction: | Upon Approval |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Projected Start of Operation: | Upon Approval |
| C Enforcement Projects | |

C. Enforcement Projects

| Is this application in response to, or related to, an agency investigation, notice of violation, or | No |
|--|-------------------|
| enforcement action? | NO |
| D. Operating Schedule | |
| Will sources in this project be authorized to operate 8760 hours per year? | No |
| If no, provide details in your permit application materials. | |
| Does this facility operate seasonally? | No |
| | |
| VI. Application Materials | |
| All representations regarding construction plans and operation procedures contained in the permit app | lication shall be |
| conditions upon which the permit is issued. (30 TAC § 116.116) | |
| A. Confidential Application Materials | |
| Is confidential information submitted with this application? | No |
| B. Is the Core Data Form (Form 10400) attached? | No |
| https://www.tceq.texas.gov/assets/public/permitting/centralregistry/10400.docx | |
| C. Is a current area map attached? | Yes |
| Is the area map a current map with a true north arrow, an accurate scale, the entire plant property, | |
| the location of the property relative to prominent geographical features including, but not limited to, | |
| highways, roads, streams, and significant landmarks such as buildings, residences, schools, parks, | Yes |
| hospitals, day care centers, and churches? | |
| Does the map show a 3 000-foot radius from the property boundary? | Yes |
| D is a plot plan attached? | Yes |
| Does your plot plan diagned: | 103 |
| points buildings tanks process vessels other process equipment and two bench mark locations? | Voc |
| | 165 |
| Does your plot plan identify all emission points on the affected property, including all emission points | |
| authorized by other air authorizations, construction permits, DBPs, special permits, and standard | Vaa |
| normite? | 165 |
| Permits: Did you include a table of amingian points indicating the authorization type and authorization identifier. | |
| Did you include a table of emission points indicating the authorization type and authorization identifier, | Vee |
| such as a permit number, registration number, or rule citation under which each emission point is | res |
| | |
| E. Is a process flow diagram attached? | Yes |
| is the process flow diagram sufficiently descriptive so the permit reviewer can determine the raw | |
| materials to be used in the process; all major processing steps and major equipment items; individual | |
| emission points associated with each process step, the location and identification of all emission | Yes |
| abatement devices, and the location and identification of all waste streams (including wastewater | |
| | |
| F. Is a process description attached? | Yes |
| Does the process description emphasize where the emissions are generated, why the emissions must | |
| be generated, what air pollution controls are used (including process design features that minimize | Yes |
| emissions), and where the emissions enter the atmosphere? | |
| Does the process description also explain how the facility or facilities will be operating when the | Yes |
| maximum possible emissions are produced? | 103 |
| G. Are detailed calculations attached? Calculations must be provided for each source with new | |
| or changing emission rates. For example, a new source, changing emission factors, | |
| decreasing emissions, consolidated sources, etc. You do not need to submit calculations for | Voo |
| sources which are not changing emission rates with this project. Please note: the preferred | 165 |
| format is an electronic workbook (such as Excel) with all formulas viewable for review. It can | |
| be emailed with the submittal of this application workbook. | |
| Are emission rates and associated calculations for planned MSS facilities and related activities | |
| attached? | N/A |
| H. Is a material balance (Table 2, Form 10155) attached? | N/A |
| I. Is a list of MSS activities attached? | N/A |

| J. Is a discussion of state regulatory requirements attached, addressing 30 TAC Chapters 101, | Yes |
|---|-----|
| 111, 112, 113, 115, and 117? | |
| For all applicable chapters, does the discussion include how the facility will comply with the requirements of the chapter? | Yes |
| For all not applicable chapters, does the discussion include why the chapter is not applicable? | Yes |
| K. Are all other required tables, calculations, and descriptions attached? | Yes |

VII. Signature

The owner or operator of the facility must apply for authority to construct. The appropriate company official (owner, plant manager, president, vice president, or environmental director) must sign all copies of the application. The applicant's consultant cannot sign the application. **Important Note: Signatures must be original in ink, not reproduced by photocopy, fax, or other means, and must be received before any permit is issued.**

The signature below confirms that I have knowledge of the facts included in this application and that these facts are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I further state that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the project for which application is made will not in any way violate any provision of the Texas Water Code (TWC), Chapter 7; the Texas Health and Safety Code, Chapter 382; the Texas Clean Air Act (TCAA); the air quality rules of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality; or any local governmental ordinance or resolution enacted pursuant to the TCAA. I further state that I understand my signature indicates that this application meets all applicable nonattainment, prevention of significant deterioration, or major source of hazardous air pollutant permitting requirements. The signature further signifies awareness that intentionally or knowingly making or causing to be made false material statements or representations in the application is a criminal offense subject to criminal penalties.

| Name: | Kyla Rudd |
|------------|---------------------------------|
| Signature: | |
| | Original signature is required. |
| Date: | |

No

I. Additional Questions for Specific NSR Minor Permit Actions E. Concrete Batch Plants

Is this a project for a concrete batch plant?

| | VIII. Federal Regulatory Questions |
|---|--|
| Indicate if any of the following requirements apply to the proposed facility. Note that some federal regulations apply to minor sources. Enter all applicable Subparts. | |
| A. Title 40 CFR Part 60 | |
| Do NSPS subpart(s) apply to a facility in this application? | Yes |
| List applicable subparts you will demonstrate compliance with (e.g. Subpart M) | Subpart IIII |
| B. Title 40 CFR Part 61 | |
| Do NESHAP subpart(s) apply to a facility in this application? | No |
| C. Title 40 CFR Part 63 | |
| Do MACT subpart(s) apply to a facility in this application? | Yes |
| List applicable subparts you will demonstrate compliance with (e.g. Subpart VVVV) | Subpart ZZZ |
| | IX Emissions Poviow |
| A. Impacts Analysis | |
| Any change that results in an increa | ase in off-property concentrations of air contaminants requires an air quality |

impacts demonstration. Information regarding the air quality impacts demonstration must be provided with the application and show compliance with all state and federal requirements. Detailed requirements for the information necessary to make the demonstration are listed on the Impacts sheet of this workbook.

Does this project require an impacts analysis?

B. Disaster Review

If the proposed facility will handle sufficient quantities of certain chemicals which, if released accidentally, would cause off-property impacts that could be immediately dangerous to life and health, a disaster review analysis may be required as part of the application. Contact the appropriate NSR permitting section for assistance at (512) 239-1250. Additional Guidance can be found at:

https://www.tceq.texas.gov/assets/public/permitting/air/Guidance/NewSourceReview/disrev-factsheet.pdf

| Does this application involve any air contaminants for which a disaster review is required? | No |
|---|----|
| C. Air Pollutant Watch List | |

Certain areas of the state have concentrations of specific pollutants that are of concern. The TCEQ has designated these portions of the state as watch list areas. Location of a facility in a watch list area could result in additional restrictions on emissions of the affected air pollutant(s) or additional permit requirements. The location of the areas and pollutants of interest can be found at:

https://www.tceq.texas.gov/toxicology/apwl/apwl.html

Is the proposed facility located in a watch list area?

D. Mass Emissions Cap and Trade

Is this facility located at a site within the Houston/Galveston nonattainment area (Brazoria, Chambers, Fort Bend, Galveston, Harris, Liberty, Montgomery, and Waller Counties)?

No

No

Yes

| X. Additional Requirements | | | | | |
|---|----|--|--|--|--|
| A. Bulk Fuel Terminals | | | | | |
| Is this project for a bulk fuel terminal? | No | | | | |
| 3. Plant Fuel Gas Facilities | | | | | |
| Does this site utilize plant fuel gas? | No | | | | |

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Form PI-1 General Application **Unit Types - Emission Rates**

| Permit primary industry | y (must be selee | cted for workboo | k to function) | | | | Chemical / Ene | rgy |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| Action Requested (only 1 action per FIN) | Include these emissions in annual (tpy) summary? | Facility ID Number (FIN) | Emission Point Number (EPN) | Source Name | Pollutant | Current Short- Term (lb/hr) | Current Long- Term (tpy) | Cor Cur Ter |
| New/Modified | Yes | EG-1 | EG-1 | Engine-Generator 1 | PM | | | |
| | | | | | PM10 | | | |
| | | | | | PM2.5 | | | |
| | | | | | NOx | | | |
| | | | | | VOC | | | |
| | | | | | СО | | | |
| | | | | | SO2 | | | |
| | | | | | H2SO4 | | | |
| | | | | | NH3 | | | |
| | | | | | HAPs | | | |
| New/Modified | Yes | EG-2 | EG-2 | Engine-Generator 2 | PM | | | |
| | | | | | PM10 | | | |
| | | | | | PM2.5 | | | |
| | | | | | NOx | | | |
| | | | | | VOC | | | |
| | | | | | CO | | | |
| | | | | | SO2 | | | |
| | | | | | H2SO4 | | | |
| | | | | | NH3 | | | |
| | | | | | HAPs | | | |
| New/Modified | Yes | EG-3 | EG-3 | Engine-Generator 3 | PM | | | |
| | | | | | PM10 | | | |
| | | | | | PM2.5 | | | |
| | | | | | NOx | | | |
| | | | | | VOC | | | |
| | | | | | CO | | | |
| | | | | | SO2 | | | |
| | | | | | H2SO4 | | | |
| | | | | | NH3 | | | |
| | | | | | HAPs | | | |
| New/Modified | Yes | TK-1 | TK-1 | Diesel Storage Tank 1 | VOC | | | |

| . 97 | | J | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | - | | | | | | - |
| Consolidated Current Short- Term (Ib/hr) | Consolidated Current Long- Term (tpy) | Proposed Short Term (lb/hr) | ·Proposed Long- Term (tpy) | Short-Term Difference (Ib/hr) | Long-Term Difference (tpy) | Unit Type (Used for reviewing BACT and Monitoring Requirements) | Unit Type Notes (only "other" unit type in Column O) |
| | | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.034 | 0.0085 | Other | Engine Generator |
| | | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.034 | 0.0085 | | |
| | | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.034 | 0.0085 | | |
| | | 0.59 | 0.15 | 0.5852 | 0.1463 | | |
| | | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.0057 | 0.0015 | | |
| | | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.1699 | 0.0425 | | |
| | | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.0114 | 0.0029 | | |
| | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0018 | 0.0005 | | |
| | | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.0753 | 0.0189 | | |
| | | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.0088 | 0.0022 | | |
| | | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.034 | 0.0085 | Other | Engine Generator |
| | | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.034 | 0.0085 | | |
| | | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.034 | 0.0085 | | |
| | | 0.59 | 0.15 | 0.5852 | 0.1463 | | |
| | | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.0057 | 0.0015 | | |
| | | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.1699 | 0.0425 | | |
| | | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.0114 | 0.0029 | | |
| | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0018 | 0.0005 | | |
| | | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.0753 | 0.0189 | | |
| | | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.0088 | 0.0022 | | |
| | | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.034 | 0.0085 | Other | Engine Generator |
| | | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.034 | 0.0085 | | |
| | | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.034 | 0.0085 | | |
| | | 0.59 | 0.15 | 0.5852 | 0.1463 | | |
| | | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.0057 | 0.0015 | | |
| | | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.1699 | 0.0425 | | |
| | | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.0114 | 0.0029 | | |
| | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0018 | 0.0005 | | |
| | | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.0753 | 0.0189 | | |
| | | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.0088 | 0.0022 | | |
| | | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.1665 | 0.0024 | Storage Tank (1): Fixed roof with capacity < 25 Mgal or TVP < 0.50 psia | |





Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Form PI-1 General Application Stack Parameters

| Emission Point Discharge Parameters | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | UTM Coordinates | | | | Height | Stack Exit | | | | | Fugitives - |
| | Included in | | East | North | Building | Above | Diameter | Velocity | Temperature | Fugitives - | Fugitives - | Axis |
| EPN | EMEW? | Zone | (Meters) | (Meters) | Height (ft) | Ground (ft) | (ft) | (FPS) | (°F) | Length (ft) | Width (ft) | Degrees |
| EG-1 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EG-2 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EG-3 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ТК-1 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | |

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Form PI-1 General Application Public Notice

| | | I. Public N | lotice Applicability | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|---------------|-----|
| A. Application Type | | | | | |
| Is this an application fo | or an initial permit? | | | | Yes |
| B. Project Increases a | and Public Notice | Thresholds (for Ini | tial and Amendmer | nt Projects) | |
| Pollutant | | | Proposed Long- Term (tpy) | | |
| VOC | | | 0.01 | | |
| РМ | | | 0.03 | | |
| PM ₁₀ | | | 0.03 | | |
| PM _{2.5} | | | 0.03 | | |
| NO _x | | | 0.44 | | |
| СО | | | 0.13 | | |
| SO ₂ | | | 0.01 | | |
| Pb | | | 0.00 | | |
| H2SO4 | | | 0.001298988 | | |
| NH3 | | | 0.0564375 | | |
| HAPs | | | 0.006593384 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| * Notice is required for | PM, PM10, and PM | 2.5 if one of these | pollutants is above t | he threshold. | |

** Notice of a GHG action is determined by action type. Initial and major modification always require notice. Voluntary updates require a consolidated notice if there is a change to BACT. Project emission increases of CO2e (CO2 equivalent) are not relevant for determining public notice of GHG permit actions.

| 61 | |
|---|-----|
| C. Is public notice required for this project as represented in this workbook? | Yes |
| If no, proceed to Section III Small Business Classification. | |
| Note: public notice applicability for this project may change throughout the technical review. | |
| D. Are any HAPs to be authorized/re-authorized with this project? The category "HAPs" must | Yes |
| be specifically listed in the public notice if the project authorizes (reauthorizes for renewals) any | |
| HAP pollutants. | |

II. Public Notice Information

Complete this section if public notice is required (determined in the above section) or if you are not sure if public notice is required.

| A. Contact Information | |
|---|--|
| Enter the contact information for the perso | on responsible for publishing. This is a designated representative who is responsible |
| for ensuring public notice is properly publis | shed in the appropriate newspaper and signs are posted at the facility site. This person |
| will be contacted directly when the TCEQ i | s ready to authorize public notice for the application. |
| Prefix (Mr., Ms., Dr., etc.): | Mrs. |
| First Name: | Trisha |
| Last Name: | Victor |

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Form PI-1 General Application Public Notice

| Title: | Manager of Environmental Compliance |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Company Name: | PowerSecure, Inc. |
| Mailing Address: | 1609 Heritage Commerce Court |
| Address Line 2: | |
| City: | Wake Forest |
| State: | North Carolina |
| ZIP Code: | 27587 |
| Telephone Number: | 202-503-7455 |
| Fax Number: | N/A |
| Email Address: | tvictor@powersecure.com |
| Enter the contact information for the | he Technical Contact. This is the designated representative who will be listed in the public notice |
| as a contact for additional informa | tion. |
| Prefix (Mr., Ms., Dr., etc.): | Mr. |
| First Name: | Tanner |
| Last Name: | Henson |
| Title: | Staff Engineer |
| Company Name: | ALL4 LLC |
| Mailing Address: | 10107 Corporate Dr. |
| Address Line 2: | Suite 170 |
| City: | Stafford |
| State: | Texas |
| ZIP Code: | 77477 |
| Telephone Number: | 281-937-7553ext308 |
| Fax Number: | N/A |
| Email Address: | thenson@all4inc.com |

B. Public place

Place a copy of the full application (including all of this workbook and all attachments) at a public place in the county where the facilities are or will be located. You must state where in the county the application will be available for public review and comment. The location must be a public place and described in the notice. A public place is a location which is owned and operated by public funds (such as libraries, county courthouses, city halls) and cannot be a commercial enterprise. You are required to pre-arrange this availability with the public place indicated below. The application must remain available from the first day of publication through the designated comment period.

If this is an application for a PSD, nonattainment, or FCAA §112(g) permit, the public place must have internet access available for the public as required in 30 TAC § 39.411(f)(3).

If the application is submitted to the agency with information marked as Confidential, you are required to indicate which specific portions of the application are not being made available to the public. These portions of the application must be accompanied with the following statement: *Any request for portions of this application that are marked as confidential must be submitted in writing, pursuant to the Public Information Act, to the TCEQ Public Information Coordinator, MC 197, P.O. Box 13087, Austin, Texas 78711-3087.*

| Name of Public Place: | Nicholas P Sims Library | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----|
| Physical Address: | 515 W. Main St. | |
| Address Line 2: | | |
| City: | Waxahachie | |
| ZIP Code: | 75165 | |
| County: | Ellis | |
| Has the public place granted authorization | to place the application for public | Vac |
| viewing and copying? | | res |

C. Alternate Language Publication

In some cases, public notice in an alternate language is required. If an elementary or middle school nearest to the facility is in a school district required by the Texas Education Code to have a bilingual program, a bilingual notice will be required. If there is no bilingual program required in the school nearest the facility, but children who would normally attend those schools are eligible to attend bilingual programs elsewhere in the school district, the bilingual notice will also be required. If it is determined that alternate language notice is required, you are responsible for ensuring that the publication in the alternate language is complete and accurate in that language.

| Is a bilingual program required by the Texas Education Code in the School District? | Yes |
|---|---------|
| Are the children who attend either the elementary school or the middle school closest to your facility eligible to be enrolled in a bilingual program provided by the district? | Yes |
| If yes to either question above, list which language(s) are required by the bilingual program? | Spanish |
| | |
| | |
| | |

III. Small Business Classification

Complete this section to determine small business classification. If a small business requests a permit, agency rules (30 TAC § 39.603(f)(1)(A)) allow for alternative public notification requirements if all of the following criteria are met. If these requirements are met, public notice does not have to include publication of the prominent (12 square inch) newspaper notice.

| Does the company (including parent companies and subsidiary companies) have fewer than 100 employees or less than \$6 million in annual gross receipts? | No |
|---|----|
| Small business classification: | No |

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Form PI-1 General Application Federal Applicability

| I. County Classification | | | |
|---|---|-------|--|
| | | | |
| Does the project require retrospective review? | | No | |
| County (completed for you from your response on th | ne General sheet) | Ellis | |
| This project will be located in an area that is in serious nonattainment for ozone as of Sept. 23, 2019. Select from the drop-down list to the right if you would like the project to be reviewed under a different classification. | | | |
| Determination: | This project will be located in a county with a Serious Ozone nonattainment classification. Complete the nonattainment section below and provide an analys the application. | | |

| II. PSD and GHG PSD Applicability Summary | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Is netting required for the PSD analysis for this | s netting required for the PSD analysis for this project? No | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Pollutant | Project Increase | Threshold | PSD Review Required? | | | |
| со | 0.127 | 250 | No | | | |
| NO _x | 0.439 | 250 | No | | | |
| РМ | 0.025 | 250 | No | | | |
| PM ₁₀ | 0.025 | 250 | No | | | |
| PM _{2.5} | 0.025 | 250 | No | | | |
| SO ₂ | 0.008 | 250 | No | | | |
| Pb | 0.000 | 250 | No | | | |
| H ₂ S | 0.000 | 250 | No | | | |
| TRS | 0.000 | 250 | No | | | |
| Reduced sulfur compounds (including H_2S) | 0.000 | 250 | No | | | |
| H ₂ SO ₄ | 0.001 | 250 | No | | | |
| Fluoride (excluding HF) | 0.000 | 250 | No | | | |
| CO2e | 0.000 | N/A | No | | | |

| III. Nonattainment Applicability Summary | | | | |
|--|---------------------|----|----|--|
| s netting required for the nonattainment analysis for this project? No | | | | |
| Pollutant | NA Review Required? | | | |
| Ozone (as VOC) | 0.007 | 50 | No | |
| Ozone (as NO _x) | 0.439 | 50 | No | |

| I. General Information - Non-Renewal | | | |
|--|-------------------|--|--|
| Is this project for new facilities controlled and operated directly by the federal government? (30 TAC § 116.141(b)(1) and 30 TAC § 116.163(a)) | | | |
| | | | |
| A fee of \$75,000 shall be required if no estimate of capital project cost is included with the permit application. (30 TAC § 116.141(d)) Select "yes" here to use this option. Then skip sections II and III. | | | |
| Select Application Type | Minor Application | | |

| II. Direct Costs - Non-Renewal | | | |
|--|--------------|--|--|
| Type of Cost | Amount | | |
| Process and control equipment not previously owned by the applicant and not currently authorized under this chapter. | \$690,000.00 | | |
| Auxiliary equipment, including exhaust hoods, ducting, fans, pumps, piping, conveyors, stacks, storage tanks, waste disposal facilities, and air pollution control equipment specifically needed to meet permit and regulation requirements. | \$0.00 | | |
| Freight charges. | \$0.00 | | |
| Site preparation, including demolition, construction of fences, outdoor lighting, road, and parking areas. | \$0.00 | | |
| Installation, including foundations, erection of supporting structures, enclosures or weather protection, insulation and painting, utilities and connections, process integration, and process control equipment. | \$20,000.00 | | |
| Auxiliary buildings, including materials storage, employee facilities, and changes to existing structures. | \$0.00 | | |
| Ambient air monitoring network. | \$0.00 | | |
| Sub-Total: | \$710,000.00 | | |

| III. Indirect Costs - Non-Renewal | | |
|---|--------------|--|
| Type of Cost | Amount | |
| Final engineering design and supervision, and administrative overhead. | \$0.00 | |
| Construction expense, including construction liaison, securing local building permits, insurance, temporary construction facilities, and construction clean-up. | \$382,000.00 | |
| Contractor's fee and overhead. | \$0.00 | |
| Sub-Total: | \$382,000.00 | |

IV. Calculations - Non-Renewal

For GHG permits: A single PSD fee (calculated on the capital cost of the project per 30 TAC § 116.163) will be required for all of the associated permitting actions for a GHG PSD project. Other NSR permit fees related to the project that have already been remitted to the TCEQ can be subtracted when determining the appropriate fee to submit with the GHG PSD application. Identify these other fees in the GHG PSD permit application.

In signing the "General" sheet with this fee worksheet attached, I certify that the total estimated capital cost of the project as defined in 30 TAC §116.141 is equal to or less than the above figure. I further state that I have read and understand Texas Water Code § 7.179, which defines Criminal Offenses for certain violations, including intentionally or knowingly making, or causing to be made, false material statements or representations.

| Estimated Capital Cost | Minor Application Fee | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Less than \$300,000 | \$900 (minimum fee) | |
| \$300,000 - \$7,500,000 | N/A | |
| \$300,000 - \$25,000,000 | 0.30% of capital cost | |
| Greater than \$7,500,000 | N/A | |
| Greater than \$25,000,000 | \$75,000 (maximum fee) | |

| Your estimated capital cost: | \$1,092,000.00 | x 0.30% = | |
|------------------------------|----------------|------------|--|
| Permit Application Fee: | | \$3,276.00 | |

| VI. Total Fees | |
|---|------------|
| Note: fees can be paid together with one payment or as two separate payments. | |
| Non-Renewal Fee | \$3,276.00 |
| Total | \$3,276.00 |

| VII. Payment Information | | | |
|--|-----|-------------|--|
| A. Payment One (required) | | | |
| Was the fee paid online? | | Yes | |
| Enter the fee amount: | | \$ 3,276.00 | |
| Enter the check, money order, ePay Voucher, or other transaction number: | TBD | | |
| Enter the Company name as it appears on the check: | TBD | | |
| C. Total Paid | | \$3,276.00 | |

| VIII. Professional Engineer Seal Requirement | | | |
|--|----|--|--|
| Is the estimated capital cost of the project above \$2 million? | No | | |
| Is the application required to be submitted under the seal of a Texas licensed P.E.? | No | | |
| Note: an electronic PE seal is acceptable. | | | |

| Pollutant | Does this pollutant require PSD review? | How will you demonstrate that this project meets all applicable requirements? | Notes | Additional Notes (optional) |
|-----------|---|---|--|-----------------------------|
| VOC | No | Not applicable | This pollutant is not a part of this project or does not require an impacts analysis. | |
| РМ | No | Modeling: screen or refined | Attach a completed "Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook" (EMEW). | |
| PM10 | No | Modeling: screen or refined | Attach a completed "Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook" (EMEW). | |
| PM2.5 | No | Modeling: screen or refined | Attach a completed "Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook" (EMEW). | |
| NOx | No | Modeling: screen or refined | Attach a completed "Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook" (EMEW). | |
| со | No | Modeling: screen or refined | Attach a completed "Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook" (EMEW). | |
| SO2 | No | Modeling: screen or refined | Attach a completed "Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook" (EMEW). | |
| H2SO4 | No | Modeling: screen or refined | Attach a completed "Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook" (EMEW). | |
| NH3 | No | MERA analysis, steps 0-2 only or using screening tables | Attach a detailed description of which MERA step was met for each species in the project. Include speciated emission rates with the total VOC and/or PM species corresponding to the short-term and long-term differences represented on the Unit Types-Emission Rates sheet. | |
| HAPs | No | MERA analysis, steps 0-2 only or using screening tables | Attach a detailed description of which MERA step was met for each species in the project. Include speciated emission rates with the total VOC and/or PM species corresponding to the short-term and long-term differences represented on the Unit Types-Emission Rates sheet. | |

| Plant Type | | | | Current Tier I BACT | Confirm | Additional Notes | | |
|------------------|------|------------------|-----------|--|---------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Action Requested | FINs | Unit Type | Pollutant | Current Tier I BACT | Confirm | Additional Notes | | |
| New/Modified | EG-1 | Engine Generator | РМ | The emission reduction techniques for PM10 and PM2.5 will follow the technique for PM. See additional notes: | Yes | The engine will meet the applicable PM, PM10, and PM2.5 emissions limits (i.e., 0.03 g/kW-hr) of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII. In addition, QuikTrip proposes good operating practices as PM, PM10, and PM2.5 BACT. | | |
| | | | NOx | See additional notes: | Yes | The engine will meet the applicable NOX emissions limit (i.e., 0.67 g/kW- hr of NOX) of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII. In addition, the engine is equipped with selective catalytic reduction (SCR) to reduce NOX emissions and will incorporate good operating practices. | | |
| | | | VOC | See additional notes: | Yes | The engine will meet the applicable non-methane hydrocarbon (NMHC) emissions limit (i.e., 0.19 g/kW-hr of NMHC) of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII. In addition,QuikTrip proposes good operating practices as VOC BACT. | | |
| | | | со | See additional notes: | Yes | The engine will meet the applicable CO emissions limit (i.e., 3.5 g/kW-hr of CO) of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII. In addition, QuikTrip proposes good operating practices and limited operating hours as CO BACT. | | |
| | | | SO2 | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will fire ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel (no more than 15 ppm sulfur by weight) and incorporate good operating practices. | | |
| | | | H2SO4 | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will fire ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel (no more than 15 ppm sulfur by weight) and incorporate good operating practices. | | |
| | | | NH3 | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip injects urea (instead of ammonia), which inherently reduces the risk of ammonia releases. See attached BACT analysis for details. QuikTrip will also limit the hours of operation. | | |
| | | | HAPs | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip proposes good operating practices as HAP BACT. | | |
| | | | MSS | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip proposes good operating practices, proper SCR cleaning, and the listed maintenance hours as MSS BACT. | | |
| New/Modified | EG-2 | Engine Generator | РМ | The emission reduction techniques for PM10 and PM2.5 will follow the technique for PM. See additional notes: | Yes | The engine will meet the applicable PM, PM10, and PM2.5 emissions limits (i.e., 0.03 g/kW-hr) of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII. In addition, QuikTrip proposes good operating practices as PM, PM10, and PM2.5 BACT. | | |
| | | | NOx | See additional notes: | Yes | The engine will meet the applicable NOX emissions limit (i.e., 0.67 g/kW- hr of NOX) of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII. In addition, the engine is equipped with selective catalytic reduction (SCR) to reduce NOX emissions and will incorporate good operating practices. | | |
| | | | VOC | See additional notes: | Yes | The engine will meet the applicable non-methane hydrocarbon (NMHC) emissions limit (i.e., 0.19 g/kW-hr of NMHC) of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII. In addition,QuikTrip proposes good operating practices as VOC BACT. | | |
| | | | со | See additional notes: | Yes | The engine will meet the applicable CO emissions limit (i.e., 3.5 g/kW-hr of CO) of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII. In addition, QuikTrip proposes good operating practices and limited operating hours as CO BACT. | | |
| | | | SO2 | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will fire ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel (no more than 15 ppm sulfur by weight) and incorporate good operating practices. | | |
| | | | H2SO4 | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will fire ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel (no more than 15 ppm sulfur by weight) and incorporate good operating practices. | | |
| | | | NH3 | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip injects urea (instead of ammonia), which inherently reduces the risk of ammonia releases. See attached BACT analysis for details. QuikTrip will also limit the hours of operation. | | |
| | | | HAPs | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip proposes good operating practices as HAP BACT. | | |
| | | | MSS | See additional notes: | Yes | the listed maintenance hours as MSS BACT. | | |

| Action Requested | FINs | Unit Type | Pollutant | Current Tier I BACT | Confirm | Additional Notes |
|------------------|------|---|-----------|--|---------|--|
| New/Modified | EG-3 | Engine Generator | РМ | The emission reduction techniques for PM10 and PM2.5 will follow the technique for PM. See additional notes: | Yes | The engine will meet the applicable PM, PM10, and PM2.5 emissions limits (i.e., 0.03 g/kW-hr) of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII. In addition, QuikTrip proposes good operating practices as PM, PM10, and PM2.5 BACT. |
| | | | NOx | See additional notes: | Yes | The engine will meet the applicable NOX emissions limit (i.e., 0.67 g/kW- hr of NOX) of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII. In addition, the engine is equipped with selective catalytic reduction (SCR) to reduce NOX emissions and will incorporate good operating practices. |
| | | | voc | See additional notes: | Yes | The engine will meet the applicable non-methane hydrocarbon (NMHC) emissions limit (i.e., 0.19 g/kW-hr of NMHC) of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII. In addition,QuikTrip proposes good operating practices as VOC BACT. |
| | | | со | See additional notes: | Yes | The engine will meet the applicable CO emissions limit (i.e., 3.5 g/kW-hr of CO) of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII. In addition, QuikTrip proposes good operating practices and limited operating hours as CO BACT. |
| | | | SO2 | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will fire ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel (no more than 15 ppm sulfur by weight) and incorporate good operating practices. |
| | | | H2SO4 | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will fire ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel (no more than 15 ppm sulfur by weight) and incorporate good operating practices. |
| | | | NH3 | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip injects urea (instead of ammonia), which inherently reduces the risk of ammonia releases. See attached BACT analysis for details. QuikTrip will also limit the hours of operation. |
| | | | HAPs | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip proposes good operating practices as HAP BACT. |
| | | | MSS | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip proposes good operating practices, proper SCR cleaning, and the listed maintenance hours as MSS BACT. |
| New/Modified | ТК-1 | Storage Tank (1): Fixed roof with capacity < 25 Mgal or TVP < 0.50 psia | VOC | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip proposes to implement Tier I BACT for VOC emissions from fixed roof storage tanks with less than 25,000 gallons and a true vapor pressure less than 0.5 psia. This includes having exterior surfaces that are not exposed to the sun and operating with a submerged fill. |
| | | | MSS | See additional notes: | Yes | MSS BACT same as above. |

| FIN | Unit Type | Pollutant | Minimum Monitoring Requirements | Confirm | Additional Notes for Monitoring | Proposed Measurement Technique (only complete for pollutants with a project increase above the PSD threshold) | Additional Notes for Measuring: |
|------|------------------|-----------|---|---------|---|---|---------------------------------|
| EG-1 | Engine Generator | PM | The emission monitoring techniques for PM10 and PM2.5 will follow the technique for PM. See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will maintain the engine PM emissions certification and will keep records of engine hours of operation. | | |
| | | NOx | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will maintain the engine NOX emissions certification and will keep records of engine hours of operation. | | |
| | | voc | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will maintain the engine NMHC emissions certification and will keep records of engine hours of operation. | | |
| | | со | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will maintain the engine CO emissions certification and will keep records of engine hours of operation. | | |
| | | SO2 | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will keep fuel receipts of ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel (no more than 15 ppm sulfur by weight). | | |
| | | H2SO4 | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will keep fuel receipts of ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel (no more than 15 ppm sulfur by weight). | | |
| | | NH3 | See additional notes: | Yes | The SCR proposed for the engines uses a urea injection instead of an ammonia injection system. QuikTrip will monitor purchased urea receipts. | | |
| | | HAPs | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will and will keep records of engine hours of operation and pulished emission factors to monitor HAP emissions. | | |
| EG-2 | Engine Generator | PM | The emission monitoring techniques for PM10 and PM2.5 will follow the technique for PM. See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will maintain the engine PM emissions certification and will keep records of engine hours of operation. | | |
| | | NOx | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will maintain the engine NOX emissions certification and will keep records of engine hours of operation. | | |
| | | VOC | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will maintain the engine NMHC emissions certification and will keep records of engine hours of operation. | | |
| | | со | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will maintain the engine CO emissions certification and will keep records of engine hours of operation. | | |
| | | SO2 | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will keep fuel receipts of ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel (no more than 15 ppm sulfur by weight). | | |
| | | H2SO4 | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will keep fuel receipts of ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel (no more than 15 ppm sulfur by weight). | | |
| | | NH3 | See additional notes: | Yes | The SCR proposed for the engines uses a urea injection instead of an ammonia injection system. QuikTrip will monitor purchased urea receipts. | | |
| | | HAPs | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will and will keep records of engine hours of operation and pulished emission factors to monitor HAP emissions. | | |
| EG-3 | Engine Generator | РМ | The emission monitoring techniques for PM10 and PM2.5 will follow the technique for PM. See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will maintain the engine PM emissions certification and will keep records of engine hours of operation. | | |
| | | NOx | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will maintain the engine NOX emissions certification and will keep records of engine hours of operation. | | |
| | | VOC | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will maintain the engine NMHC emissions certification and will keep records of engine hours of operation. | | |
| | | со | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will maintain the engine CO emissions certification and will keep records of engine hours of operation. | | |
| | | SO2 | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will keep fuel receipts of ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel (no more than 15 ppm sulfur by weight). | | |
| | | H2SO4 | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will keep fuel receipts of ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel (no more than 15 ppm sulfur by weight). | | |
| | | NH3 | See additional notes: | Yes | The SCR proposed for the engines uses a urea injection instead of an ammonia injection system. QuikTrip will monitor purchased urea receipts. | | |
| | | HAPs | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will and will keep records of engine hours of operation and pulished emission factors to monitor HAP emissions. | | |

| ТК-1 | Storage Tank (1): Fixed roof with capacity < 25 Mgal or TVP < 0.50 psia | VOC | See additional notes: | Yes | QuikTrip will keep fuel information for the tan |
|------|---|-----|-----------------------|-----|---|
|------|---|-----|-----------------------|-----|---|

el receipts which contain vapor pressure nk.

| Item | How submitted | Date submitted |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| A. Administrative Information | | |
| Form PI-1 General Application | STEERS | |
| Hard copy of the General sheet with original (ink) signature | STEERS | |
| Professional Engineer Seal | Not applicable | |
| B. General Information | | |
| Copy of current permit (both Special Conditions and MAERT) | | |
| Core Data Form | | |
| Area map | STEERS | |
| Plot plan | STEERS | |
| Process description | STEERS | |
| Process flow diagram | STEERS | |
| List of MSS activities | | |
| State regulatory requirements discussion | STEERS | |
| C. Federal Applicability | | |
| Summary and project emission increase determination - Tables 1F and 2F | Not applicable | |
| Netting analysis (if required) - Tables 3F and 4F as needed | | |
| D. Technical Information | | |
| BACT discussion, if additional details are attached | STEERS | |
| Monitoring information, if additional details are attached | STEERS | |
| Material Balance (if applicable) | | |
| Calculations | STEERS | |
| E. Impacts Analysis | | |
| Qualitative impacts analysis | STEERS | |
| MERA analysis | STEERS | |
| Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook: SCREEN3 | Not applicable | |
| Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook: NonSCREEN3 | STEERS | |
| PSD modeling protocol | Not applicable | |
| F. Additional Attachments | | |
| Table 29 | STEERS | |
| Table 7b | STEERS | |
| Table 7e | STEERS | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Version 4.0




Executive Summary

QuikTrip Distribution (QuikTrip) retained PowerSecure, Inc. (PowerSecure) to permit the operation of three new generator sets (gensets) for both emergency and non-emergency use (Project) at its Distribution Center (Facility), located at 4200 Railport Parkway in Midlothian, TX. Each genset is driven by a diesel fuel-fired Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engine (RICE). This submittal is the Minor New Source Review (NSR) Permit Application (Application) for the proposed Project. The Facility details are provided below.

QuikTrip Corporation Midlothian, TX RN106208655/CN600241673

Introduction

This Application is submitted via the State of Texas Environmental Electronic Reporting System (STEERS) in accordance with the provisions of 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 116, Subchapter B: *NSR Permits* and consists of the following information. The bolded items are included in this section:

- Process Description
- ALL4 Quality Professional (AQP) Seal
- TCEQ 20833a: PI-1 General Application, Version 4.0
- Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW)
- Figures
 - Facility Location Map
 - Plot Plan
 - Process Flow Diagram
- Regulatory Applicability Analyses
- Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Determinations
- Summary of Emissions and Emissions Calculations
- Sample Calculations
- Equipment Tables
 - o TCEQ 10166: Table 7(b) Horizontal Fixed Roof Storage Tank Summary
 - o TCEQ 10169: Table 7(e) Chemical Data Information
 - TCEQ 10195: Table 29 *Reciprocating Engines*
- Engine and Fuel Specifications

Should you have any questions related to this submittal or require additional information, please contact Tanner Henson at thenson@all4inc.com or 281-937-7553 x308 or me at Krudd@quiktrip.com or 918-615-7233.

| Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EME) | \\/\ | Permit #: <u>TBD</u> |
|---|---------------|-----------------------|
| General Information | Company Name: | QuikTrip Distribution |
| EMEW Version No.: Version 2.2 | | |
| Purpose Statement: | | |
| This workbook is completed by the applicant and submitted to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), specifically, the Air Dispersion Modeling Team (ADMT) for review. This workbook is a tool available for all projects using AERSCREEN, AERMOD, or ISC/ISCPrime for an impacts review and its use is required starting June 1, 2019. Provide the workbook with the permit application submittal for any Minor New Source Review project requiring a modeling impacts demonstration. | | |
| This workbook follows the guidance outlined in the Air Quality Modeling Guidelines (APDG 6232, September 2018) which can be found here: | | |
| https://www.tceq.texas.gov/assets/public/permitting/air/Modeling/guidance/airquality-mod-guidelines6232.pdf | | |
| Workbook Instructions: 1. Save a copy of the workbook to your computer or desktop prior to entering data. 2. Complete all required sections leaving no blanks. You may use the "tab" button or the arrow keys to move to the next available cell. Use "enter" to move down a line. Note: drop-downs are case-sensitive. 3. Fill in the workbook in order, do not skip around as this will cause errors. Use caution if changing a previously entered entry. 4. Not applicable sections of this workbook will be hidden as data is entered. For example, answering "No" to "Is downwash applicable? " will hide these sections of the workbook required only for downwash entry. 5. Email the workbook electronic file (EMEW) and any attachments to the Air Permits Initial Review Team. The subject line should read "Company Name - Permit Number (if known) - NSR Permit Application". Email address: | | |
| apirt@tceq.texas.gov | | |
| 6. If printing the EMEW, follow the directions below to create a workbook header. 7. Printing the EMEW is not required for submitting to the Air Permits Division (APD); however, you may need to print it for sending to the regional offices, local programs, and for public access if notice is required. To print the workbook, follow the instructions below. Please be aware, several sheets contain large amounts of data and caution should be taken if printing, such as the Speciated Emissions sheet. 8. Updates may be necessary throughout the review process. Updated workbooks must be submitted in electronic format to APD. For submittal to regional offices, local programs, or public places you only have to print sheets that had updates. Be sure to change the headers accordingly. | | |
| Note: Since this will be part of the permit application, follow the instructions in the Form PI-1 General Application on where to send copies of your EMEW and permit application. The NSR Application Workbook can be found here: | | |
| https://www.tceq.texas.gov/permitting/air/guidance/newsourcereview/nsrapp-tools.html | | |
| Create Headers Before Printing: 1. Right-click one of the workbook's sheet tabs and "Select All Sheets." 2. Enter the "Page Layout View" by using the navigation ribbon's View > Workbook Views > Page Layout, or by clicking the page layout icon in the lower-right corner of Excel. 3. Add the date, company name, and permit number (if known) to the upper-right header. Note that this may take up to a minute to update your spreadsheet. Select any tab to continue working on the spreadsheet. | | |
| Printing Tips: While APD does not need a hard copy of the full workbook, you may need to print it for sending to the regional offices, local programs, and for public access if notice is required. 1. The default printing setup for each sheet in the workbook is set for the TCEQ preferred format. The print areas are set up to not include the instructions on each sheet. 2. You have access to change all printing settings to fit your needs and printed font size. Some common options include: Change what area you are printing (whole active sheet or a selection); | | |

Date: December 2019

-Change the orientation (portrait or landscape);

-Change the margin size; and

-Change the scaling (all columns on one sheet, full size, your own custom selection, etc.).



General Information

Date: December 2019

Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW)

| | Select from the drop | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| l acknowledge that I am sub | down: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Figure and any necessary attachments. Excent for inputting the | | | | | | | | | | | |
| requested data. I have not changed the TCEQ Electronic Modeling Evaluation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Workbook in any way, include | dina but not lin | nited to changing formulas, formatting, | ragiee | | | | | | | | |
| content, or protections. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Adm | inistrative Information: | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Type: | | Facility Information: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Number (6 digits): | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Permit Number: | | TBD | | | | | | | | | |
| Regulated Entity ID (9 digits): | | 106208655 | | | | | | | | | |
| Facility Name: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Facility Address: | | 4200 Railport Pkwy | | | | | | | | | |
| Facility County (select one): | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Company Name: | | Quikirip Corporation | | | | | | | | | |
| Company Contact Name: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Company Contact Number: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Company Contact Email: | | dawright@quiktrip.com | | | | | | | | | |
| Modeling Company Name, as | applicable: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Modeling Contact Name: | | Rebekah Bowlds | | | | | | | | | |
| Modeling Contact Number: | | 678-460-0324 x214 | | | | | | | | | |
| Modeling Contact Email: | | rbowlds@all4inc.com | | | | | | | | | |
| New/Existing Site (select one) | New Site | | | | | | | | | | |
| Modeling Date (MM/DD/YYYY |): | 12/3/2019 | | | | | | | | | |
| Datum Used (select one): | | NAD 83 | | | | | | | | | |
| UIM Zone (select one): | | 14 | | | | | | | | | |
| Sheet Instructions: Indicate | in the Table of C | contents which sections are applicable an | d included for this | | | | | | | | |
| modeling demonstration. Sele | ct "X" from the c | drop down if the item below is included in | the workbook. Note: | | | | | | | | |
| I his workbook is only for the i | ollowing air disp | Electronic Medeling Evoluction Workbook | Ime, and/or AERIVIOD. | | | | | | | | |
| SCREEN3 workbook | ise the separate | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Table of Contents: | | | | | | | | | |
| Section: | Sheet Title (C) | lick to jump to specific sheet): | Select an X from the | | | | | | | | |
| | | ick to jump to specific sheet). | drondown menu if | | | | | | | | |
| | | | included [.] | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Gonoral | | Y | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Model Options | | X | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Building Down | Nash | X | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Elare Source P | Parameters | <u>л</u> | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Point Source P | Parameters | X | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Area Source P | arameters | <u></u> | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Volume Source | Calculations | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Volume Source Calculations | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 0 | Point and Flare | Source Emissions | Y | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Area Source E | missions | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Area Source Emissions | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Speciated Emi | ssions | x | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Intermittent So | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Modeling Scen | arios | x | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Background Ju | ustification | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Secondary For | mation of PM2 5 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 18 NAAQS/State Property Line (SPL) Modeling Results X | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 10 | | ~ |
|----|---------------------------------|---|
| 19 | Unit Impact Multipliers | |
| 20 | Health Effects Modeling Results | Х |
| 21 | Modeling File Names | Х |
| 22 | Speciated Chemicals | |

Date: December 2019

Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW) Company Name: _QuikTrip Distribution_

General Information

Included Attachments Select an X from the Instructions: The following are attachments that must be included with any modeling dropdown menu if analysis. If providing the plot plan and area map with the permit application, ensure included: there is also a copy with the EMEW. The copy can be electronic. Plot Plan: Instructions: Mark all that apply in the attached plot plan. For larger properties or dense source areas, provide multiple zoomed in plot plans that are legible. Property/Fence Lines all visible and marked. North arrow included. Х Clearly marked scale. Х All sources and buildings are clearly labeled. Х Area Map: Instructions: Mark all that apply in the attached area map. Annotate schools within 3,000ft of source's nearest property line. All property lines are included. Х Non-industrial receptors are identified. Choose an item Additional Attachments (as applicable): Select an X from the Note: These are just a few examples of attachments that may need to be included. dropdown menu if There may be others depending on the scope of the modeling analysis. included: **Processed Met Data Information** Excel spreadsheet of processed meteorology data. Choose an item Meteorological Files (all input and outputs). Choose an item Source Group Descriptions Description of modeling source groups (could be in a tabulated format). Choose an item Modeling Techniques and Scenarios Provide all justification and discussion on modeling scenarios used for the modeling analyses. The following boxes are examples of approaches that should be provided but is not all inclusive. Discussion on modeling techniques not discussed in workbook. Choose an item Justification for exceedance refinements, as applicable. Choose an item Discussion and images for worst-case determination, as applicable. Choose an item Single Property Line Designation, as applicable Include Agreement, Order, and map defining each petitioner. Choose an item Post Processing using Unit Impact Multipliers (UIMs) Include documentation on any calculations used with the UIMs (i.e., Step 3 of the Choose an item MERA). Tier 3 NO₂ analysis If OLM or PVMRM are used, provide all justification and documentation on using this approach. Description of model setup. Choose an item Description and justification of model options selected (i.e., NO₂ to NO_x in-stack ratios). Choose an item **Other Attachments** Provide a list in the box below of additional attachments being provided that are not listed above: Choose an item



Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW) Company Name: _QuikTrip Distribution_

Model Options

Date: December 2019

I. Project Information

A. Project Overview: In the box below, give a brief Project Overview. To type or insert text in box, double click in the box below. Please limit your response to 2000 characters.

QuikTrip Distribution (QuikTrip) is seeking approval to permit the operation of three generator sets (gensets) driven by diesel fuel-fired Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engine (RICE) [referred to as engine generators (EG)1, EG2, and EG3] for both emergency and non-emergency use at the facility. Although the Minor New Source Review (NSR) Permit Application (Application) is to permit non-emergency operation, the intent of the engines is to serve in both emergency and non-emergency situations. EG1, EG2, and EG3 are operating onsite, and while this Application for non-emergency service is being processed, the gensets will continue to operate for emergency use under the conditions of a permit by rule (PBR).

II. Air Dispersion Modeling Preliminary Information

Instructions: Fill in the information below based on your modeling setup. The selections chosen in this sheet will carry throughout the sheet and workbook. Based on selections below, only portions of the sheet and workbook will be available. Therefore, it is vital the sheet and workbook are filled out in order, do NOT skip around.

For larger text boxes, double click to type or insert text.

| A. Type of | A. Type of Model Used: Select "X" in all that apply | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | AERSCREEN | Х | AERMOD | | | | | | | |
| 19191 | Enter in all applie | cable Model | Version(s). | | | | | | | |
| B. Building | Downwash | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | Is downwash applicable? (Select "Yes" or "No") | | | | | | | | | |
| 4274 | Enter BPIP version (AERMOD | and ISCPrim | ne only). | | | | | | | |
| C. Type of | Analyses: (Select "X" in all that | apply) | | | | | | | | |
| *PSD project | cts should submit a protocol and | l not utilize th | nis form. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Х | Minor NSR NAAQS | Х | State Property Line | | | | | | | |
| Х | Health Effects | | | | | | | | | |



Date: December 2019

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW)

Model Options

| D. Constitu | ients Evaluatin | g: (Select "X" in all that apply) | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| NAAQS: Li | st all pollutants | s that require an modeling review. (Select "X" in all that apply) | | | | | | |
| Х | SO ₂ | X PM ₁₀ | | | | | | |
| Х | СО | X PM _{2.5} | | | | | | |
| | Pb | X NO ₂ | | | | | | |
| Both | | Identify which averaging periods are being evaluated for NO ₂ . | | | | | | |
| Tier 2: ARM | 12 | Identify the 1-hr NO_2 tier used for the AERMOD or AERSCREEN analyses. | | | | | | |
| Tier 2: ARM | 12 | Identify the annual NO_2 tier used for the AERMOD or AERSCREEN analyses. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| State Property Line: List all pollutants that require an modeling review. (Select "X" in all that apply) | | | | | | | | |
| | H ₂ S | X SO ₂ | | | | | | |
| Х | H_2SO_4 | | | | | | | |
| Health Effects: Fill in the Speciated Emissions sheet with all applicable pollutants, CAS numbers, and ESLs. | | | | | | | | |



| Texas Commission on Environme | ental Quality |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|
|--------------------------------------|---------------|

Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW) Company Name: _QuikTrip Distribution_

Model Options

E. Dispersion Options: If "Urban" has been selected and this project is using AERMOD or AERSCREEN, include the population used. Select "X" in the box to select an option. Urban Rural Provide any additional justification on the dispersion option selected above: The rural option was used because more than 50% of the area equivalent to a three kilometer radius surrounding the facility is considered rural based on the 2011 National Land Cover Data. F. Determination of Surface Roughness: If AERSCREEN or AERMOD is used, fill out the section below. Select basis for surface roughness: AERSURFACE Select "X" in one of the three surface roughness categories: Low Medium High If you are using AERSURFACE, please complete the following section: 13016 **AERSURFACE** Version Number 683453 Center UTM Easting (meters) 3590539 Center UTM Northing (meters) Study Radius (km) No Airport? (Select Yes or No) Continuous Snow Cover (Select Yes or No) No Surface Moisture (Select Wet, Dry, or Average) Average Arid Region? (Select Yes or No) No

Month/Season Assignment

default

Date: December 2019



Model Options

G. Meteorological Data: If AERMOD and/or ISC/ISCPrime are selected, please complete the following section: 53912 Surface Station 13957 Upper Air Station Profile Base Elevation (AERMOD only) 136.0 Meters (m) 16216 **AERMET Version Number** Was TCEQ pre-processed 1 Year Yes Years used data used? Please enter the year(s) selected for this meteorological data: 2012 1 Year Provide any other justification for Meteorological Data, as applicable. QuikTrip utilized meteorological data available through TCEQ's website, last updated April 12, 2017. These datasets were processed with AERMET version 16216 and have not been

updated to the current version 19191. The updated 19191 fixed minor errors and installed

beta options, but none that would affect the MET data values.

Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW)

Date: December 2019



Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW)

Model Options

Date: December 2019

For AERMOD or ISC/ISCPrime, fill in the following information on your modeled receptor grid. Note: Receptor grid resolution (tight, fine, medium, coarse) are based on recommended receptor grid spacing per the AQMG, if something outside of this is used, fully describe it below.

| 25 | Meters (m) | Tight Receptor Spacing | | | | | |
|---|------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 300 | Meters (m) | Tight Receptor Distance | | | | | |
| 100 | Meters (m) | Fine Receptor Spacing | | | | | |
| 1000 | Meters (m) | Fine Receptor Distance | | | | | |
| 500 | Meters (m) | Medium Receptor Spacing | | | | | |
| 5000 | Meters (m) | Medium Receptor Distance | | | | | |
| 1000 | Meters (m) | Coarse Receptor Spacing | | | | | |
| 10000 | Meters (m) | Coarse Receptor Distance | | | | | |
| Describe any other receptor grid designs (over water, GLC _{ni} , SPLD etc.): | | | | | | | |

Not Applicable (N/A)

| I. Terrain: | | | |
|--------------|------------------|--|--|
| Х | Elevated | | |
| 18081 | | AERMAP Version. | |
| For addition | al justification | on terrain selection, fill in the box below: | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW) Building Downwash

| Facility: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Downwash Type | Modeled Building ID | Tank Diameter (m) | Number of Tiers | Maximum Height (m) | Tier 1 Height (m) | Tier 2 Height (m) | Tier 3 Height (m) | Tier 4 Height (m) | Tier 5 Height (m) | Tier 6 Height (m) | Tier 7 Height (m) | Tier 8 Height (m) | Tier 9 Height (m) | Tier 10 Height (m) |
| Building | BLD1 | | 1 | 26.21 | 26.21 | | | | | | | | | |
| Building | BLD2 | | 1 | 4.27 | 4.27 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW)

Point Source Parameters

Facility:

| EPN | Model ID | Modeling Scenario | Source Description | Point Source Type | Point Source Justification | Easting: X [m] | Northing: Y [m] | Base Elevation [m] | Height [m] | Exit Temperature [K] | Exit Velocity [m/s] | Diameter [m] |
|------|----------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| EG-1 | EG1 | Normal | Engine-Generator 1 | POINT | Vertical Stack | 683478.25 | 3590555.15 | 200.65 | 4.57 | 757.040 | 73.100 | 0.200 |
| EG-2 | EG2 | Normal | Engine-Generator 2 | POINT | Vertical Stack | 683480.51 | 3590556.37 | 200.65 | 4.57 | 757.040 | 73.100 | 0.200 |
| EG-3 | EG3 | Normal | Engine-Generator 3 | POINT | Vertical Stack | 683482.81 | 3590557.33 | 200.65 | 4.57 | 757.040 | 73.100 | 0.200 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW) Point and Flare Source Emissions

| Facility: | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|---|---------------|-----------------------|
| EDN | Madal ID | Modeling | Dollutont | | Standard Tuna | Doviou Contout | Intermittent | Modeled Emission | Papia of Emission Data | Scalars or | Socier/Easter in Line |
| EFIN | Model ID | Scenario | Foliulani | Averaging Time | Stanuaru Type | Review Context | Source? | | Basis of Emission with 25% | Factors Used? | Scalal/Factor III Use |
| EG-1 | EG1 | Normal | NOx | 1-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.590 | added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. Long-term emissions rates are calculated utilizing 500 hours of operation divided by 8,760 hours of modeled hours in order to evaluate | No | |
| EG-1 | EG1 | Normal | NOx | Annual | NAAQS | SIL analysis | No | 0.0334 | intermittant operation. Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. Long-term emissions rates are calculated utilizing 500 hours of operation divided by 8,760 hours of modeled hours in order to evaluate intermittant operation. | No | |
| EG-1 | EG1 | Normal | PM10 | 24-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.0340 | added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. | No | |
| EG-1 | EG1 | Normal | PM2.5 | 24-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.0340 | Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. | No | |
| EG-1 | EG1 | Normal | PM2.5 | Annual | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.00194 | Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. Long-term emissions rates are calculated utilizing 500 hours of operation divided by 8,760 hours of modeled hours in order to evaluate long- term standards. | No | |
| EG-1 | EG1 | Normal | со | 1-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.170 | Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. | No | |
| EG-1 | EG1 | Normal | со | 8-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.170 | Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. | No | |
| EG-1 | EG1 | Normal | SO2 | 1-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.0113 | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-1, using ULSD sulfur content | No | |
| EG-1 | EG1 | Normal | SO2 | 3-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.0113 | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-1, using ULSD sulfur content | No | |
| EG-1 | EG1 | Normal | SO2 | 24-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.0113 | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-1, using ULSD sulfur content | No | |
| EG-1 | EG1 | Normal | SO2 | Annual | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 6.45E-04 | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-1, using ULSD sulfur content. Long-term emissions rates are calculated utilizing 500 hours of operation divided by 8,760 hours of modeled hours in order to evaluate long-term standards. | No | |
| EG-1 | EG1 | Normal | H2SO4 | 1-hr | State Property Line | Project-Wide | No | 0.00173 | 10% molar conversion of SO2 to SO3 and 100% conversion of SO3 to H2SO4 based on engineering judgment. | No | |
| EG-1 | EG1 | Normal | H2SO4 | 24-hr | State Property Line | Project-Wide | No | 0.00173 | 10% molar conversion of SO2 to SO3 and 100% conversion of SO3 to H2SO4 based on engineering judgment. | No | |
| EG-1 | EG1 | Normal | SO2 | 1-hr | State Property Line | Project-Wide | No | 0.0113 | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-1, using ULSD sulfur content | No | |

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW) Point and Flare Source Emissions

| EPN | Model ID | Modeling Scenario | Pollutant | Modeled Averaging Time | Standard Type | Review Context | Intermittent Source? | Modeled Emission Rate [lb/hr] | Basis of Emission Rate | Scalars or Factors Used? | Scalar/Factor in Use |
|------|----------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| EG-2 | EG2 | Normal | NOx | 1-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.590 | Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. Long-term emissions rates are calculated utilizing 500 hours of operation divided by 8,760 hours of modeled hours in order to evaluate intermittant operation. | No | |
| EG-2 | EG2 | Normal | NOx | Annual | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.0334 | Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. Long-term emissions rates are calculated utilizing 500 hours of operation divided by 8,760 hours of modeled hours in order to evaluate intermittant operation. | No | |
| EG-2 | EG2 | Normal | PM10 | 24-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.0340 | Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. | No | |
| EG-2 | EG2 | Normal | PM2.5 | 24-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.0340 | Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. | No | |
| EG-2 | EG2 | Normal | PM2.5 | Annual | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.00194 | Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. Long-term emissions rates are calculated utilizing 500 hours of operation divided by 8,760 hours of modeled hours in order to evaluate long- term standards. | No | |
| EG-2 | EG2 | Normal | со | 1-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.170 | Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. | No | |
| EG-2 | EG2 | Normal | со | 8-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.170 | Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. | No | |
| EG-2 | EG2 | Normal | SO2 | 1-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.0113 | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-1, using ULSD sulfur content | No | |
| EG-2 | EG2 | Normal | SO2 | 3-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.0113 | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-1, using ULSD sulfur content | No | |
| EG-2 | EG2 | Normal | SO2 | 24-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.0113 | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-1, using ULSD sulfur content | No | |
| EG-2 | EG2 | Normal | SO2 | Annual | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 6.45E-04 | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-1, using ULSD sulfur content. Long-term emissions rates are calculated utilizing 500 hours of operation divided by 8,760 hours of modeled hours in order to evaluate long-term standards. | No | |
| EG-2 | EG2 | Normal | H2SO4 | 1-hr | State Property Line | Project-Wide | No | 0.00173 | 10% molar conversion of SO2 to SO3 and 100% conversion of SO3 to H2SO4 based on engineering judgment. | No | |
| EG-2 | EG2 | Normal | H2SO4 | 24-hr | State Property Line | Project-Wide | No | 0.00173 | 10% molar conversion of SO2 to SO3 and 100% conversion of SO3 to H2SO4 based on engineering judgment. | No | |
| EG-2 | EG2 | Normal | SO2 | 1-hr | State Property Line | Project-Wide | No | 0.0113 | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-1, using ULSD sulfur content | No | |
| EG-3 | EG3 | Normal | NOx | 1-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.590 | Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. Long-term emissions rates are calculated utilizing 500 hours of operation divided by 8,760 hours of modeled hours in order to evaluate intermittant operation. | No | |

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW) Point and Flare Source Emissions

| EPN | Model ID | Modeling Scenario | Pollutant | Modeled Averaging Time | Standard Type | Review Context | Intermittent Source? | Modeled Emission Rate [lb/hr] | Basis of Emission Rate | Scalars or Factors Used? | Scalar/Factor in Use |
|------|----------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| EG-3 | EG3 | Normal | NOx | Annual | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.0334 | Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. Long-term emissions rates are calculated utilizing 500 hours of operation divided by 8,760 hours of modeled hours in order to evaluate intermittant operation. | No | |
| EG-3 | EG3 | Normal | PM10 | 24-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.0340 | Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. | No | |
| EG-3 | EG3 | Normal | PM2.5 | 24-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.0340 | Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. | No | |
| EG-3 | EG3 | Normal | PM2.5 | Annual | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.00194 | Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. Long-term emissions rates are calculated utilizing 500 hours of operation divided by 8,760 hours of modeled hours in order to evaluate long- term standards. | No | |
| EG-3 | EG3 | Normal | со | 1-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.170 | Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. | No | |
| EG-3 | EG3 | Normal | со | 8-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.170 | Vendor information with 25% added safety factor. 931 bhp engine. | No | |
| EG-3 | EG3 | Normal | SO2 | 1-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.0113 | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-1, using ULSD sulfur content | No | |
| EG-3 | EG3 | Normal | SO2 | 3-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.0113 | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-1, using ULSD sulfur content | No | |
| EG-3 | EG3 | Normal | SO2 | 24-hr | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 0.0113 | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-1, using ULSD sulfur content | No | |
| EG-3 | EG3 | Normal | SO2 | Annual | NAAQS | SIL Analysis | No | 6.45E-04 | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-1, using ULSD sulfur content. Long-term emissions rates are calculated utilizing 500 hours of operation divided by 8,760 hours of modeled hours in order to evaluate long-term standards. | No | |
| EG-3 | EG3 | Normal | H2SO4 | 1-hr | State Property Line | Project-Wide | No | 0.00173 | 10% molar conversion of SO2 to SO3 and 100% conversion of SO3 to H2SO4 based on engineering judgment. | No | |
| EG-3 | EG3 | Normal | H2SO4 | 24-hr | State Property Line | Project-Wide | No | 0.00173 | 10% molar conversion of SO2 to SO3 and 100% conversion of SO3 to H2SO4 based on engineering judgment. | No | |
| EG-3 | EG3 | Normal | SO2 | 1-hr | State Property Line | Project-Wide | No | 0.0113 | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-1, using ULSD sulfur content | No | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW)

Speciated Emissions

| Speciated Emissions | peciated Emissions by Model ID | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Short-Term ESL | Long-Term ESL | | | | | |
| CAS # | Chemical Species | Other Species | (µg/m³) | (µg/m³) | | | | | |
| 7664-41-7 | ammonia | | 180 | 92 | | | | | |
| 68476-34-6 | diesel fuel #2 | | 1000 | 100 | | | | | |



Date: December 2019

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Modeling Scenarios

Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW)

| Modeling Scenario | Scenario Description: |
|-------------------|--|
| Normal | EG-1, EG-2 and EG-3 operating at full load for 500 hours/year. |



Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW) **Modeling Results Summary**

Date: December 2019

Table 1. Project-Related Modeling Results for State Property Line

| Pollutant | Averaging Time | GLCmax (µg/m ³) | De Minimis (μg/m³) |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---|
| SO ₂ | 1-hr | 1.85402 | 20.42 |
| H ₂ SO ₄ | 1-hr | 0.285 | 1 |
| H ₂ SO ₄ | 24-hr | 0.0465 | 0.3 |
| H ₂ S | 1-hr | | 2.16 (If property is residential, recreational, business, or commercial) |
| H ₂ S | 1-hr | | 3.24 (If property is not residential, recreational, business, or commercial) |

Table 2. Site-wide Modeling Results for State Property Line

| Pollutant | Averaging Time | GLCmax (µg/m ³) | Standard (µg/m³) |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--|
| SO ₂ | 1-hr | 1.85402 | 1021 |
| H_2SO_4 | 1-hr | 0.285 | 50 |
| H_2SO_4 | 24-hr | 0.0465 | 15 |
| H_2S | 1-hr | | 108 (If property is residential, recreational, business, or commercial) |
| H_2S | 1-hr | | 162 (If property is not residential, recreational, business, or commercial) |

Table 3. Modeling Results for Minor NSR De Minimis

| Pollutant | Averaging Time | GLCmax (µg/m³) | De Minimis (µg/m³) | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| SO ₂ | 1-hr | 1.85402 | 7.8* | | | | | | |
| SO ₂ | 3-hr | 0.854 | 25 | | | | | | |
| SO ₂ | 24-hr | 0.303 | 5 | | | | | | |
| SO ₂ | Annual | 0.00539 | 1 | | | | | | |
| PM ₁₀ | 24-hr | 0.914 | 5 | | | | | | |
| NO ₂ | 1-hr | 87.34525 | 7.5** | | | | | | |
| NO ₂ | Annual | 0.251 | 1 | | | | | | |
| CO | 1-hr | 27.94088 | 2000 | | | | | | |
| CO | 8-hr | 9.04550 | 500 | | | | | | |
| Additional information f | or the De Minimis values | s listed above can be fo | und at: | | | | | | |
| * www.tceq.texas.gov/assets/public/permitting/air/memos/appwso2.pdf | | | | | | | | | |
| ** www.tceq.texas.gov | ** www.tceq.texas.gov/assets/public/permitting/air/memos/guidance_1hr_no2naaqs.pdf | | | | | | | | |

Page 1

Date: December 2019

Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW) Modeling Results Summary

Table 4. $PM_{2.5}$ Modeling Results for Minor NSR De Minimis

| Pollutant | Averaging Time | GLCmax (µg/m³) | Secondary PM _{2.5} Contribution (µg/m ³) | Total Conc. = Secondary PM _{2.5} + GLCmax (μg/m ³) | De Minimis (µg/m³) |
|--|--|---|--|--|--------------------|
| PM _{2.5} | 24-hr | 0.914 | 0.000240868 | 0.91385 | 1.2* |
| PM _{2.5} | Annual | 0.0162 | 9.7417E-06 | 0.01620 | 0.2* |
| Additional information f * www.tceq.texas.gov/ | or the De Minimis value permitting/air/modeling/e | s listed above can be fo epa-mod-guidance.html | und at: | | |

Date: December 2019

Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW)

Modeling Results Summary

| Table 5. Total Concentrations for Minor NSR NAAQS (Concentrations > De Minimis) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|---|--------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Pollutant | Averaging Time | GLCmax (µg/m³) | Background (μg/m ³) GLCmax] (μg/m ³) | | Standard (µg/m³) | | | | | |
| SO ₂ | 1-hr | | 0 | 0 | 196 | | | | | |
| SO ₂ | 3-hr | | 0 | 0 | 1300 | | | | | |
| SO ₂ | 24-hr | | 0 | 0 | 365 | | | | | |
| SO ₂ | Annual | | 0 | 0 | 80 | | | | | |
| PM ₁₀ | 24-hr | | 0 | 0 | 150 | | | | | |
| Pb | 3-mo | | 0 | 0 | 0.15 | | | | | |
| NO ₂ | 1-hr | 87.34525 | 69.00 | 156.35 | 188 | | | | | |
| NO ₂ | Annual | | 0 | 0 | 100 | | | | | |
| CO | 1-hr | | 0 | 0 | 40000 | | | | | |
| CO | 8-hr | | 0 | 0 | 10000 | | | | | |

Page 3

Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW) Modeling Results Summary

Date: December 2019

Table 6. Total Concentrations for Minor NSR NAAQS (Concentrations > De Minimis)

| Pollutant | Averaging Time | GLCmax (µg/m³) | Secondary PM _{2.5} Contribution (µg/m ³) | Background (µg/m³) | Total Conc. = [Background + Secondary + GLCmax] (μg/m ³) | Standard (µg/m³) |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--------------------|--|------------------|
| PM _{2.5} | 24-hr | 0.914 | 0.000240868 | 0 | 0.914 | 35 |
| PM _{2.5} | Annual | 0.0162 | 9.7417E-06 | 0 | 0.0162 | 12 |

Page 4



| Facility: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|----------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Modeled Health | Effect Results (| MERA Guidance |): | Step 3 | Step 4: Production | | Step 4: MSS | | Step 5: MSS Only | Step 5: Hours of Exc | eedance | | | Step 6 | Step 7: Site Wide | | Step 7: Hours of Exc | eedance | | |
| Chemical Species | CAS Number | Averaging Time | ESL [µg/m ³] | 10% ESL Step 3 Modeled GLCmax [µg/m ³] | 25 % ESL Step 4 Production GLCmax since most recent site wide modeling [µg/m ³] | 10% ESL Step 4 Production Project Only GLCmax [µg/m ³] | 50% ESL Step 4 MSS GLCmax since most recent site wide modeling [µg/m ³] | 25% ESL Step 4 MSS Project Only GLCmax [µg/m ³] | Full ESL y Step 5 GLCmax [µg/m ³] | 1X ESL GLCmax Step 5 MSS Hours of Exceedance | 2X ESL GLCmax Step 5 MSS Hours of Exceedance | 4X ESL GLCmax Step 5 MSS Hours of Exceedance | 10X ESL GLCmax Step 5 MSS Hours of Exceedance | Was Step 6 relied on to fall out of the MERA? | Site Wide GLCmax [µg/m ³] | Site Wide GLCni [µg/m³] | <i>1X ESL GLCni</i> Hours of Exceedance | 2X ESL GLCmax Hours of Exceedance | <i>4X ESL GLCmax</i> Hours of Exceedance | <i>10X ESL GLCmax</i> Hours of Exceedance |
| ammonia | 7664-41-7 | 1-hr | 180 | Refer to Table A-1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| diesel fuel #2 | 68476-34-6 | 1-hr | 1000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ammonia | 7664-41-7 | Annual | 92 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| diesel fuel #2 | 68476-34-6 | Annual | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW) Health Effects Modeling Results



Date: December 2019

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW)

Modeling File Names

| Facility: | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Model File Base Name | Pollutant | Averaging Time | File Extensions | Additional File Description |
| N_SH12_01 | NO2 | 1-hr | *.ADI, .ADO, .bpi, *-ALL- H1.PLT | SIL |
| N_SA12_01 | NO2 | Annual | *.ADI, .ADO, .bpi, *-ALL- H1.PLT | SIL |
| C_SHE12_01 | СО | 1-hr | *.ADI, .ADO, .bpi, *-ALL- H1.PLT | SIL |
| C_SHE12_01 | СО | 8-hr | *.ADI, .ADO, .bpi, *-ALL- H1.PLT | SIL |
| PM10_SD12_01 | PM10 | 24-hr | *.ADI, .ADO, .bpi, *-ALL- H1.PLT | SIL |
| PM25_SD12_01 | PM2.5 | 24-hr | *.ADI, .ADO, .bpi, *-ALL- H1.PLT | SIL |
| PM25_SA12_01 | PM2.5 | Annual | *.ADI, .ADO, .bpi, *-ALL- H1.PLT | SIL |
| S_SHTD12_01 | SO2 | 1-hr | *.ADI, .ADO, .bpi, *-ALL- H1.PLT | SIL |
| S_SHTD12_01 | SO2 | 3-hr | *.ADI, .ADO, .bpi, *-ALL- H1.PLT | SIL |
| S_SHTD12_01 | SO2 | 24-hr | *.ADI, .ADO, .bpi, *-ALL- H1.PLT | SIL |
| S_SH12_01 | SO2 | 1-hr | *.ADI, .ADO, .bpi, *-ALL- H1.PLT | SPL |
| S_SA12_01 | SO2 | Annual | *.ADI, .ADO, .bpi, *-ALL- H1.PLT | SIL |
| H2SO4_SHD12_01 | H2SO4 | 1-hr | *.ADI, .ADO, .bpi, *-ALL- H1.PLT | SPL |
| H2SO4_SHD12_01 | H2SO4 | 24-hr | *.ADI, .ADO, .bpi, *-ALL- H1.PLT | SPL |
| | | | | |





Executive Summary

QuikTrip Distribution (QuikTrip) retained PowerSecure, Inc. (PowerSecure) to permit the operation of three new generator sets (gensets) for both emergency and non-emergency use (Project) at its Distribution Center (Facility), located at 4200 Railport Parkway in Midlothian, TX. Each genset is driven by a diesel fuel-fired Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engine (RICE). This submittal is the Minor New Source Review (NSR) Permit Application (Application) for the proposed Project. The Facility details are provided below.

QuikTrip Corporation Midlothian, TX RN106208655/CN600241673

Introduction

This Application is submitted via the State of Texas Environmental Electronic Reporting System (STEERS) in accordance with the provisions of 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 116, Subchapter B: *NSR Permits* and consists of the following information. The bolded items are included in this section:

- Process Description
- ALL4 Quality Professional (AQP) Seal
- TCEQ 20833a: PI-1 General Application, Version 4.0
- Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW)
- Figures
 - Facility Location Map
 - o Plot Plan
 - Process Flow Diagram
- Regulatory Applicability Analyses
- Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Determinations
- Summary of Emissions and Emissions Calculations
- Sample Calculations
- Equipment Tables
 - o TCEQ 10166: Table 7(b) Horizontal Fixed Roof Storage Tank Summary
 - o TCEQ 10169: Table 7(e) Chemical Data Information
 - TCEQ 10195: Table 29 *Reciprocating Engines*
- Engine and Fuel Specifications

Should you have any questions related to this submittal or require additional information, please contact Tanner Henson at thenson@all4inc.com or 281-937-7553 x308 or me at Krudd@quiktrip.com or 918-615-7233.



| 683,301 m E | 683,790 m E BLD1 |
|--|---|
| BLD EG3 EG2 | 27 |
| Structure Location (Refer to EMEW for Building Dimensions) Generator Location EG1 ($683,478 \text{ m E}, 3,590,555 \text{ m N}$) EG2 ($683,481 \text{ m E}, 3,590,556 \text{ m N}$) EG3 ($683,483 \text{ m E}, 3,590,557 \text{ m N}$) Coordinate Datum: UTM NAD 83 Zone 14 | QuikTrip Distribution Midlothian, TX |
| | Figure 2 Plot Plan |





^(a) Because the normal operation of the engine generator sets is related related to maintaining readiness, the typical operational sequence is startup, brief operation, and shutdown. Consequently, maintenance, startup, and shutdown (MSS) emissions are not expected to be greater than normal operating conditions.



Executive Summary

QuikTrip Distribution (QuikTrip) retained PowerSecure, Inc. (PowerSecure) to permit and operation of three new generator sets (gensets) for both emergency and nonemergency use (Project) at its Distribution Center (Facility), located at 4200 Railport Parkway in Midlothian, TX. Each genset is driven by a diesel fuel-fired Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engine (RICE). This submittal is the Minor New Source Review (NSR) Permit Application (Application) for the proposed Project. The Facility details are provided below.

QuikTrip Corporation Midlothian, TX RN106208655/CN600241673

Introduction

This Application is submitted via the State of Texas Environmental Electronic Reporting System (STEERS) in accordance with the provisions of 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 116, Subchapter B: *NSR Permits* and consists of the following information. The bolded items are included in this section:

- Process Description
- ALL4 Quality Professional (AQP) Seal
- TCEQ 20833a: PI-1 General Application, Version 4.0
- Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW)
- Figures
 - o Facility Location Map
 - o Plot Plan
 - o Process Flow Diagram
- Regulatory Applicability Analyses
- Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Determinations
- Summary of Emissions and Emissions Calculations
- Sample Calculations
- Equipment Tables
 - TCEQ 10166: Table 7(b) Horizontal Fixed Roof Storage Tank Summary
 - TCEQ 10169: Table 7(e) *Chemical Data Information*
 - o TCEQ 10195: Table 29 Reciprocating Engines
- Engine and Fuel Specifications

Should you have any questions related to this submittal or require additional information, please contact Tanner Henson at thenson@all4inc.com or 281-937-7553 x308 or me at Krudd@quiktrip.com or 918-615-7233.



Regulatory Applicability Analysis

QuikTrip reviewed Federal and state of Texas air quality regulations to determine potentially applicable air quality requirements for the Project. The regulations that potentially apply to the proposed non-emergency generators, driven by diesel fuel-fired RICE, are described in the following subsections.

Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) has promulgated standards of performance for specific new, reconstructed, and modified sources, otherwise known as Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources (NSPS), which are codified at 40 CFR Part 60.

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart A – General Provisions

The provisions of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart A apply to the owner or operator of any stationary source subject to an NSPS. These general provisions include recordkeeping, reporting, monitoring, and testing requirements. Because the Project will be subject to NSPS, it will be required to comply with the applicable requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart A.

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Kb – Standards of Performance for Volatile Organic Liquid Storage Vessels for Which Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification Commenced After July 23, 1984

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Kb (Standards of Performance for Volatile Organic Liquid Storage Vessels for Which Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification Commenced After July 23, 1984) applies to fuel storage tanks greater than 75 cubic meters (m³) pursuant to 40 CFR §60.110b. The Project includes one diesel fuel storage tank with a capacity of 3,000 gallons (11.36 m³). The tank is less than 75 m³; therefore, Subpart Kb does not apply to the Project.

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII – Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines

The requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII (Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines) apply to the owners and operators of stationary compression ignition (CI) internal combustion engines (ICE) that commence operation after July 11, 2005 and are manufactured after the dates specified in 40 CFR §60.4200. Subpart IIII applies to the proposed 931 brake horsepower (bhp) diesel fuel-fired engines that drive the non-emergency generators at the Facility.

The emissions standards applicable to the proposed engines are presented in 40 CFR §60.4204(b), where owners and operators of 2007 or later model year non-emergency stationary CI ICE with a displacement of less than 30 liters per cylinder must comply with the emissions standards in 40 CFR §60.4201. Non-emergency diesel fuel-fired RICE must be certified by manufacturers to meet the applicable emissions standards for new, non-road compression ignition engines for the same model year and maximum engine power in Table 1 of 40 CFR §1039.101. Pursuant to 40 CFR §1039.101(b), for model year 2014 or later non-emergency engines with power ratings greater than 560 kilowatt (kW) (i.e., 750 bhp), steady-state exhaust emissions may not exceed the following applicable Tier 4f emissions standards:

- 0.67 grams per kilowatt-hour (g/kW-hr) of nitrogen oxides (NO_X)
- 3.5 g/kW-hr of carbon monoxide (CO)
- 0.19 g/kW-hr of nonmethane hydrocarbons (NMHC)
- 0.03 g/kW-hr of particulate matter (PM)

Since October 1, 2010, 40 CFR §60.4207(b) requires engines to use compliant fuel in accordance with 40 CFR §80.510(b). Such fuel must not exceed a maximum sulfur content of 15 parts per million (ppm) and have a minimum cetane index of 40 or not exceed a maximum aromatic content of 35% by volume. Therefore, the proposed RICE will use ultra-low sulfur diesel (ULSD). Manufacturer information for a typical fuel gas analysis for ULSD is provided in the additional space attachment in STEERS. Additionally, per 40 CFR §60.4211(a), QuikTrip must operate and maintain the RICE and associated control device according to the manufacturers' written instructions. QuikTrip may also change only those emission-related settings that are



permitted by the manufacturer. QuikTrip will comply with the applicable monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements under Subpart IIII.

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

The National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) originally required by the 1970 Clean Air Act (CAA), found at 40 CFR Part 61, apply to specific compounds emitted from specific source categories. The Project does not fall under any of the source categories regulated by 40 CFR Part 61. Therefore, 40 CFR Part 61 requirements are not applicable to the Project.

The provisions of 40 CFR Part 63 implement Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) standards which apply to specific source categories that are considered either major or area sources of hazardous air pollutants (HAP). A major source of HAP is defined as a stationary source that has the potential to emit (PTE) 10 tons per year (tpy) or more of any single HAP, or 25 tpy or more of any combination of HAP. Emissions from the Facility do not exceed the 10 tpy threshold for any single HAP, or the 25 tpy threshold for any combination of HAP.

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZ – National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZ (National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines) (also referred to as RICE MACT) applies to stationary RICE located at a major or area source of HAP emissions. Therefore, the provisions of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZ apply to the non-emergency engines. In accordance with 40 CFR §63.6590(c)(1), a stationary RICE located at an area source of HAP meets the requirements of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZ by meeting the requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII or 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ (Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines). The diesel fuel-fired RICE will comply with the applicable requirements of Subpart IIII; therefore, the requirements of Subpart ZZZZ will be met.



State of Texas Air Quality Regulations

Potentially applicable state of Texas regulations as codified in 30 TAC – Environmental Quality are summarized below and discussed in the following subsections.

- <u>30 TAC Chapter 101</u> General Air Quality Rules
- <u>30 TAC Chapter 106</u> Permits By Rule
- <u>30 TAC Chapter 111</u> Control of Air Pollution from Visible Emissions and Particulate Matter
- <u>30 TAC Chapter 112</u> Control of Air Pollution from Sulfur Compounds
- <u>30 TAC Chapter 113</u> Standards of Performance for Hazardous Air Pollutants and for Designated Facilities and Pollutants
- <u>30 TAC Chapter 115</u> Control of Air Pollution from Volatile Organic Compounds
- <u>30 TAC Chapter 116</u> Control of Air Pollution by Permits for New Construction or Modification
- <u>30 TAC Chapter 117</u> Control of Air Pollution from Nitrogen Compounds
- <u>30 TAC Chapter 118</u> Control of Air Pollution Episodes
- <u>30 TAC Chapter 122</u> Federal Operating Permits Program

30 TAC Chapter 101 – General Air Quality Rules

30 TAC Chapter 101 specifies the general air quality rules for the State of Texas. QuikTrip will demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 30 TAC §101 upon commencement of operation as applicable.

30 TAC Chapter 106 – Permits By Rule

30 TAC Chapter 106 identifies types of changes or facilities that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) has determined will not

make a significant contribution of air contaminants to the atmosphere pursuant to the Texas Health and Safety Code, Chapter 382 the Texas Clean Air Act (TCAA) §382.057 and §382.05196, respectively. QuikTrip is requesting authorization of its engines in non-emergency situations through this Application and is seeking authorization of its engines in emergency situations under the conditions of a PBR, specifically through 30 TAC §106.511 (Portable and Emergency Engines and Turbines). No registration or fees are associated with this PBR. As applicable, QuikTrip will maintain records required pursuant to 30 TAC §106.8.

30 TAC Chapter 111 – Control of Air Pollution from Visible Emissions and Particulate Matter

Standards for visible emissions and PM are addressed in 30 TAC Chapter 111. Specifically, 30 TAC §111.111(a)(1)(B) prohibits visible emissions in excess of 20% averaged over a six-minute period for any source. The proposed engines will be maintained and operated in accordance with manufacturer recommendations to demonstrate compliance with this visible emissions requirement. Pursuant to 30 TAC 111.111(a)(1)(F)(ii), QuikTrip will perform a Method 9 Test to confirm compliance.

Allowable emissions limits for nonagricultural processes are addressed in 30 TAC §111.151. Specifically, 30 TAC §111.151(a) prohibits PM from any source to exceed the allowable rates specified in Table 1 of the rule. The proposed engines will combust ULSD and will be maintained and operated in accordance with the manufacturer recommendations. Thus, the engines will demonstrate compliance with the total suspended particulate (TSP) emissions requirements, as applicable.

30 TAC Chapter 112 – Control of Air Pollution from Sulfur Compounds

Allowable emissions limits from sulfur compounds are addressed in 30 TAC Chapter 112. QuikTrip will meet the provisions of 30 TAC Chapter 112 as applicable. There are no emissions of hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) associated with this Project. In accordance with 30 TAC §112.3(a), sulfur dioxide (SO_2) emissions will not exceed a net ground level concentration of 0.4 parts per million volume (ppmv) averaged over any 30-minute period. In accordance with 30 TAC §112.3(a), sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4) emissions will not exceed the net ground level concentrations



specified in 30 TAC \$\$112.41(a)(1)-(3). Because the engines combust ULSD with a sulfur content of less than 15 ppmv and through air quality modeling, the Project demonstrates compliance with the aforementioned emissions limits for SO₂ and H₂SO₄.

30 TAC Chapter 113 – Standards of Performance for Hazardous Air Pollutants and for Designated Facilities and Pollutants

The provisions of 30 TAC Chapter 113, Subchapter C incorporates multiple Federal NESHAP by reference. The RICE MACT standards as specified in 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZ are incorporated by reference in 30 TAC §113.1090. As stated above, QuikTrip will comply with the provisions of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZ that apply to the non-emergency engines that drive the generators.

30 TAC Chapter 115 – Control of Air Pollution from Volatile Organic Compounds

The provisions of 30 TAC Chapter 115 apply to specific volatile organic compound (VOC)emitting processes. QuikTrip will operate ULSD fuel storage tank which meets the exemption criteria pursuant to 30 TAC §115.111(a)(1) because the ULSD will have a true vapor pressure less than 1.5 pounds per square inch absolute (psia). Therefore, the Project does not meet the applicability of the provisions in 30 TAC §115. Pursuant to 30 TAC §115.118(a)(1), PowerSecure must maintain records sufficient to demonstrate continuous compliance with the applicable exemption criteria for the ULSD tank.

30 TAC Chapter 116 – Control of Air Pollution by Permits for New Construction or Modification

Pursuant to the provisions of 30 TAC Chapter 116, QuikTrip is submitting this Minor NSR Permit Application to TCEQ. The provisions of 30 TAC §116.111(a)(2)(C) require applicants to provide a BACT analysis for applicable new and modified facilities. The procedures for conducting a BACT analysis are not explicitly defined in the Texas regulations. In general, a Texas BACT analysis follows a three-tiered approach, which is comparable to the Federal "top-down" BACT requirements. Specifically, BACT is defined in 30 TAC §116.10 as:

"An air pollution control method for a new or modified facility that through experience and research, has proven to be operational, obtainable, and capable of reducing or eliminating emissions from the facility, and is considered technically practical and economically reasonable for the facility. The emissions reduction can be achieved through technology such as the use of add-on control equipment or by enforceable changes in production processes, systems, methods, or work practice."

Details regarding the required BACT analyses are provided in the BACT attachment in STEERS. QuikTrip initiated the BACT analysis for the non-emergency engines using the TCEQ three-tier evaluation. The three-tier evaluation begins at the first tier (i.e., Tier I) and progresses in sequence to the second (i.e., Tier II) and third tiers (i.e., Tier III), only if necessary. QuikTrip first compared the proposed emissions rates to the emissions reduction performance levels accepted as BACT in recent NSR permit reviews for ICE. TCEQ has established Tier I NO_X and SO₂ BACT requirements for non-emergency electric generating engines. TCEQ has not established Tier I PM, PM less than 10 microns (PM₁₀), PM less than 2.5 microns (PM_{2.5}), CO, VOC and H₂SO₄ BACT requirements for non-emergency electric generating engines.

The proposed engines NO_X emissions guarantee does not meet the minimum acceptable NO_X control limit of 0.47 pounds per megawatt hour (lb/MW-hr) for an electric generating unit less than 10 MW in East Texas. Therefore, QuikTrip evaluated the Tier II and Tier III BACT approaches for NO_X emissions. With regard to SO₂ emissions, the engines meet TCEQ SO₂ Tier I BACT requirements, which requires liquid fuel to be limited to 0.05 percent weight of sulfur. With regard to PM/PM₁₀/PM_{2.5}, CO, VOC and H₂SO₄ emissions, QuikTrip is proposing that the non-emergency electric generating engines meet the applicable Federal and State emissions limits, which would constitute BACT. Therefore, a modified a Tier III BACT approach was used to evaluate PM/PM₁₀/PM_{2.5}, CO, VOC and H₂SO₄ emissions.

A Tier II evaluation applies if BACT requirements have not already been established for a particular process/industry. Because BACT for non-emergency engines is already established, a Tier II evaluation is not appropriate for this Application. After Tier I and Tier II BACT evaluations are exhausted, a Tier III BACT evaluation is considered. A Tier III BACT is similar to the Federal "top-down" BACT evaluation as it involves a detailed technical and quantitative economic analysis of all emissions reduction options available for the process/industry under



review. Because of the similarities between the Tier III approach and the "top-down" BACT approach as described in U.S. EPA guidance, QuikTrip has evaluated NO_X BACT for the engines using the "top-down" approach in this section.

Pursuant to 30 TAC \$116.111(a)(2)(C), QuikTrip has performed a NO_X BACT analysis for the engines that considers energy, environmental, and economic impacts. The BACT analyses provided in the BACT attachment in STEERS were performed by generally conducting a "top-down" analysis as outlined in Chapters B and G of the U.S. EPA Draft "New Source Review Workshop Manual¹."

30 TAC Chapter 117 – Control of Air Pollution from Nitrogen Compounds

The provisions 30 TAC Chapter 117, Subchapter D apply to nitrogen compound emitting processes located at minor sources in ozone nonattainment areas in the state of Texas. Because the non-emergency engines will be located in the Dallas-Fort Worth (DFW) ozone nonattainment area and the Facility will be a minor source of regulated NSR pollutants, 30 TAC Chapter 117, Subchapter D, Division 2 potentially applies. Pursuant to 30 TAC §117.2100, stationary RICE are subject 30 TAC Chapter 117, Subchapter D, Division 2.

Pursuant to 30 TAC \$117.2110(a)(3)(B)(iii), the engines must comply with the NO_X emissions standard of 4.5 grams per brake horsepower hour (g/bhp-hr). The engines meet this requirement by complying with the applicable emissions limits identified in 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII (i.e., 2.6 g/bhp-hr). Because the engines are not operated with a NO_X continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) or predictive emissions monitoring system (PEMS) under 30 TAC \$117.2135(b), the averaging time for the emissions limit will be a 1-hour block average pursuant to 30 TAC \$117.2110(b)(2). The units will be tested in accordance with the provisions of 30 TAC \$117.2135(d) and \$117.8000.

Pursuant to 30 TAC \$117.2110(h)(1), the engines must comply with the CO emissions standard of 400 ppmv at 3.0% O₂ dry basis (or alternatively, 3.0 g/bhp-hr). Because the engines are not operated with a CO CEMS or PEMS, the emissions limit will be based on a 1-hour average

¹ U.S. EPA, Draft New Source Review Workshop Manual, Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Nonattainment Area Permitting, October 1990 (1990 Workshop Manual).



pursuant to 30 TAC §117.2110(h)(1)(B). Therefore, the engines will comply with the 3.0 g/bhphr emissions limit on a 1-hour block average. The engines meet this requirement by complying with the applicable emissions limits identified in 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII (i.e., 2.6 g/bhp-hr). The units will be tested in accordance with the provisions of 30 TAC §117.2135(d), §117.8000, and §117.8000(a).

Because the engines are equipped with SCR that injects urea into the exhaust stream for NO_X control, pursuant to 30 TAC \$117.2110(h)(2), the engines must comply with the NH₃ emissions standard of 10 ppmv at 3.0% O₂ dry basis. Because the engines are not operated with a CEMS or PEMS, the emissions limit will be based on a 1-hour block average pursuant to 30 TAC \$117.2110(h)(2)(A). Therefore, the Project will comply with the NH₃ emissions standard of 10 ppmv at 3.0% O₂ emissions limit on a 1-hour block average. The units will be tested in accordance with the provisions of 30 TAC \$117.2135(d), \$117.8000, and \$117.8120(2).

The operating requirements of 30 TAC §§117.2130(a)-(c) are applicable. Specifically, pursuant to 30 TAC §117.2130(b)(1), the SCR of the engines will be operated such that the urea injection rate is maintained to limit NO_X concentrations to less than or equal to the NO_X concentrations achieved at maximum rated capacity. QuikTrip must check the engines for proper operation pursuant to 30 TAC §117.8140(b) as required by 30 TAC §117.2130(b)(3). Pursuant to 30 TAC §117.8140(b), QuikTrip shall check the engines for proper operation by recording measured concentrations of engine exhaust NO_X and CO emissions using portable instruments at least quarterly and as soon as practicable within two weeks after each occurrence of engine maintenance that may reasonably be expected to increase emissions, oxygen (O₂) sensor replacement, or catalyst cleaning or catalyst replacement. Furthermore, QuikTrip shall not operate the RICE for testing or maintenance between the hours of 6:00 AM and noon, except as specified in 30 TAC §§117.2130(c)(1)-(3).

The RICE are subject to the monitoring, notification and testing requirements of 30 TAC \$117.2135. Pursuant to 30 TAC \$117.2135(d)(1), the units must be tested for NO_X, CO and O₂ emissions. Pursuant to 30 TAC \$117.2135(d)(2), QuikTrip will use one of the NH₃ emissions monitoring procedures in 30 TAC \$117.8130 to demonstrate compliance with NH₃ emissions.


The NH₃ emissions will be calculated using the equation in 30 TAC §117.8130(1). QuikTrip will comply with the above monitoring, notification, and testing requirements as applicable.

The Project is subject to the recordkeeping and reporting requirements specified in 30 TAC §117.2145. QuikTrip will comply with these recordkeeping and reporting requirements as applicable. QuikTrip will maintain the required records (e.g., emissions measurements, initial certification testing, performance testing, etc.) pursuant to 30 TAC §§117.2145(a)(2)-(5). Furthermore, pursuant to 30 TAC §117.2145(c), QuikTrip will maintain the records of operation for testing and maintenance. All records will be kept for a period of at least five years.

30 TAC Chapter 118 – Control of Air Pollution Episodes

The provisions of 30 TAC Chapter 118 require control measures when immediate action is needed to control air pollution episodes. 30 TAC Chapter 118 is generally applicable to the Project, and QuikTrip will comply with the requirements, whenever a pollution episode exists.

30 TAC Chapter 122 – Federal Operating Permits Program

The provisions of 30 TAC Chapter 122 specify the regulations applicable to the Federal Operating Permit Program. QuikTrip is seeking authorization for the Project under 30 TAC Chapter 116 and does not meet the applicability requirement specified at 30 TAC §122.120. The Project is not subject to 30 TAC Chapter 122 because federally enforceable conditions that restrict its potential emissions below the major source threshold for applicability of Title V Operating Permit (TVOP) requirements are proposed. Although the Project is subject to regulation under 40 CFR Part 60 and Part 63, the Project is not required to obtain a TVOP as specifically noted in each regulation.



Executive Summary

QuikTrip Distribution (QuikTrip) retained PowerSecure, Inc. (PowerSecure) to permit the operation of three new generator sets (gensets) for both emergency and non-emergency use (Project) at its Distribution Center (Facility), located at 4200 Railport Parkway in Midlothian, TX. Each genset is driven by a diesel fuel-fired Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engine (RICE). This submittal is the Minor New Source Review (NSR) Permit Application (Application) for the proposed Project. The Facility details are provided below.

QuikTrip Corporation Midlothian, TX RN106208655/CN600241673

Introduction

This Application is submitted via the State of Texas Environmental Electronic Reporting System (STEERS) in accordance with the provisions of 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 116, Subchapter B: *NSR Permits* and consists of the following information. The bolded items are included in this section:

- Process Description
- ALL4 Quality Professional (AQP) Seal
- TCEQ 20833a: PI-1 General Application, Version 4.0
- Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW)
- Figures
 - Facility Location Map
 - o Plot Plan
 - o Process Flow Diagram
- Regulatory Applicability Analyses
- Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Determinations
- Summary of Emissions and Emissions Calculations
- Sample Calculations
- Equipment Tables
 - o TCEQ 10166: Table 7(b) Horizontal Fixed Roof Storage Tank Summary
 - o TCEQ 10169: Table 7(e) Chemical Data Information
 - o TCEQ 10195: Table 29 Reciprocating Engines
- Engine and Fuel Specifications

Should you have any questions related to this submittal or require additional information, please contact Tanner Henson at thenson@all4inc.com or 281-937-7553 x308 or me at Krudd@quiktrip.com or 918-615-7233.



Best Available Control Technology Determinations

BACT determinations are case-by-case analyses that involve an assessment of the applicable control technologies capable of reducing emissions of a pollutant and are conducted using a "top-down" approach considering technical feasibility, as well as economic, environmental, and energy impacts. The "top-down" BACT analysis conducted for the Project included the following five basic steps:

- Step 1: Identify Available Control Technologies
- Step 2: Eliminate Technically Infeasible Options
- Step 3: Rank Remaining Control Technologies by Control Effectiveness
- Step 4: Evaluate Economic, Environmental, and Energy Impacts of Technically Feasible Control Technologies
- Step 5: Identify BACT

The five-step approach taken to perform "top-down" BACT analyses for the RICE is described below.

Step 1 – Identify Available Control Technologies

In Step 1, "available" control options are identified. Available control options are those air pollution control technologies or techniques (including lower-emitting processes and practices) that have the potential for practical application to the emissions unit and pollutant under evaluation, with a focus on technologies that are demonstrated to achieve the highest levels of control for the pollutant in question, regardless of the source type in which the demonstration has occurred.



Step 2 – Eliminate Technically Infeasible Options

In Step 2, available control techniques listed in Step 1 may be eliminated from further consideration if not technically feasible for the specific source under review. A demonstration of technical infeasibility must be documented and show, based on physical, chemical, or engineering principles, that technical reasons would preclude the successful use of the control option on the emissions unit under review. U.S. EPA generally considers a technology technically feasible if it has been demonstrated and operated successfully on the same type of emissions unit under review. An available technology from Step 1, however, cannot be eliminated as technically infeasible simply because it has not been used on the same type of source that is under review. If the technology has not been operated successfully on the type of source type under review should be considered prior to the elimination of the technology as technically infeasible.

Step 3 – Rank Remaining Control Technologies by Control Effectiveness

In Step 3, the remaining control technologies are listed in order of overall control effectiveness for the pollutant under review. The most effective control alternative (i.e., the option that achieves the greatest emissions reduction) should be listed as the top choice and the remaining technologies ranked in descending order of control effectiveness. The ranking of control options in Step 3 determines where to start the "top-down" selection process in Step 4. In determining and ranking technologies based on control effectiveness, facilities may include information on control efficiency (e.g., percent pollutant removed), expected emissions rate (e.g., tons per year [tpy], pounds per hour [lb/hr], pounds per unit of product, pounds per unit of input, parts per million volume [ppmv], dry [ppmvd]), and expected emissions reduction (e.g., tpy). The metrics chosen for ranking should best represent the array of control technology alternatives under consideration for the pollutant included in the evaluation. If the top ranked control is selected prior to Step 4, then Step 4 may not be necessary.



Step 4 – Evaluate Economic, Environmental, and Energy Impacts of Technically Feasible Control Technologies

In Step 4, economic, environmental, and energy impacts are evaluated for each remaining option under consideration. Accordingly, after available and technically feasible control options have been ranked in terms of control effectiveness (i.e., Step 3), facilities should consider specific economic, environmental, and energy impacts identified with those technologies to either confirm that the "top" control alternative is appropriate or inappropriate. The "top" control option should be established as BACT unless the applicant demonstrates that the economic, environmental, and energy impacts are constraining such that the most stringent technology is not "reasonable" in that case. If the most stringent technology is eliminated in this fashion, then the next most stringent alternative is considered, and so on. Both direct and indirect impacts of the emissions control option or strategy being evaluated should be considered.

Step 5 – Identify BACT

In Step 5, the most effective control option not eliminated in Step 4 should be selected as BACT for the pollutant and emissions unit under review.

Best Available Control Technology Analysis for the Non-Emergency Engines

The text below presents the BACT analysis for the proposed non-emergency engines.

Nitrogen Oxides BACT

This section presents the nitrogen oxides (NO_X) BACT discussion for the non-emergency engines. NO_X is primarily formed by two mechanisms: (1) the combination of elemental nitrogen (N₂) and oxygen (O₂) in the combustion air within the high-temperature environment of the combustor (thermal NO_X); and (2) the oxidation of N₂ contained in the fuel (fuel NO_X). NO_X emissions from the non-emergency engines, which drive the generators, originate primarily



as thermal NO_X. The rate of formation of thermal NO_X is a function of residence time and free O_2 and is exponential with peak combustion cylinder temperature.

Step 1 – Identify Available Control Technologies

To identify available control options for NO_X emissions from stationary, non-emergency, dieselfuel fired RICE of comparable size to the non-emergency engines proposed for this Project, QuikTrip reviewed the following resources:

- The U.S. EPA Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT)/BACT/Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (LAER) Clearinghouse (RBLC) database
- The California Air Resources Board (CARB) BACT Clearinghouse

The following NO_X control technologies were identified for the specified RICE:

- Hydrocarbon Lean NO_X Catalyst
- Exhaust Gas Recirculation (EGR)
- NO_X Adsorber (Lean NO_X Trap [LNT])
- Engine Coatings with Engine Timing Retard
- Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR)
- Good Operating Practices

The results of the search indicate that implementation of the following control technologies have not been applied in practice for stationary, diesel-fuel fired RICE of comparable size as that proposed:

- Hydrocarbon Lean NO_X Catalyst
- Exhaust Gas Recirculation
- NO_X Adsorber
- Engine Coatings with Engine Timing Retard

The lack of documented use of these four NO_X control technologies suggests that while these control technologies may be available and, in theory, technically feasible, the technologies are either inherent to the design of a specific engine or are not readily available for the proposed



Project engines. Nonetheless, the technical feasibility of these four options are discussed in Step 2 of the BACT analysis. The following are descriptions of the technically feasible control technologies.

Hydrocarbon Lean NO_X Catalyst

A hydrocarbon lean NO_X catalyst system uses hydrocarbons as an after-treatment reductant to achieve NO_X conversion efficiency in an engine exhaust stream along with a catalyst. The hydrocarbon or diesel fuel in this case is injected into the exhaust stream in the presence of a proprietary catalyst, to create a catalytic reaction which reduces NO_X emissions at an efficiency of 5%-40%.

Exhaust Gas Recirculation

EGR redirects a portion of the exhaust flow from a RICE back to the cylinders. This process cools the cylinder combustion chamber, lowering the peak combustion temperature, thereby reducing the amount of thermal NO_X generated in the fuel combustion process. EGR can potentially reduce NO_X emissions at an efficiency of 25%-40%.

NOx Adsorber (Lean NOx Trap)

 NO_X adsorption uses an adsorbent to adhere and trap NO_X molecules present in RICE exhaust at an efficiency of 5%-40%.

Engine Coatings with Engine Timing Retard

Ceramic engine coatings applied to the combustion zone surfaces of the piston crown, valve faces, and head, coupled with engine timing retard, are demonstrated to reduce NO_X emissions from diesel fuel-fired RICE up to 40% in certain applications.

Selective Catalytic Reduction

SCR is a post-combustion add-on NO_X control technology placed in the exhaust stream. SCR uses ammonia (NH₃) or urea to react with NO_X in the presence of a catalyst. NH₃ reacts with



 NO_X to form N_2 and water (H₂O). The NO_X reduction reaction is effective only within a given exhaust temperature range. The optimum exhaust temperature range depends on the type of catalyst used and the exhaust gas composition. Optimum temperatures vary from 480 to 800 degrees Fahrenheit¹. Titanium dioxide, tungsten trioxide, or vanadium pentoxide are typical materials used for the catalyst material.

Good Operating Practices

Good operating practices include both effective combustion system design and proper operation and maintenance practices. Combustion system design is implemented during the design and manufacture of an engine. Typical combustion design features include electronic fuel/air ratio and timing controllers, pre-chamber ignition, and intercoolers². Good combustion system design is standard on new engines and therefore is included for the proposed non-emergency RICE.

Step 2 – Eliminate Technically Infeasible Options

Hydrocarbon Lean NOx Catalyst

A hydrocarbon lean NO_X catalyst system is not a NO_X control technology that has been effectively used on stationary RICE driving non-emergency generators and is instead more often used in on-road and non-road mobile applications. Additionally, a lean NO_X catalyst is not one of the control technologies included on U.S. EPA's Verified Technologies List³ for stationary, non-emergency, diesel-fuel fired RICE of comparable size as that proposed by the Facility. Therefore, QuikTrip considers hydrocarbon lean NO_X catalyst a technically infeasible control option for reducing NO_X emissions from the proposed stationary, non-emergency, diesel-fuel fired RICE at the Facility. As a result, hydrocarbon lean NO_X catalyst control technology is not evaluated further herein.

¹ U.S. EPA Air Pollution Control Technology Fact Sheet for SCR, EPA-452/F-03-032.

² U.S. EPA AP-42. Chapter 3.3. Gasoline and Diesel Industrial Engines (10/96).

³ U.S. EPA, Verified Technologies List for Clean Diesel, https://www.epa.gov/verified-diesel-tech/verified-technologies-list-clean-diesel.



Exhaust Gas Recirculation

According to U.S. EPA's Verified Technologies List, EGR is most often evaluated as a NO_X emissions reduction technology during the design phase of a RICE and is sometimes used by RICE manufacturers as a method to comply with new RICE emissions standards. The installation of EGR after engine manufacture would require significant modifications to a RICE. As a result, EGR is not often used as a retrofit control technology. Also, according to the RBLC database, EGR has not been demonstrated in practice for use in reducing NOx emissions from stationary, non-emergency, diesel-fuel fired RICE of comparable size as those proposed at the Facility. Therefore, QuikTrip considers EGR to be a technically infeasible control option. EGR control technology is not evaluated further herein.

NOx Adsorber

According to the RBLC database, NOx adsorption has not been demonstrated for use in reducing NO_X emissions from stationary, non-emergency, diesel-fuel fired RICE of comparable size as those located at the Facility. NO_X adsorption is not one of the control technologies included on U.S. EPA's Verified Technologies List or on the CARB list of verified technologies⁴ for stationary, non-emergency, diesel-fuel fired RICE of comparable size and manufacture date as those located at the Facility. NO_X adsorption is also not addressed in any of the publications included on U.S. EPA's National Clean Diesel Campaign's (NCDC) website⁵. Therefore, QuikTrip considers NO_X adsorption a technically infeasible control option and is not evaluated further herein.

Engine Coatings with Engine Timing Retard

Variable fuel timing (a key factor in reducing NO_X emissions via engine timing retard) cannot be achieved based on the design of the RICE proposed at the Facility. For RICE of a certain manufacture date, including that proposed at the Facility, all fuel timing is done via mechanical devices (an offset key on the camshaft). This offset correlates to the start of fuel injection and

⁴ CARB. Verification Procedure – Currently Verified, https://www.arb.ca.gov/diesel/verdev/vt/cvt.htm.



can only be incrementally adjusted within stringent nominal settings before deviating from the performance Critical Parts List (CPL) for a RICE. If the fuel timing for the proposed RICE exceeds CPL tolerances, the RICE will not function properly, and the key mechanical components of the RICE will be in jeopardy of degradation and/or failure. Also, according to the RBLC database, ceramic engine coatings, coupled with engine timing retard, have not been recorded for use in reducing NOx emissions from stationary, non-emergency, diesel-fuel fired RICE of comparable size as that proposed at the Facility. Additionally, ceramic engine coatings, coupled with engine timing retard, is not one of the control technologies included on U.S. EPA's Verified Technologies List or the CARB list of verified technologies for stationary, non-emergency, diesel-fuel fired RICE of comparable size and manufacture date as those at the Facility. Therefore, QuikTrip considers ceramic engine coatings, coupled with engine timing retard, a technically infeasible control option and are not evaluated further herein.

Selective Catalytic Reduction

SCR is applied in base-load diesel engine applications where engines are operated primarily at high capacity for extended periods of time for industrial and power generation purposes. SCR has been applied successfully to non-emergency engines and is considered technically feasible for the proposed non-emergency engines. Thus, SCR control technology is considered technically feasible for the purposes of this BACT analysis and is further considered in Step 3 and Step 4 of the analysis.

Good Operating Practices

Good operating practices, which can include combustion system design, proper preventative maintenance practices, and minimizing time spent in idle are successfully applied to nonemergency engines and have been demonstrated. Thus, good operating practices are considered technically feasible for the purposes of this BACT analysis and are further considered in Step 3 and Step 4 of the analysis.

⁵U.S. EPA Verified Technologies for SmartWay and Clean Diesel. https://www.epa.gov/verified-diesel-tech/learn-about-verified-technologiesclean-diesel.



Step 3 – Rank Remaining Control Technologies by Control Effectiveness

The technically feasible control options identified in Step 2 are ranked in terms of control efficiency in BACT Table 1:

BACT Table 1 NOx Control Technology Ranking for the Non-emergency Engines that Drive the Power Generators

| Control Technology Option | Control Efficiency | Ranking |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| SCR | ~90% ⁶ | 1 |
| Good operating practices | Vendor and process dependent | 2 |

Step 4 – Evaluate Economic, Environmental, and Energy Impacts of Technically Feasible Control Technologies

QuikTrip proposes the use of the top two technically feasible options, SCR and good operating practices to control NO_X emissions from the non-emergency engines that drive the generators. Thus, a control cost analysis is not included in this BACT analysis. QuikTrip does not anticipate additional environmental or energy impacts associated with the use of the proposed control technologies for the RICE, specifically the proposed use of SCR and good operating practices.

Step 5 – Proposed BACT

The proposed Volvo TWD1673GE engines are certified as a Tier 4f engines. The engines are equipped with SCR to control NO_X emissions. The proposed engines will meet the 0.67 grams per kilowatt hour (g/kW-hr) NO_X limit requirement of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII, and the engine has vendor guaranteed NO_X emissions with the application of SCR lower than the applicable Subpart IIII emissions limit. QuikTrip proposes the use of SCR and good operating practices as NO_X BACT.

⁶ U.S. EPA's Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards *Air Pollution Control Cost Manual Control*. Chapter 2 SCR (pg. 2-1). https://www3.epa.gov/ttn/ecas/docs/SCRCostManualchapter7thEdition_2016.pdf (May 2016).



Particulate Matter BACT

For non-emergency engines of similar size to those for the Project, good operating practices are used to limit emissions of particulate matter (PM), PM less than 10 microns (PM₁₀), and PM less than 2.5 microns (PM_{2.5}). TCEQ does not have a Tier I or Tier II BACT evaluation established for PM, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} for non-emergency engines. Therefore, a modified Tier III approach was used for the PM, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} BACT evaluation. Using the modified Tier III BACT approach for PM, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}, the results of the RBLC, CARB BACT Clearinghouse, and other permitted facilities were searched to understand the control technologies used for nonemergency engines and no other technologies were identified for the control of PM, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5}. Unlike NO_X controls, the PM, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} BACT search indicated that good operating practices are considered BACT for the engines. Therefore, a complete top-down approach was not completed for PM, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}. Additionally, given the limited hours of use and minimal annual emissions, there is no appreciable environmental benefit nor anticipated feasible cost-effective control options for PM, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} emissions. Furthermore, each engine has vendor guaranteed PM emissions lower than the applicable 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII emissions limits. Based on these findings, QuikTrip proposes good operating practices as PM, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} BACT and will meet Texas PM requirements.

Carbon Monoxide BACT

This section presents the carbon monoxide (CO) BACT discussion for the non-emergency engine generators. CO emissions are a result of incomplete combustion of carbon contained within the fuel. Properly designed and operated engines typically emit low levels of CO. High levels of CO emissions could result from poor design or sub-optimal firing conditions.



Step 1 – Identify Available Control Technologies

To identify available control options for CO emissions from stationary, non-emergency, dieselfuel fired RICE of comparable size to the non-emergency engines proposed for this Project, QuikTrip reviewed the following resources:

- U.S. EPA's RACT/BACT/LAER RBLC database
- CARB BACT Clearinghouse

The following control technologies were identified for CO reduction from the specified RICE:

- Diesel Oxidation Catalyst (DOC)
- Good Operating Practices

Diesel Oxidation Catalyst

DOC technology is an add-on control designed to reduce CO emissions. The catalysts are usually made of a precious metal and operate at temperatures in the range of 650 to $1,000^{\circ}F^{7}$. The catalysts cause excess O₂ to react with CO to form carbon dioxide (CO₂). The catalytic oxidizer can be susceptible to poisoning by fine particles in the exhaust gas, which reduces the catalyst effectiveness.

Good Combustion Practices

Good combustion practices are implemented in the design of the engine. Typical design features include electronic fuel/air ratio and timing controllers, pre-chamber ignition, intercoolers, and lean-burn fuel mix⁸. Good combustion practices are standard on new engines, and therefore have been proposed for the ultra-low sulfur diesel (ULSD)-fired generator engines.

⁷ Catalytic Oxidizer. *Technology Transfer Network Clearinghouse for Inventories & Emissions Factors*. http://cfpub.epa.gov/oarweb/mkb/contechnique.cfm?ControlID=10

⁸ U.S. EPA AP-42. Chapter 3.3. Gasoline and Diesel Industrial Engines (10/96).



Step 2 – Eliminate Technically Infeasible Options

Diesel Oxidation Catalyst

DOC is not considered to be technically feasible due to the smaller size of the non-emergency generator engines and intermittent operations. DOC is mainly effective for steady-state engine operation and not intermittent operation. The engines will typically only operate a few hours each month for readiness testing and maintenance checks, in response to dispatches, and will be permitted to operate for no more than 500 hours/12-month rolling period.

Step 3 – Rank Remaining Control Technologies by Control Effectiveness

The only feasible control technology for the ULSD-fired generator engines is good combustion practices. Therefore, a ranking has not been considered to establish a top technology.

Step 4 – Evaluate Economic, Environmental, and Energy Impacts of Technically Feasible Control Technologies

Good combustion practices will be implemented as part of the design and operation of the engine generators. Therefore, economic, environmental, and/or energy impacts were not assessed.

Step 5 – Proposed BACT

A review was conducted of CO control determinations for engine generators, including a search of the RBLC database and a review of information concerning recently permitted facilities with non-emergency generator engines. No other facility employs more stringent control technologies than what is currently employed for the engines. QuikTrip proposes good combustion practices, including the use of ULSD, and limited annual operating hours as BACT for the non-emergency generator engine. Furthermore, each engine has vendor guaranteed CO emissions lower than the applicable Subpart IIII emissions limits and will meet Texas CO requirements.



Volatile Organic Compound BACT

For non-emergency engines of similar size to those for the Project, good operating practices are used to limit Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) emissions. TCEQ does not have a Tier I or Tier II BACT evaluation established for VOC for non-emergency engines. Therefore, a modified Tier III approach was used for VOC BACT evaluation. Using the modified Tier III BACT approach for VOC, the results of the RBLC, CARB BACT Clearinghouse, and other permitted facilities were searched to understand the control technologies used for non-emergency engines. Unlike NO_X controls, the VOC BACT search indicated that good operating practices are considered BACT for each engine and no other technologies were identified for the control of VOC. Therefore, a complete top-down approach was not completed for VOC. Additionally, given the limited hours of use and minimal annual emissions, there is no appreciable environmental benefit nor anticipated feasible cost-effective control option for VOC emissions. Furthermore, each engine has vendor guaranteed VOC emissions that are lower than the nonmethane hydrocarbon (NMHC) portion of the Subpart IIII emissions limits. Based on these findings, QuikTrip proposes good operating practices as VOC BACT.

For storage tanks similar to those for the Project, good operating practices and specific design features are used to limit VOC emissions. TCEQ maintains Tier I BACT for VOC emissions from fixed roof storage tanks with a capacity of less than 25,000 gallons or storing liquids with a true vapor pressure of less than 0.50 pounds per square inch absolute (psia). Specifically, Tier I BACT for these tanks includes having the exterior surfaces that are exposed to the sun be white or aluminum and having a submerged fill. The proposed storage tank does not have surfaces exposed to the sun and operates with a submerged fill. Therefore, by storing ULSD with a true vapor pressure of less than 0.50 psia and having a submerged fill, QuikTrip meets TCEQ's Tier I BACT requirements.



Sulfuric Acid BACT

For non-emergency engines of similar size to those for the Project, good operating practices and the use of ULSD are used to limit sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4) emissions. TCEQ does not have a Tier I or Tier II BACT evaluation established for H_2SO_4 for non-emergency engines. Therefore, a modified Tier III approach was used for the H_2SO_4 BACT evaluation. Using the modified Tier III BACT approach for H_2SO_4 , the results of the RBLC, CARB BACT Clearinghouse, and other permitted facilities were searched to understand the control technologies used for non-emergency engines. Unlike NO_X controls, the H_2SO_4 BACT search indicated that good operating practices and the use of ULSD are considered BACT for each engine and no other technologies were identified for the control of H_2SO_4 . Therefore, a complete top-down approach was not completed for H_2SO_4 . Additionally, given the limited hours of use and minimal annual emissions, there is no appreciable environmental benefit nor anticipated feasible cost-effective control option for H_2SO_4 emissions. Based on these findings, QuikTrip proposes good operating practices and the use of ULSD as H_2SO_4 BACT and will meet Texas H_2SO_4 requirements.

Sulfur Dioxide BACT

For non-emergency engines of similar size to those for the Project, good operating practices and the use of ULSD are used to limit sulfur dioxide (SO₂) emissions. TCEQ does not have a Tier I or Tier II BACT evaluation established for SO₂ for non-emergency engines. Therefore, a modified Tier III approach was used for the SO₂ BACT evaluation. Using the modified Tier III BACT approach for SO₂, the results of the RBLC, CARB BACT Clearinghouse, and other permitted facilities were searched to understand the control technologies used for non-emergency engines. Unlike NO_X controls, the SO₂ BACT search indicated that good operating practices and the use of ULSD are considered BACT for each engine and no other technologies were identified for the control of SO₂. Therefore, a complete top-down approach was not completed for SO₂. Additionally, given the limited hours of use and minimal annual emissions, there is no appreciable environmental benefit nor any anticipated feasible cost-effective control option for



SO₂ emissions. Based on these findings, QuikTrip proposes good operating practices and the use of ULSD as SO₂ BACT and will meet Texas SO₂ requirements.

Ammonia BACT

For non-emergency engines of similar size to those for the Project, equipped with SCR, good operating practices are used to limit NH₃ emissions. TCEQ does not have a Tier I or Tier II BACT evaluation established for NH₃ slip for non-emergency engines with SCR. Therefore, a modified Tier III approach was used for the NH₃ BACT evaluation. Using the modified Tier III BACT approach for NH₃, the results of the RBLC, CARB BACT Clearinghouse, and other permitted facilities were searched to understand the control technologies used for non-emergency engines. The NH₃ BACT search indicated that good operating practices are considered BACT for each engine. Therefore, a complete top-down approach was not completed for NH₃. Additionally, given the limited hours of use and minimal annual emissions, there is no appreciable environmental benefit nor any anticipated feasible cost-effective control option for NH₃ emissions. In addition, QuikTrip injects urea (instead of ammonia), which inherently reduces the risk of ammonia releases and will also meet Texas NH₃ requirements.

Maintenance, Startup, and Shutdown BACT

Non-emergency engines are designed to operate intermittently. The proposed emissions encompass all activities, including maintenance, startup, and shutdown (MSS). The engines operate with SCR that will be cleaned periodically to ensure optimal operation. Additionally, QuikTrip will not operate the engine for testing or maintenance during the hours of 6:00 am and noon. QuikTrip has evaluated MSS emissions and proposes good operating practices, proper SCR cleaning, and the listed maintenance hours as MSS BACT.



Executive Summary

QuikTrip Distribution (QuikTrip) retained PowerSecure, Inc. (PowerSecure) to permit the operation of three new generator sets (gensets) for both emergency and non-emergency use (Project) at its Distribution Center (Facility), located at 4200 Railport Parkway in Midlothian, TX. Each genset is driven by a diesel fuel-fired Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engine (RICE). This submittal is the Minor New Source Review (NSR) Permit Application (Application) for the proposed Project. The Facility details are provided below.

QuikTrip Corporation Midlothian, TX RN106208655/CN600241673

Introduction

This Application is submitted via the State of Texas Environmental Electronic Reporting System (STEERS) in accordance with the provisions of 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 116, Subchapter B: *NSR Permits* and consists of the following information. The bolded items are included in this section:

- Process Description
- ALL4 Quality Professional (AQP) Seal
- TCEQ 20833a: PI-1 General Application, Version 4.0
- Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW)
- Figures
 - Facility Location Map
 - Plot Plan
 - Process Flow Diagram
- Regulatory Applicability Analyses
- Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Determinations
- Summary of Emissions and Emissions Calculations
- Sample Calculations
- Equipment Tables
 - o TCEQ 10166: Table 7(b) Horizontal Fixed Roof Storage Tank Summary
 - o TCEQ 10169: Table 7(e) Chemical Data Information
 - TCEQ 10195: Table 29 *Reciprocating Engines*
- Engine and Fuel Specifications

Should you have any questions related to this submittal or require additional information, please contact Tanner Henson at thenson@all4inc.com or 281-937-7553 x308 or me at Krudd@quiktrip.com or 918-615-7233.

Emission Calculation Table 1 Summary of Emissions from Project PowerSecure, Inc./QuikTrip Distribution

| Pollutant | Combined Potential to Emit (PTE) ^(c) | | | | |
|---|---|----------|--|--|--|
| | (lb/hr) (tpy) | | | | |
| PM/PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5} ^(a) | 0.10 | 2.55E-02 | | | |
| NO _X | 1.76 | 0.44 | | | |
| VOC ^(b) | 0.18 | 8.83E-03 | | | |
| СО | 0.51 | 0.13 | | | |
| SO_2 | 3.39E-02 | 8.48E-03 | | | |
| H_2SO_4 | 5.20E-03 | 1.30E-03 | | | |
| NH ₃ | 0.23 5.64E-02 | | | | |
| HAPs | 2.64E-02 | 6.59E-03 | | | |

^(a) PM emissions are equivalent to PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ emissions and include both filterable and condensable fractions.

^(b) Volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions include emissions from the engine and fuel storage tank.

^(c) PTE includes emissions from each engine during planned maintenance, startup, and shutdown (MSS) operation.

Emission Calculation Table 2 RICE Potential Non-HAP and Non-Air Toxics Emissions Summary PowerSecure, Inc./QuikTrip Distribution

| | Emissions | | Reference | PTE for | | PTE for All Engines ^(g) | |
|--|------------|----------|-----------|----------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|----------|
| Pollutant | Eniissions | Units | | Each Engine ^(g) | | | |
| | Factor | | | (lb/hr) | (tpy) | (lb/hr) | (tpy) |
| PM/PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5} | 1.80E-02 | g/kW-hr | (a), (b) | 3.40E-02 | 8.49E-03 | 0.10 | 2.55E-02 |
| PM (filterable) | 1.60E-02 | g/kW-hr | (b), (c) | 3.02E-02 | 7.56E-03 | 9.07E-02 | 2.27E-02 |
| PM (condensable) | 1.99E-03 | g/kW-hr | (b), (c) | 3.75E-03 | 9.38E-04 | 1.13E-02 | 2.82E-03 |
| NO _X | 0.31 | g/kW-hr | (a) | 0.59 | 0.15 | 1.76 | 0.44 |
| VOC | 3.31E-03 | g/kW-hr | (a) | 5.66E-03 | 1.42E-03 | 1.70E-02 | 4.25E-03 |
| СО | 9.00E-02 | g/kW-hr | (a) | 0.17 | 4.25E-02 | 0.51 | 0.13 |
| SO_2 | 1.21E-05 | lb/hp-hr | (d) | 1.13E-02 | 2.83E-03 | 3.39E-02 | 8.48E-03 |
| H_2SO_4 | 1.86E-06 | lb/hp-hr | (e) | 1.73E-03 | 4.33E-04 | 5.20E-03 | 1.30E-03 |
| NH ₃ | 6.02E-02 | lb/hr | (f) | 7.53E-02 | 1.88E-02 | 0.23 | 5.64E-02 |

^(a) Emissions factors were provided by the vendor. The emissions rates were adjusted up by 25% to present a not to exceed value.

^(b) PM emissions are equivalent to PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} emissions and include both filterable and condensable fractions.

^(c) The filterable and condensable portions of PM were back-calculated using a ratio of referenced PM emissions factors obtained from AP-42, Chapter 3, Table 3.4-2 (October 1996).

^(d) The SO₂ emissions factor was obtained from AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-1, using ULSD sulfur content of 0.0015% by weight.

^(e) The H_2SO_4 emissions factor is conservatively estimated based on 10% molar conversion of SO_2 to SO_3 and 100% conversion of SO_3 to H_2SO_4 based on engineering judgement.

^(f) The NH₃ emissions factor for SCR was obtained from Table 5-5: *SCR and SNCR Ammonia Emission Factors*, for use with oil as fuel, provided in the Development and Selection of Ammonia Emission Factors, Final Report, dated August 1994, prepared for the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). The emissions rates were adjusted up by 25% to present a not to exceed value.

^(g) PTE rates account for emissions from the engines during planned maintenance, startup, and shutdown (MSS) as the worst case emissions profile occurs at maximum engine load. Fuel supply flow was used in lieu of consumption rate as vendor information did not provide fuel consumption. PTE rates are calculated assuming the following information and assumptions:

ParameterValueFuelULSDNumber of units3Fuel Supply Flow per
engine, gal/hr43Rating per engine, kW685Rating per engine, bhp931

0.0015

500

Operational Parameters

Diesel Sulfur content.

wt. % Max. hrs/yr

| Emission Calculation Table 3 |
|---|
| RICE Potential Air Toxics and HAPs Emissions Summary |
| PowerSecure, Inc./QuikTrip Distribution |

| | Emissions | | | PTE fo | r Each | PTE for All Engines ^(a) | | |
|------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------------------|----------|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------|--|
| Pollutant | Factor | Units | Reference | Engi | ne ^(a) | | | |
| | | | | (lb/hr) | (tpy) | (lb/hr) | (tpy) | |
| Acenaphthene | 4.68E-06 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-4 | 2.76E-05 | 6.89E-06 | 8.27E-05 | 2.07E-05 | |
| Acenaphthylene | 9.23E-06 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-4 | 5.44E-05 | 1.36E-05 | 1.63E-04 | 4.08E-05 | |
| Anthracene | 1.23E-06 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-4 | 7.25E-06 | 1.81E-06 | 2.17E-05 | 5.44E-06 | |
| Benz(a)anthracene | 6.22E-07 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-4 | 3.67E-06 | 9.16E-07 | 1.10E-05 | 2.75E-06 | |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 2.57E-07 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-4 | 1.51E-06 | 3.79E-07 | 4.54E-06 | 1.14E-06 | |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | 1.11E-06 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-4 | 6.54E-06 | 1.64E-06 | 1.96E-05 | 4.91E-06 | |
| Benzo(g,h,l)perylene | 5.56E-07 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-4 | 3.28E-06 | 8.19E-07 | 9.83E-06 | 2.46E-06 | |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 2.18E-07 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-4 | 1.28E-06 | 3.21E-07 | 3.85E-06 | 9.63E-07 | |
| Chrysene | 1.53E-06 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-4 | 9.02E-06 | 2.25E-06 | 2.70E-05 | 6.76E-06 | |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | 3.46E-07 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-4 | 2.04E-06 | 5.10E-07 | 6.12E-06 | 1.53E-06 | |
| Fluoranthene | 4.03E-06 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-4 | 2.37E-05 | 5.94E-06 | 7.12E-05 | 1.78E-05 | |
| Fluorene | 1.28E-05 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-4 | 7.54E-05 | 1.89E-05 | 2.26E-04 | 5.66E-05 | |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | 4.14E-07 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-4 | 2.44E-06 | 6.10E-07 | 7.32E-06 | 1.83E-06 | |
| Phenanthrene | 4.08E-05 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-4 | 2.40E-04 | 6.01E-05 | 7.21E-04 | 1.80E-04 | |
| Pyrene | 3.71E-06 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-4 | 2.19E-05 | 5.47E-06 | 6.56E-05 | 1.64E-05 | |
| Acetaldehyde | 2.52E-05 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-3 | 1.48E-04 | 3.71E-05 | 4.45E-04 | 1.11E-04 | |
| Acrolein | 7.88E-06 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-3 | 4.64E-05 | 1.16E-05 | 1.39E-04 | 3.48E-05 | |
| Benzene | 7.76E-04 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-3 | 4.57E-03 | 1.14E-03 | 1.37E-02 | 3.43E-03 | |
| Formaldehyde | 7.89E-05 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-3 | 4.65E-04 | 1.16E-04 | 1.39E-03 | 3.49E-04 | |
| Naphthalene | 1.30E-04 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-4 | 7.66E-04 | 1.91E-04 | 2.30E-03 | 5.74E-04 | |
| Toluene | 2.81E-04 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-3 | 1.66E-03 | 4.14E-04 | 4.97E-03 | 1.24E-03 | |
| Xylenes | 1.93E-04 | lb/MMBtu | AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-3 | 1.14E-03 | 2.84E-04 | 3.41E-03 | 8.53E-04 | |
| | | | Highest Individ | 1.14E-03 | - | 3.43E-03 | | |
| | | | Te | 2.20E-03 | - | 6.59E-03 | | |

^(a) Fuel supply flow was used in lieu of consumption rate as vendor information did not provide fuel consumption. PTE rates are calculated assuming the following information and assumptions:

| Operational Parameters | | | | |
|--|-------|--|--|--|
| Parameter | Value | | | |
| Fuel | ULSD | | | |
| Fuel, MMBtu/gal | 0.137 | | | |
| Number of units | 3 | | | |
| Fuel Supply Flow, gal/hr per engine | 43 | | | |
| MMBtu/hr per engine | 5.9 | | | |
| Max. hrs/yr per engine | 500 | | | |

Emission Calculation Table 4 3,000-Gallon Diesel Fuel Storage Tank Potential VOC Emissions PowerSecure, Inc./QuikTrip Distribution

| Description | Reference Factor | Abbreviation | Unit | Diesel Storage Tank | | | |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| General Tank Information | | | | | | | |
| Tank ID | - | - | - | TK-1 | | | |
| Product Code | - | - | - | UL# 142 Double Wall | | | |
| Material | - | - | - | Diesel | | | |
| Orientation | - | - | - | Horizontal | | | |
| Vessel Shape | - | - | - | Rectangular | | | |
| Roof Type | - | - | - | Horizonal Fixed Roof | | | |
| Emission Control | - | - | - | N/A | | | |
| Tank Color | - | - | - | Gray | | | |
| Roof Construction | - | - | - | Welded | | | |
| Shell Construction | - | - | - | Welded | | | |
| Product Days | - | - | days | 365 | | | |
| Capacity | - | - | bbl | 71.43 | | | |
| Capacity | - | - | gal | 3,000.00 | | | |
| Height | - | - | ft | 2.83 | | | |
| Length | - | - | ft | 33.75 | | | |
| Width | - | - | tt | 8.25 | | | |
| Emissions Factors for Fixed Roof | Tanks (AP- | 42 Chapter 7. | I, Organic Lic | luid Storage Tanks) | | | |
| Tank Roof Height | - | H _R | ft | 2.83 | | | |
| Liquid Height | - | H_{L} | ft | 1.42 | | | |
| Vapor Space Outage | - | H _{vo} | ft | 1.42 | | | |
| Vapor Space Volume | - | V_{V} | ft^3 | 394.45 | | | |
| Paint Solar Absorptance For Fixed Roof Tank | (a) | α | - | 0.68 | | | |
| Daily Maximum Ambient Temperature | (b) | T _{AX} | °R | 537.67 | | | |
| Daily Minimum Ambient Temperature | (b) | T_{AN} | °R | 514.83 | | | |
| Daily Average Ambient Temperature | (b) | Тда | °F | 66.25 | | | |
| Daily Average Ambient Temperature | (b) | | °R | 526.25 | | | |
| Liquid Bulk Temperature | (c) | T _R | °R | 529.27 | | | |
| Daily Total Solar Insolation Factor | (d) | I | R | 1.481.00 | | | |
| Daily Average Liquid Surface Temperature | (u) (e) | T _{LA} | °R | 533.10 | | | |
| Constant in Vanor Pressure Equation | (f) | ٨ | | 11.54 | | | |
| Constant in Vapor Pressure Equation | (1) (f) | A B | - •P | 5 050 29 | | | |
| Vapor Pressure at Daily Average Liquid | (1) | В | K | 5,050.29 | | | |
| Surface Temperature | - | P_{VA} | psia | 1.00E-02 | | | |
| Average Vapor Molecular Weight | (g) | M _V | lb/lb-mole | 130.00 | | | |
| Ideal Gas Constant | - | R | psia·ft ³ / lb-mole·°R | 10.73 | | | |
| Vapor Density | - | W _V | lb/ft ³ | 2.27E-04 | | | |
| Atmospheric Pressure | - | P _A | psia | 14.70 | | | |
| Breather Vent Vacuum Setting | (h) | P _{BV} | psig | -0.03 | | | |
| Breather Vent Pressure Setting | (h) | P _{PP} | nsig | 0.03 | | | |
| Breather Vent Pressure Setting Range | - | $\Delta P_{\rm P}$ | nsig | 6.00E-02 | | | |
| Daily Ambient Temperature Range | | | °R | 22.83 | | | |
| Daily Vapor Temperature Range | | | °R | 33.84 | | | |
| Average Daily Maximum Liquid Surface | (i) | T _{LX} | °R | 541.56 | | | |
| Average Daily Minimum Liquid Surface Temperature | (j) | T _{LN} | °R | 524.64 | | | |

| Emission Calculation Table 4 |
|---|
| 3,000-Gallon Diesel Fuel Storage Tank Potential VOC Emissions |
| PowerSecure, Inc./QuikTrip Distribution |

| Description | Reference Factor | Abbreviation | Unit | Diesel Storage Tank |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Vapor Pressure at the Average Daily Max Liq Surface Temperature | (k) | P _{VX} | psi | 9.11 |
| Vapor Pressure at the Average Daily Min Liq Surface Temperature | (1) | P_{VN} | psi | 6.75 |
| Daily Vapor Pressure Range | (m) | $\Delta P_{\rm V}$ | psi | 2.37 |
| Vapor Space Expansion Factor | (n) | $K_{\rm E}$ | - | 0.22 |
| Vented Vapor Saturation Factor | (0) | K _s | - | 1.00 |
| Vapor Molecular Weight | - | M_V | lb/lb-mole | 130.00 |
| | - | V _Q | gallons/yr | 64,500.00 |
| Annual Throughput Rate | | | bbl/yr | 1,535.71 |
| | | | ft ³ /yr | 8,622.40 |
| Turnover Factor | (p) | K _N | - | 1.00 |
| Working Loss Product Factor | (q) | K _P | - | 1.00 |
| Vent Setting Correction Factor | (r) | K _B | - | 1.00 |
| Standing Loss | (s) | L _S | lb/yr | 7.21 |
| Working Loss | (t) | L_{W} | lb/yr | 1.96 |
| Maximum Short-Term Working Loss | (u) | L _{MAX} | lb/hr | 0.17 |
| Total Routine Losses (i.e., VOC PTE Rates for Diesel Tank) | (v) | L _T | tons/yr | 4.58E-03 |

^(a) AP-42 Chapter 7.1 Table 7.1-6 for medium gray paint color in new condition.

^(b) Annual average, minimum and maximum temperatures are for Waxahachie, TX (closest city to Midlothian with representative historical weather data) obtained from https://www.usclimatedata.com/climate/waxahachie/texas/united-states/ustx1430. Equation 1-30 ($(T_{AX}+T_{AN})/2$) on page 7.1-26 of AP-42 Chapter 7.1 was used.

 $^{(c)}$ Equation 1-31 (TAA+0.003* α_s *I) on page 7.1-27 of AP-42 Chapter 7.1 was used.

^(d) Total solar insolation factor was obtained for Dallas-Fort Worth, TX from AP-42 Chapter 7.1 Table 7.1-7.

^(e) Equation 1-28 ($0.4T_{AA}+0.6T_{B}+0.005*\alpha*I$) on page 7.1-26 of AP-42 Chapter 7.1 was used.

^(f) Each constant, A and B, was derived from the equation in Figure 7.1-15. While there were no RVP values provided in AP-42 Table 7.1-4 for

distillate fuel, the average RVP values for light naphtha were used as an estimate and were obtained from AP-42 Table 7.1-4.

^(g) The vapor molecular weight at 60 °F for distillate fuel oil No. 2 was obtained from AP-42 Chapter 7.1 Table 7.1-2.

^(h) Specific information on the settings for the breather vent pressure setting and vacuum setting was not readily available; therefore, 0.03 psig for P_{BP} and -0.03 psig for P_{BV} were assumed as typical values, pursuant to guidance provided in AP-42 Chapter 7.1.

⁽ⁱ⁾ Derived from the equation in Figure 7.1-17

^(j) Derived from the equation in Figure 7.1-17

^(k) Calculated by substituting T_{LX} into equation 1-25 from AP-42 Chapter 7.1.

 $^{(\mathrm{l})}$ Calculated by substituting T_{LN} into equation 1-25 from AP-42 Chapter 7.1.

^(m) Equation 1-9 (P_{VX}-P_{VN}) on page 7.1-18 of AP-42 Chapter 7.1 was used.

⁽ⁿ⁾ Equation 1-5 ($(\Delta T_V/T_{LA})$ +($(\Delta P_V-\Delta P_B)/(P_A-P_{VA})$)) on page 7.1-17 of AP-42 Chapter 7.1 was used.

^(o) Equation 1-21 ($1/(1+0.053*P_{VA}*H_{VO})$) on page 7.1-22 was used.

 $^{(p)}$ When turnovers are less than or equal to 36, then $K_N = 1$, pursuant to guidance provided in AP-42 Chapter 7.1.

^(q) For all organic liquids except crude oils, $K_P = 1$, pursuant to guidance provided in AP-42 Chapter 7.1.

 $^{(r)}$ For a vent setting range up to plus or minus 0.03 psig, $K_B\!\!=\!\!1$

^(s) Equation 1-2 ($365*V_V*W_V*K_E*K_S$) on page 7.1-16 of AP-42 Chapter 7.1 was used.

^(t) Equation 1-35 ($V_Q * K_N * K_P * W_V * K_B$) on page 7.1-28 of AP-42 Chapter 7.1 was used.

^(u) VOC short-term maximum emission rate uses Equation 1 from page 1 of TCEQ's APDG 6250v1 *Estimating Short Term Emission Rates from Fixed Roof Tanks*. The vapor pressure of distillate fuel was obtained at 95 °F in AP-42 Chapter 7.1, Table 7.1-2.

^(v) VOC PTE rates for the tank were annualized over the year and were calculated assuming that the breathing losses occur 8,760 hours per year, while the working losses occur 500 hours per year. It is assumed that fugitive emissions of HAPs are negligible.



Sample Engine Emissions Calculations

Descriptions of the methodology and a sample calculation for each pollutant are provided below for each engine. For presentation purposes, the sample calculations may round intermediate values or conversion factors.

Particulate Matter

The particulate matter (PM), PM less than 10 microns (PM₁₀), and PM less than 2.5 microns (PM_{2.5}) emissions factors were provided by the vendor. To be conservative, a 25% margin was added to present a not to exceed (NTE) value. The vendor-provided PM emissions factor assumes that $PM = PM_{10} = PM_{2.5}$ and accounts for both the filterable and condensable portions of PM. The emissions factor was multiplied by the engine rating to calculate the short-term (i.e., lb/hr) emissions rate. The short-term emissions rate was multiplied by 500 hours per year to calculate the annual (i.e., tons/year) emissions rate. For example, PM emissions for each engine was calculated as follows:

$$0.018 \frac{g PM}{kW - hr} * 1.25 * \frac{kW}{1.36 hp} * 931 \ bhp * \frac{lb}{453.59 \ g} = 3.40 E^{-2} \frac{lb PM}{hr}$$
[1]

$$3.40E^{-2}\frac{lb\ PM}{hr} * \frac{500\ hr}{yr} * \frac{1\ ton}{2,000\ lb} = 8.49E^{-3}\frac{ton\ PM}{yr}$$
[2]

Nitrogen Oxides

The nitrogen oxides (NO_X) emissions factor was provided by the vendor. To be conservative, a 25% margin was added to present a NTE value. The emissions factor was multiplied by the engine rating to calculate the short-term (i.e., lb/hr) emissions rate. The short-term emissions rate was multiplied by 500 hours per year to calculate the annual (i.e., tons/year) emissions rate. For example, NO_X emissions for the engine was calculated as follows:

$$0.31 \frac{g NO_X}{kW - hr} * 1.25 * \frac{kW}{1.36 hp} * 931 \ bhp * \frac{lb}{453.59 \ g} = 0.59 \frac{lb NO_X}{hr}$$
[3]

$$0.59 \frac{lb NO_X}{hr} * \frac{500 hr}{yr} * \frac{1 ton}{2,000 \, lb} = 0.15 \frac{ton NO_X}{yr}$$
[4]

Volatile Organic Compounds

The volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions factor was provided by the vendor. To be conservative, a 25% margin was added to present a NTE value. The emissions factor was multiplied by the engine rating to calculate the short-term (i.e., lb/hr) emissions rate. The short-term emissions rate was multiplied by 500 hours per year to calculate the annual (i.e., tons/year) emissions rate. For example, VOC emissions for the engine was calculated as follows:

$$0.003 \frac{g \, VOC}{kW - hr} * 1.25 * \frac{kW}{1.36 \, hp} * 931 \, bhp * \frac{lb}{453.59 \, g} = 5.66E^{-3} \frac{lb \, VOC}{hr}$$
[5]

$$5.66E^{-3}\frac{lb\,VOC}{hr} * \frac{500\,hr}{yr} * \frac{1\,ton}{2,000\,lb} = 1.42E^{-3}\frac{ton\,VOC}{yr}$$
[6]

Carbon Monoxide

The carbon monoxide (CO) emissions factor was provided by the vendor. To be conservative, a 25% margin was added to present a NTE value. The emissions factor was multiplied by the engine rating to calculate the short-term (i.e., lb/hr) emissions rate. The short-term emissions rate was multiplied by 500 hours per year to calculate the annual (i.e., tons/year) emissions rate. For example, CO emissions for the engine was calculated as follows:

$$0.09 \frac{g \, co}{kW - hr} * 1.25 * \frac{kW}{1.36 \, hp} * 931 \, bhp * \frac{lb}{453.59 \, g} = 0.17 \frac{lb \, co}{hr}$$
[7]

$$0.17 \frac{lb\ CO}{hr} * \frac{500\ hr}{yr} * \frac{1\ ton}{2,000\ lb} = 4.25 E^{-2} \frac{ton\ CO}{yr}$$
[8]

Sulfur Dioxide

The sulfur dioxide (SO₂) emissions factor was obtained from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) AP-42: Compilation of Air Emission Factors (AP-42) Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-1, using a sulfur content of 15 parts per million ppm (ppm), which is inherent in ultra-low sulfur diesel (ULSD). The SO₂ emissions factor assumes that all of the sulfur in the fuel is converted to SO₂. The emissions factor was multiplied by the engine rating to calculate the short-term (i.e., lb/hr) emissions rate. The short-term emissions rate was multiplied by 500 hours per year to calculate the annual (i.e., tons/year) emissions rate. For example, SO₂ emissions for the engine was calculated as follows:

$$8.09E^{-3}\frac{lb\,SO_2}{hp-hr} * 0.0015\,wt\%\,S * 931\,bhp = 1.13E^{-2}\frac{lb\,SO_2}{hr}$$
[9]

$$1.13E^{-2}\frac{lb\,SO_2}{hr} * \frac{500\,hr}{yr} * \frac{1\,ton}{2,000\,lb} = 2.83E^{-3}\frac{ton\,SO_2}{yr}$$
[10]

Sulfuric Acid

The sulfuric acid mist (H₂SO₄) short term emission rates (lb/hr) were based both on the sulfur content (i.e., 15 ppm) of ULSD and engineering judgement. The H₂SO₄ emissions factor was conservatively estimated based on a 10% molar conversion of SO₂ to sulfur trioxide (SO₃) and 100% conversion of SO₃ to H₂SO₄. For example, H₂SO₄ was calculated as follows:

$$8.09E^{-3} \frac{lb SO_2}{hp - hr} * 0.0015 wt\% S * 0.1 \frac{\frac{98.1 \ lb \ H_2 SO_4}{lb \ mol}}{\frac{64 \ lb \ SO_2}{lb \ mol}} * 931 \ bhp$$

$$= 1.73E^{-3} \frac{lb \ H_2 SO_4}{hr}$$
[11]

$$1.73E^{-3}\frac{lb\ H_2SO_4}{hr} * \frac{500\ hr}{yr} * \frac{1\ ton}{2,000\ lb} = 4.33E^{-4}\frac{ton\ H_2SO_4}{yr}$$
[12]

<u>Ammonia</u>

The ammonia (NH₃) emissions factor for selective catalytic reduction (SCR) control was obtained from Table 5-5: SCR and SNCR Ammonia Emission Factors, for use with ULSD as fuel, provided in the Development and Selection of Ammonia Emission Factors, Final Report, dated August 1994, prepared by the U.S. EPA. To be conservative, a 25% margin was added to present a NTE value. The emissions factor was multiplied by the fuel supply to calculate the short-term (i.e., lb/hr) emissions rate. The short-term emissions rate was multiplied by 500 hours per year to calculate the annual (i.e., tons/year) emissions rate. For example, NH₃ emissions for the engine was calculated as follows:

$$1.4 \frac{lb \, NH_3}{1 \, kgallon} * 1.25 * 43 \frac{gallon}{hr} * \frac{1 \, kgallon}{1,000 \, gallon} = 7.53 E^{-2} \frac{lb \, NH_3}{hr}$$
[13]

$$7.53E^{-2}\frac{lb\,NH_3}{hr} * \frac{500\,hr}{yr} * \frac{1\,ton}{2,000\,lb} = 1.88E^{-2}\frac{ton\,NH_3}{yr}$$
[14]

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and Hazardous Air Pollutants

The polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) emissions factors were obtained from AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-3 and AP-42 Chapter 3.4 Table 3.4-4, respectively. The emissions factors were multiplied by the engine rating in MMBtu/hr to calculate the short-term (i.e., lb/hr) emissions rates. The short-term emissions rates were multiplied by 500 hours per year to calculate the annual (i.e., tons/year) emissions rate. For example, the acenaphthene emissions for the engine was calculated as follows:

$$4.68E^{-6} \frac{lb \text{ Acenaphthene}}{MMBtu} * 5.9 \frac{MMBtu}{hr} = 2.76E^{-5} \frac{lb \text{ Acenaphthene}}{hr}$$
[15]

$$2.76E^{-5}\frac{lb \operatorname{Acenaphthene}}{hr} * \frac{500 hr}{yr} * \frac{1 ton}{2,000 lb} = 6.89E^{-6}\frac{ton \operatorname{Acenaphthene}}{yr}$$
[16]



Sample Tank Emissions Calculations

For a detailed description of the methodology and sample calculations for the tank associated with the Project, please refer to the information and footnotes included in Emission Calculation Table 4.

Volatile Organic Compounds

VOC emissions from the tank have been quantified using equations and guidance from AP-42 Chapter 7.1. The estimated short-term and long-term VOC emissions from the one 3,000-gallon tank has been included in Emission Calculation Table 4. Furthermore, the Maximum Short-Term Working Loss emissions (L_{MAX}) have been calculated using Equation 1 from page 1 of the TCEQ Air Permit Reviewer Reference Guide APDG 6250 (APDG 6250v1) *Estimating Short Term Emission Rates from Fixed Roof Tanks*. To calculate the L_{MAX}, the throughput of the tank was multiplied by the vapor molecular weight of the VOC, and the vapor pressure of the tank contents at the worst-case temperature. Then the value was divided by the ideal gas constant and the worst-case liquid surface temperature, assuming 95 °F, consistent with TCEQ guidance. For example, the L_{MAX} VOC emissions for the tank were calculated as follows:

$$\frac{3,000 \frac{gal}{hr} * \left(130 \frac{lb}{lbmol} * 0.019 \text{ psia}\right)}{(80.273 \frac{psia * gal}{lbmol * R} * 554.67 \text{ R})} = 0.17 \frac{lb \text{ VOC}}{hr}$$
[17]



Executive Summary

QuikTrip Distribution (QuikTrip) retained PowerSecure, Inc. (PowerSecure) to permit the operation of three new generator sets (gensets) for both emergency and non-emergency use (Project) at its Distribution Center (Facility), located at 4200 Railport Parkway in Midlothian, TX. Each genset is driven by a diesel fuel-fired Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engine (RICE). This submittal is the Minor New Source Review (NSR) Permit Application (Application) for the proposed Project. The Facility details are provided below.

QuikTrip Corporation Midlothian, TX RN106208655/CN600241673

Introduction

This Application is submitted via the State of Texas Environmental Electronic Reporting System (STEERS) in accordance with the provisions of 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 116, Subchapter B: *NSR Permits* and consists of the following information. The bolded items are included in this section:

- Process Description
- ALL4 Quality Professional (AQP) Seal
- TCEQ 20833a: PI-1 General Application, Version 4.0
- Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW)
- Figures
 - o Facility Location Map
 - o Plot Plan
 - Process Flow Diagram
- Regulatory Applicability Analyses
- Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Determinations
- Summary of Emissions and Emissions Calculations
- Sample Calculations
- Equipment Tables
 - TCEQ 10166: Table 7(b) Horizontal Fixed Roof Storage Tank Summary
 - TCEQ 10169: Table 7(e) Chemical Data Information
 - TCEQ 10195: Table 29 *Reciprocating Engines*
- Engine and Fuel Specifications

Should you have any questions related to this submittal or require additional information, please contact Tanner Henson at thenson@all4inc.com or 281-937-7553 x308 or me at Krudd@quiktrip.com or 918-615-7233.

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Table 7(b) Horizontal Fixed Roof Storage Tank Summary

| I. Tank Identification (Use a separate form for each tank) | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Applicant's Full Name: QuikTrip Corporation | Applicant's Full Name: <i>QuikTrip Corporation</i> | | | | | |
| Location (indicate on plot plan and provide co | coordinates): 32.436887, -97.048632 | | | | | |
| Tank No.: 1 | Emission Point No. (EPN) (from flow diagram): TK-1 | | | | | |
| FIN: <i>TK-1</i> | CIN: N/A | | | | | |
| Status: 🛛 New Tank 🗌 Altered ' | Tank Relocation Change of Service | | | | | |
| Previous Permit No., Permit by Rule No., or E | Exemption No.: N/A | | | | | |
| II. Tank Physical Characteristics | | | | | | |
| Dimensions - <i>Rectangular</i> | | | | | | |
| Shell Length (ft.): Length (ft): 33.75 ft | Diameter (ft.): Height (ft): 2.80 ft Width (ft): 8.25 ft | | | | | |
| Nominal Capacity or Working Volume <i>(gallor Volume)</i> | <i>ns)</i> : 3,000 (Working Turnovers per year: 21.5 | | | | | |
| Net Throughput <i>(gallons/year)</i> : 64,500 | Maximum Filling Rate (gallons/hour): 3,000 gal/hour | | | | | |
| Is the tank underground? | ☐ YES ⊠NO | | | | | |
| Paint Characteristics | | | | | | |
| Shell Color/Shade: 🗌 White/White | Aluminum/Specular Aluminum/Diffuse | | | | | |
| Gray/Light | Gray/Medium Red/Primer | | | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | |
| Shell Condition: 🛛 🖾 Good | Poor | | | | | |
| Breather Vent Settings | | | | | | |
| Combination Vent Valve Number: N/A | | | | | | |
| Combination Vent Valve Pressure Setting (ps | sig): N/A | | | | | |
| Combination Vent Valve Vacuum Setting (psi | sig): N/A | | | | | |
| SPECIFY "Atmosphere" or "Discharging" to (name of abatement device): N/A | | | | | | |
| Pressure Vent Valve Number: 1 | | | | | | |
| Pressure Vent Valve Pressure Setting (<i>psig</i>): ~0.5 psig | | | | | | |
| SPECIFY "Atmosphere" or "Discharging" to (name of abatement device): Atmosphere | | | | | | |
| Vacuum Vent Valve Number: N/A | | | | | | |
| Vacuum Vent Valve Pressure Setting <i>(psig)</i> : N/A | | | | | | |

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Table 7(b) Horizontal Fixed Roof Storage Tank Summary

| II. Tank Physical Characteristic | s (continued | 1) | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Breather Vent Settings (continued) | | | | | | | |
| Open Vent Valve Number: 1 | | | | | | | |
| SPECIFY "Atmosphere" or "Discharg | ging" to (nar | ne of abatement devic | e): Atmosphere | | | | |
| III. Liquid Properties of Stored M | ſaterial | | | | | | |
| Chemical Category: 🗌 Orga | nic Liquid | 🛛 Petroleum | Distillates 🗌 Cru | ide Oils | | | |
| Single (Complete Section III.1.) | | 🗌 Multi-Com | ponent Liquid (Comp | olete Section III.2.) | | | |
| 1. Single Component Info | rmation | | | | | | |
| Chemical Name: Distillate Fuel Oil N | lo. 2 [Ultra Lo | ow Sulfur Diesel (ULSI | D)] | | | | |
| CAS Number: 68476-30-2 | | | | | | | |
| Average Liquid Surface Temperatur | e (°F): 74.23 | | | | | | |
| True Vapor Pressure at Average Liq | uid Surface | Temperature (<i>psia)</i> : 0 . | .01 | | | | |
| Liquid Molecular Weight: 130 lb/lb-n | nole | | | | | | |
| 2. Multiple Component In | formation - | N/A | | | | | |
| Mixture Name: | | | | | | | |
| Average Liquid Surface Temperatur | re (°F): | | | | | | |
| Minimum Liquid Surface Temperatu | ure (<i>°F):</i> | | | | | | |
| Maximum Liquid Surface Temperat | ure (<i>°F)</i> : | | | | | | |
| True Vapor Pressure at Average Liq | uid Surface | Temperature <i>(psia)</i> : | | | | | |
| True Vapor Pressure at Minimum Li | iquid Surface | e Temperature <i>(psia)</i> : | | | | | |
| True Vapor Pressure at Maximum L | iquid Surfac | e Temperature <i>(psia)</i> : | | | | | |
| Liquid Molecular Weight: | | | | | | | |
| Vapor Molecular Weight: | | | | | | | |
| Chemical Components Information | n | | | | | | |
| Chemical Name | CAS No. | Percent of Total Liquid Weight <i>(typical)</i> | Percent of Total Vapor Weight <i>(typical)</i> | Molecular Weight | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Table 7(e) Chemical Data Information

| I. Chemical Identification (use a separate form for each chemical not in the Tanks 2.0 database.) | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| Chemical Nam | Chemical Name: <i>Distillate No. 2 Fuel Oil (ULSD)</i> | | | | | | | |
| CAS Number: | 68476-30-2 | | | | | | | |
| Category: | Crude Oil | \triangleright | A Petroleum | Distillates | 🗌 Organic I | Liquids | | |
| Molecular Wei | ght: 130 lb/lb-m | ole | | | | | | |
| Liquid Density | Liquid Density at 60 °F (<i>lb/ga</i> l): 7.1 | | | | | | | |
| II. Vapor | Pressure Infor | mation (Fill in | one or more | options complete | ely.) | | | |
| Option 1: Ente | er Vapor Pressi | ure (psia) for ea | ach temperat | ure | | | | |
| 40 °F (psia) | 50 °F (psia) | 60 °F (psia) | 70 °F (psia) | 80 °F (psia) | 90 °F (psia) | 100 °F (psia) | | |
| 0.0031 | 0.0045 | 0.0065 | 0.0090 | 0.012 | 0.016 | 0.022 | | |
| Option 3: Constants for Antoine's Equation (using 'K) - N/A Option 4: Enter Reid Vapor Pressure (psia) and ASTM slope. This option for Crude Oils and Petroleum Distillates ONLY N/A Reid Vapor Pressure (psia) (Crude Oil, Petroleum Distillates) (Petroleum Distillates ONLY) | | | | | | | | |
| If Options abo | ve are not used | d, please provid | de alternate | data used and dat | ta source. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Table 29 Reciprocating Engines

| I. Engine Data | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------|------------|---------|--|
| Manufact | urer: | | Model No. | | | Serial No. | | | Manufacture Date: | | | |
| Volvo TWD16 | | | '3GE | | TBD | | | 2019 | | | | |
| Rebuilds Date: No. | | | | No. of Cylinders: | | | Compression Ratio: | | | EPN: | | |
| N/A | | | 6 | | | 16.8:1 | | 1 | E G-1 | | | |
| Application: Gas Compression Electric Generation Refrigeration Emergency/Stand by | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 Stroke Cycle 2 Stroke Cycle Carbureted Spark Ignited Dual Fuel Fuel Injected | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Diesel ☐ Naturally Aspirated ☐ Blower /Pump Scavenged ☐ Turbo Charged and I.C. ☐ Turbo Charged | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intercooled I.C. Water Temperature Lean Burn Rich Burn | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ignition/Injection Timing: Fixed: TBD Variable: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Manufact | ure Horse | epower Rat | ing: 931 | | | Proposed | Horsepo | wer Rating: | 931 | | | |
| | | | | Di | scharge | Parameter | s | | | | | |
| Stack | Height (| Feet) | Stack | Diameter (| Feet) | Stack T | 'emperat | ure (°F) | Exit | Velocity (| FPS) | |
| 15 | | | 0.67 | | | 903 | | | 232.3 | | | |
| II. Fue | el Data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of F | uel: | Field Gas | | andfill Gas | | Gas 🗌 | Natural | Gas 🗌 🛙 | Digester C | as 🛛 Dies | sel | |
| Fuel Cons | umption | (BTU/bhp- | -hr): 43 ga | l/hr Hig | gher Hea | ting Value: | 137,000 | Btu L | ower Hea | ting Value | N/A | |
| Sulfur Co | ntent (gra | ains/100 sct | f - weight | %): 0.001 | 5% | | | | | | | |
| III. Em | ission Fa | actors (Bef | ore Cont | rol) | | | | | | | | |
| NO | x | CC |) | SO | 2 | VO | C | Formald | lehyde | PM | 10 | |
| g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | |
| Not Ava | ilable | | | | | N/ A | 4 | | | | | |
| Source of | Emissio | n Factors: | 🔀 Manı | ıfacturer Da | ıta ⊠A <i>fraci</i> | AP-42 for Sections | O ₂ , Form | aldehyde a | nd PM [| Other (sp | ecify): | |
| IV. Em | ission Fa | actors (Pos | t Contro | l) – <i>Refer to</i> | o the Em | issions Calc | culations | | | | | |
| NO | x | CC |) | SO | 2 | VO | С | Formald | lehyde | PM | 10 | |
| g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | |
| 0.29 | ≤37 | 0.08 | ≤20 | 5.50E-3 | <i>N/A</i> | 2.76E-3 | ≤1 | 2.91E-4 | <i>N/A</i> | 1.65E-2 | N/A | |
| Method of | f Emissic | on Control: | NSC | CR Catalyst | Lea | an Operatio | n 🗌 H | Parameter A | djustmer | ıt | | |
| Stratif | ied Charg | ge | JLC | C Catalyst | 🔀 Otl | ner (Specify | /): | SCR part | of Tier 4 | Final Syst | em | |
| Note: Mu | <i>Note: Must submit a copy of any manufacturer control information that demonstrates control efficiency.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Is Formaldehyde included in the VOCs? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| V. Federal and State Standards (Check all that apply) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| □ NSPS JJJJ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VI. Additional Information | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Submit a copy of the engine manufacturer's site rating or general rating specification data. <i>Manufacturer information is provided in the additional space attachment in STEERS.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Subm | 2. Submit a typical fuel gas analysis, including sulfur content and heating value. For gaseous fuels, provide mole | | | | | | | | | | | |
| percent of constituents. <i>Fuel supplier information is provided in the additional space attachment in STEERS.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| inform | 3. Submit description of air/tuel ratio control system (manufacturer information is acceptable). <i>Manufacturer information is provided in the additional space attachment in STEERS.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | |

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Table 29 Reciprocating Engines

| I. Engine Data | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|---------|--|
| Manufacturer: Model No. Serial No. Manufacture Date | | | | | | | | ture Date: | | | | |
| Volvo TWL | | | | '3GE | | TBD | | | 2018 | | | |
| Rebuilds Date: No | | | | No. of Cylinders: | | | Compression Ratio: | | | EPN: | | |
| N/A | | | 6 | | | 16.8:1 | | 1 | E G-2 | | | |
| Application: Gas Compression Electric Generation Refrigeration Emergency/Stand by | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 Stroke Cycle 2 Stroke Cycle Carbureted Spark Ignited Dual Fuel Fuel Injected | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diesel Naturally Aspirated Blower /Pump Scavenged Turbo Charged and I.C. Turbo Charged | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intercooled I.C. Water Temperature Lean Burn Rich Burn | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ignition/Injection Timing: Fixed: TBD Variable: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Manufact | ure Horse | epower Rat | ing: 931 | | | Proposed | Horsepo | wer Rating: | 931 | | | |
| | | | | Di | scharge | Parameter | s | | | | | |
| Stack | Height (| Feet) | Stack | Diameter (| Feet) | Stack T | 'emperat | ure (°F) | Exit | Velocity (| FPS) | |
| 15 | | | 0.67 | | | 903 | | | 232.3 | | | |
| II. Fue | el Data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of F | uel: | Field Gas | | andfill Gas | | Gas 🗌 | Natural | Gas 🗌 🛙 | Digester C | as 🛛 Dies | sel | |
| Fuel Cons | umption | (BTU/bhp- | -hr): 43 ga | l/hr Hig | gher Hea | ting Value: | 137,000 | Btu L | ower Hea | ting Value | N/A | |
| Sulfur Co | ntent (gra | ains/100 sct | f - weight | %): 0.001 | 5% | | | | | | | |
| III. Em | ission Fa | actors (Bef | ore Cont | rol) | | | | | | | | |
| NO | x | CC |) | SO | 2 | VO | C | Formald | lehyde | PM | 10 | |
| g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | |
| Not Ava | ilable | | | | | N/ A | 4 | | | | | |
| Source of | Emissio | n Factors: | 🔀 Manı | ıfacturer Da | ıta ⊠A <i>fraci</i> | AP-42 for Sections | O ₂ , Form | aldehyde a | nd PM [| Other (sp | ecify): | |
| IV. Em | ission Fa | actors (Pos | t Control | l) – <i>Refer to</i> | o the Em | issions Calc | culations | | | | | |
| NO | x | CC |) | SO | 2 | VO | С | Formald | lehyde | PM | 10 | |
| g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | |
| 0.29 | ≤37 | 0.08 | ≤20 | 5.50E-3 | <i>N/A</i> | 2.76E-3 | ≤1 | 2.91E-4 | <i>N/A</i> | 1.65E-2 | N/A | |
| Method of | f Emissic | on Control: | □ NSC | CR Catalyst | Lea | an Operatio | n 🗌 I | Parameter A | djustmer | ıt | | |
| Stratif | ied Charg | ge | JLC | C Catalyst | 🔀 Otl | ner (Specify | /): | SCR part | of Tier 4 | Final Syst | em | |
| Note: Mu | <i>Note: Must submit a copy of any manufacturer control information that demonstrates control efficiency.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Is Formaldehyde included in the VOCs? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| V. Federal and State Standards (Check all that apply) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| □ NSPS JJJJ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VI. Additional Information | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Submit a copy of the engine manufacturer's site rating or general rating specification data. <i>Manufacturer information is provided in the additional space attachment in STEERS.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Subm | 2. Submit a typical fuel gas analysis, including sulfur content and heating value. For gaseous fuels, provide mole | | | | | | | | | | | |
| percent of constituents. <i>Fuel supplier information is provided in the additional space attachment in STEERS.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Submit description of air/tuel ratio control system (manufacturer information is acceptable). <i>Manufacturer information is provided in the additional space attachment in STEERS.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Table 29 Reciprocating Engines

| I. Engine Data | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------|------------|---------|--|
| Manufact | urer: | | Model No. | | | Serial No. | | | Manufacture Date: | | | |
| Volvo TWD1 | | | TWD167 | '3GE | | TBD | | | 2018 | | | |
| Rebuilds Date: No | | | | No. of Cylinders: | | | Compression Ratio: | | | EPN: | | |
| N/A | | | 6 | | | 16.8:1 | | j j | E G-3 | | | |
| Application: Gas Compression Electric Generation Refrigeration Emergency/Stand by | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 Stroke Cycle 2 Stroke Cycle Carbureted Spark Ignited Dual Fuel Fuel Injected | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Diesel ☐ Naturally Aspirated ☐ Blower /Pump Scavenged ☐ Turbo Charged and I.C. ☐ Turbo Charged | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intercooled I.C. Water Temperature Lean Burn Rich Burn | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ignition/Injection Timing: Fixed: TBD Variable: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Manufact | ure Horse | epower Rat | ing: 931 | | | Proposed | Horsepo | wer Rating: | 931 | | | |
| | | | | Di | scharge | Parameter | s | | - | | | |
| Stack | Height (| Feet) | Stack | Diameter (| Feet) | Stack T | 'emperat | ure (°F) | Exit | Velocity (| FPS) | |
| 15 | | | 0.67 | | | 903 | | | 232.3 | | | |
| II. Fue | el Data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of F | uel: | Field Gas | | andfill Gas | | Gas 🗌 | Natural | Gas 🗌 I | Digester C | as 🛛 Dies | sel | |
| Fuel Cons | umption | (BTU/bhp- | -hr): 43 ga | ul/hr Hig | gher Hea | ting Value: | 137,000 | Btu L | ower Hea | ting Value | N/A | |
| Sulfur Co | ntent (gra | ains/100 sct | f - weight | %): 0.001 | 5% | | | | | | | |
| III. Em | ission Fa | actors (Bef | ore Cont | rol) | | | | | | | | |
| NO | x | CC |) | SO | 2 | VO | C | Formalo | lehyde | PM | 10 | |
| g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | |
| Not Ava | ilable | | | | | N/ A | 4 | | | | | |
| Source of | Emissio | n Factors: | 🔀 Manı | ıfacturer Da | ıta ⊠A <i>fraci</i> | AP-42 for Sections | O ₂ , Form | aldehyde a | nd PM | Other (sp | ecify): | |
| IV. Em | ission Fa | actors (Pos | t Control | l) – <i>Refer to</i> | o the Em | issions Calc | culations | | | | | |
| NO | x | CC |) | SO | 2 | VO | С | Formal | lehyde | PM | 10 | |
| g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | g/hp-hr | ppmv | |
| 0.29 | ≤37 | 0.08 | ≤20 | 5.50E-3 | <i>N/A</i> | 2.76E-3 | ≤1 | 2.91E-4 | N/A | 1.65E-2 | N/A | |
| Method of | f Emissic | on Control: | NSC | CR Catalyst | Lea | an Operatio | n 🗌 H | Parameter A | djustmen | ıt | | |
| Stratif | ied Charg | ge | JLC | C Catalyst | 🔀 Otl | ner (Specify | /): | SCR part | of Tier 4 | Final Syst | em | |
| Note: Mu | <i>Note: Must submit a copy of any manufacturer control information that demonstrates control efficiency.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Is Formaldehyde included in the VOCs? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| V. Federal and State Standards (Check all that apply) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| □ NSPS JJJJ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VI. Additional Information | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Submit a copy of the engine manufacturer's site rating or general rating specification data. <i>Manufacturer information is provided in the additional space attachment in STEERS.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Subm | 2. Submit a typical fuel gas analysis, including sulfur content and heating value. For gaseous fuels, provide mole | | | | | | | | | | | |
| percent of constituents. <i>Fuel supplier information is provided in the additional space attachment in STEERS.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| inform | 5. Submit description of air/fuel ratio control system (manufacturer information is acceptable). <i>Manufacturer information is provided in the additional space attachment in STEERS.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | |



Executive Summary

QuikTrip Distribution (QuikTrip) retained PowerSecure, Inc. (PowerSecure) to permit the operation of three new generator sets (gensets) for both emergency and non-emergency use (Project) at its Distribution Center (Facility), located at 4200 Railport Parkway in Midlothian, TX. Each genset is driven by a diesel fuel-fired Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engine (RICE). This submittal is the Minor New Source Review (NSR) Permit Application (Application) for the proposed Project. The Facility details are provided below.

QuikTrip Corporation Midlothian, TX RN106208655/CN600241673

Introduction

This Application is submitted via the State of Texas Environmental Electronic Reporting System (STEERS) in accordance with the provisions of 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 116, Subchapter B: *NSR Permits* and consists of the following information. The bolded items are included in this section:

- Process Description
- ALL4 Quality Professional (AQP) Seal
- TCEQ 20833a: PI-1 General Application, Version 4.0
- Electronic Modeling Evaluation Workbook (EMEW)
- Figures
 - Facility Location Map
 - Plot Plan
 - Process Flow Diagram
- Regulatory Applicability Analyses
- Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Determinations
- Summary of Emissions and Emissions Calculations
- Sample Calculations
- Equipment Tables
 - o TCEQ 10166: Table 7(b) Horizontal Fixed Roof Storage Tank Summary
 - o TCEQ 10169: Table 7(e) Chemical Data Information
 - TCEQ 10195: Table 29 *Reciprocating Engines*
- Engine and Fuel Specifications

Should you have any questions related to this submittal or require additional information, please contact Tanner Henson at thenson@all4inc.com or 281-937-7553 x308 or me at Krudd@quiktrip.com or 918-615-7233.



NO: 164044

EXHAUST EMISSION DECLARATION

The emission data in this declaration are measured according to the test procedures specified below and on one member engine of the engine type. Emission data may vary among production engines.

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

Engine type: Specification: Module No: Rated crankshaft power *): Rated speed: *) Stand-by power without fan acc. to ISO 3046. TWD1673 GE

685 kW 1800 rpm

TEST INFORMATION

Test conditions Test identification Test date Test cycle 40 CFR part 1039 29008623 September 10, 2014 D2 - 5-mode US constant speed test cycle

EXHAUST EMISSIONS (weighted cycle)

| CO (g/kWh) | 0,09 |
|-------------|-------|
| HC (g/kWh) | 0,003 |
| NOx (g/kWh) | 0,31 |
| PM (g/kWh) | 0,018 |

EXHAUST EMISSIONS (per cycle mode)

| Mode | # | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Power | (kW) | 699 | 526 | 351 | 176 | 70 |
| NOx | (g/h) | 204 | 147 | 148 | 28 | 46 |
| HC | (g/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| CO | (g/h) | 141 | 106 | 74 | 60 | 123 |
| CO ₂ | (kg/h) | 448 | 332 | 227 | 125 | 66 |
| NOx | (ppm) | 35 | 29 | 37 | 10 | 23 |
| HC | (ppm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| CO | (ppm) | 20 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 13 |
| CO | (ppm) | 42 | 37 | 31 | 36 | 105 |
| engine out | | | | | | |
| CO ₂ | (%) | 8,6 | 7,3 | 6,1 | 4,7 | 3,6 |

Gothenburg 2014-10-24

Hanna Österlindh

AB Volvo Penta 47 436, Engine Emission Certification
TWD1673GE

Document No

22412771

Important

This Technical Data Sheet and the corresponding Installation Instructions provide important information to ensure the installed engine will operate according to the design specification in the Volvo Penta application for certification.

Requirements marked with A re considered as critical for exhaust emissions compliance according to the design specification in the Volvo Penta application for certification.

Failing to follow and meet these instructions and requirements when installing a certified engine in a piece of nonroad equipment for use in the United States violates U.S. federal law (40 CFR 1068.105(b)), subject to fines or other penalities as described in the Clean Air Act.

General

In-line four stroke diesel engine with direct injection. Rotation direction, anti-clockwise viewed towards flywheel.

| | | 6 | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | litre | 16,12 | | | |
| | in ³ | 983,9 | | | |
| Firing order | | | | | |
| Bore | | | | | |
| | in | 5,67 | | | |
| Stroke | | | | | |
| | in | 6,50 | | | |
| | | 16,8:1 | | | |
| Engine only | kg | 1810 | | | |
| | lb | 3990 | | | |
| Engine incl. cooling system and air | kg | 2217 | | | |
| filtration system | lb | 4888 | | | |
| Frame | kg | 550 | | | |
| | lb | 1213 | | | |
| Compensator and Mixer pipe | kg | 25 | | | |
| | lb | 55 | | | |
| EATS Muffler | kg | 188 | | | |
| | lb | 414 | | | |
| | Engine only Engine incl. cooling system and air filtration system Frame Compensator and Mixer pipe EATS Muffler | Iitre in ³ mm in in | | | |

TWD1673GE

```
Document No
```

22412771

Issue Index 01

| Performance | | | rpm | 1500 | 1800 |
|--|---------------|---------|-------------------|------|-------|
| Prime Power | with | out fan | kW | NA | 625 |
| | | | hp | NA | 850 |
| | with | fan | kW | NA | 595 |
| | | | hp | NA | 809 |
| Standby Power | | out fan | kW | NA | 685 |
| | | | hp | NA | 932 |
| | with | fan | kW | NA | 655 |
| | | | hp | NA | 891 |
| Torque at: | Prime Power | | Nm | NA | 3316 |
| | | | lbft | NA | 2445 |
| | Standby Power | | Nm | NA | 3634 |
| | | | lbft | NA | 2680 |
| | | | | | |
| Mean piston speed | | | m/s | NA | 9,9 |
| | | | ft/sec | NA | 32,6 |
| Effective mean pressure at: | Prime Power | | MPa | NA | 2,6 |
| | | | psi | NA | 375 |
| Effective mean pressure at: | Standby Power | | MPa | NA | 2,8 |
| | | | psi | NA | 411 |
| Max combustion pressure at: | Prime Power | | MPa | NA | 22 |
| | | | psi | NA | 3191 |
| Max combustion pressure at: | Standby Power | | MPa | NA | 22,5 |
| | | | psi | NA | 3263 |
| Total mass moment of inertia, J (mR ²) with fl | vwheel | | kgm ² | 2, | 50 |
| | | | lbft ² | 59 | 9.3 |
| Total mass moment of inertia . I (mR ²) without flywheel | | | kgm ² | 1. | 92 |
| | | | lbft ² | 45 | 5.6 |
| Friction Power | | | kW | | 51 |
| | | | hp | | 69.36 |

Derating due to altitude - see Technical Diagrams

Engine noise emission Test Standards: ISO 3744-1981 (E) sound power

| Tolerance ± 0.75 dB(A) | | rpm | 1500 | 1800 |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-------|------|-------|
| Measured sound power Lw | No load | dB(A) | NA | 118,1 |
| | Prime Power | dB(A) | NA | 119,1 |
| | Standby Power | dB(A) | NA | 118,9 |
| Calculated sound pressure Lp at 1 m | No load | dB(A) | NA | 101,1 |
| | Prime Power | dB(A) | NA | 102,1 |
| | Standby Power | dB(A) | NA | 101,9 |

Unsilenced exhaust noise

| Data calculated as sound pressure Lp. | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------|------|------|
| Assumed microphone distance 1 m | rpm | 1500 | 1800 |
| Prime Power | dB(A) | NA | |
| Standby Power | dB(A) | NA | |

TWD1673GE

Document No

22412771

01

Test conditions for load acceptance data

| Warm engine. | Generator | Model | Type of AVR | |
|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---|
| - | Stamford | HCM534F1 | MX341 | |
| AVR Settings | UFRO (Hz): | 57 DIP (%)*: | 50 DWELL (%)*: N/A | |
| | Stability (%)*: | According to Voltage (V): | 400 Load factor: 1.0 | 0 |

Applies to Stamford nomenclature,

(%)*: % of max potentiometer setting range

Load acceptance performance can vary due to actual alternator inertia, voltage regulator, type of load and local ambient conditions.

| Abbreviation: | Full name: | Descriptions |
|---------------|-----------------------------|---|
| AVR | Automatic Voltage Regulator | Generator performance and safty control unit |
| UFRO | Under Frequency Roll Off | Overheating protection at under frequency |
| DIP | | Controls the slope of voltage drop when the UFRO is active |
| DWELL | | Controls the slope of voltage recovery when the UFRO is active. |

Single step load performance at 1500 rpm - PRIME (Resistiv load)

| Load (%) | Speed diff (%) | Speed Recovery time (s) | Voltage diff (%) | Voltage Recovery time (s) | Remaining load (%) | Speed diff (%) | Speed Recovery time (s) | Voltage diff (%) | Voltage Recovery time (s) |
|----------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0-20 | | | | | 20-100 | | | | |
| 0-40 | | | | | 40-100 | | | | |
| 0-50 | | | | | 50-100 | | | | |
| 0-60 | | | | | 60-100 | | | | |
| 0-x | 7 (G3) | | | | x-100 | | | | |
| 0-x | 10 (G2) | | | | x-100 | | | | |
| 0-80* | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-100* | | | | | | | | | |
| 100-0 | | | | | | | | | |

Single step load performance at 1500 rpm - STAND BY (Resistiv load)

| Load (%) | Speed diff (%) | Speed | Voltage | Voltage | Remaining load | Speed | Speed | Voltage | Voltage |
|----------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | Recovery | diff (%) | Recovery | (%) | diff (%) | Recovery | diff (%) | Recovery |
| | | time (s) | . , | time (s) | | | time (s) | | time (s) |
| 0-20 | | | | | 20-100 | | | | |
| 0-40 | | | | | 40-100 | | | | |
| 0-50 | | | | | 50-100 | | | | |
| 0-60 | | | | | 60-100 | | | | |
| 0-x | 7 (G3) | | | | x-100 | | | | |
| 0-x | 10 (G2) | | | | x-100 | | | | |
| 0-80* | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-100* | | | | | | | | | |
| 100-0 | | | | | | | | | |

TWD1673GE

22412771

01

Single step load performance at 1800 rpm - PRIME (Resistiv load)

| Load (%) | Speed diff (%) | Speed | Voltage | Voltage | Remaining load | Speed | Speed | Voltage | Voltage |
|----------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | time (s) | diff (%) | time (s) | (%) | diff (%) | time (s) | diff (%) | time (s) |
| 0-20 | 2,6 | 1,4 | 0,9 | 0,6 | 20-100 | 10,2 | 2,7 | 24,3 | 2,2 |
| 0-40 | 5,2 | 1,8 | 8,7 | 1,3 | 40-100 | 6,9 | 2,4 | 15,4 | 1,6 |
| 0-52 | 7 (G3) | 2,2 | 13,6 | 1,8 | 52-100 | 5,5 | 2,2 | 11,3 | 1,5 |
| 0-60 | 8,6 | 2,3 | 18,6 | 1,9 | 60-100 | 4,6 | 2,0 | 7,7 | 1,5 |
| 0-68 | 10 (G2) | 2,6 | 23,0 | 2,0 | 68-100 | 3,9 | 1,8 | 4,6 | 1,0 |
| 0-80 | 13,4 | 3,1 | 30,8 | 2,5 | 80-100 | 2,7 | 1,4 | 1,9 | 0,7 |
| 0-100 | 18,0 | 3,6 | 40,1 | 3,0 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 100-0 | 12,0 | 2,6 | 5,7 | 1,4 | | | | | |

Single step load performance at 1800 rpm - STAND BY (Resistiv load)

| Load (%) | Speed diff (%) | Speed Recovery time (s) | Voltage diff (%) | Voltage Recovery time (s) | Remaining load (%) | Speed diff (%) | Speed Recovery time (s) | Voltage diff (%) | Voltage Recovery time (s) |
|----------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0-20 | 2,8 | 1,3 | 1,0 | 0,6 | 20-100 | 11,2 | 5,0 | 28,2 | 2,8 |
| 0-40 | 5,6 | 2,0 | 11,1 | 1,3 | 40-100 | 7,3 | 2,8 | 18,1 | 2,0 |
| 0-48 | 7 (G3) | 2,1 | 14,2 | 1,7 | 48-100 | 6,4 | 2,5 | 15,3 | 2,0 |
| 0-60 | 9,8 | 2,7 | 22,8 | 2,0 | 60-100 | 4,8 | 2,2 | 9,5 | 1,5 |
| 0-62 | 10 (G2) | 2,6 | 23,4 | 1,9 | 62-100 | 4,8 | 2,1 | 9,0 | 1,5 |
| 0-80 | 15,0 | 3,3 | 34,9 | 2,8 | 80-100 | 2,9 | 1,5 | 2,4 | 0,9 |
| 0-100 | 19,9 | 6,3 | 43,9 | 3,5 | | | | | |
| 100-0 | 13,7 | 2,7 | 7,8 | 1,9 | | | | | |

TWD1673GE

22412771

01

| Cold start performance | | | rpm | 1500 | 1800 |
|---|-------------|-----------------|-----|------|------|
| Time from start to stay within 0.5% of no load speed at | °C | 20 | S | NA | 4,3 |
| ambient temperature: | | 5 | S | NA | 5,3 |
| | | -15 * | S | NA | 5,3 |
| | | -30 ** | S | NA | 5,7 |
| | | Min start temp* | °C | -3 | 1,0 |
| * With manifold heater 4 kW engaged Jubrication oil 15 | 5W/40 and h | lock heater | | | |

* With manifold heater 4 kW engaged, lubrication oil 15W/40 and block heater.
 ** With manifold heater 4 kW engaged, lubrication oil 5W/30 and block heater, Fuel MK-1.

| Block heater type | Make | Power kW | Engaged hours | Cooling water temp engine block |
|-----------------------------|-------|----------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Volvo part No: 22454340 P01 | | | | -2°C |
| | Calix | 1.5 kW | 10h ambient temp-30 C | 28°F |

| Lubrication system | | | | rpm | 1500 | 1800 |
|--|--------|-------------|------------|----------|------|-------|
| Lubricating oil consumption | | Prime Power | | litre/h | NA | 0,10 |
| | | | | US gal/h | | 0,026 |
| | | Standby Po | wer | litre/h | NA | 0,11 |
| | | | | US gal/h | | 0,029 |
| Oil system capacity including filters | | | | litre | 4 | 8 |
| | | | | US gal | 12 | 2,7 |
| Oil sump capacity: | | | max | litre | 4 | 2 |
| | | | | US gal | 11 | ,1 |
| | | | min | litre | 3 | 2 |
| | | | | US gal | 8 | ,5 |
| Oil change intervals/specifications: | VDS-3* | | | h | 50 | 00 |
| | | | | h | | |
| | | | | h | | |
| Engine angularity limits: | | | front up | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | | | front down | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | | | side tilt | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Oil pressure at rated speed | | | | kPa | | 399 |
| | | | | psi | | 58 |
| Lubrication oil temperature in oil sump: | | | max | °C | 13 | 30 |
| | | | | °F | 26 | 66 |
| Oil filter micron size | | | | μ | 4 | 0 |

* See also general section in the sales guide

TWD1673GE

Document No

22412771

Issue Index

| Fuel system | | rpm | 1500 | 1800 |
|---|------|--------|------|-------|
| Prime Power | 25% | g/kWh | NA | 227 |
| Specific fuel consumption at: | | lb/hph | | 0,368 |
| | 50% | g/kWh | NA | 202 |
| | | lb/hph | | 0,327 |
| | 75% | g/kWh | NA | 195 |
| | | lb/hph | | 0,316 |
| | 100% | g/kWh | NA | 195 |
| | | lb/hph | | 0,316 |
| % adBlue consumption at: | 25% | % | NA | 6,4 |
| (Compare to Fuel consumption by Volyme) | 50% | % | NA | 6,7 |
| | 75% | % | NA | 7,2 |
| | 100% | % | NA | 6,4 |
| Standby Power | 25% | a/kWh | NA | 223 |
| Specific fuel consumption at: | 20,0 | lb/hph | | 0.361 |
| | 50% | g/kWh | NA | 201 |
| | | lb/hph | | 0,326 |
| | 75% | g/kWh | NA | 195 |
| | | lb/hph | | 0,316 |
| | 100% | g/kWh | NA | 197 |
| | | lb/hph | | 0,319 |
| % adBlue consumption at: | 25% | % | NA | 6,6 |
| (Compare to Fuel consumption by Volyme) | 50% | % | NA | 6,7 |
| | 75% | % | NA | 7,2 |
| | 100% | % | NA | 6,1 |

| Fuel system | rpm | 1500 | 1800 | |
|---|-------------|--|--------|--|
| Fuel to conform to | | | | |
| | ASTM D975 (| (2D) | | |
| System supply flow at: | litre/h | NA | 210,0 | |
| | US gal/h | I | 55,5 | |
| Fuel supply line max restriction | kPa | NA | 30,0 | |
| (Measured at fuel inlet connection) | psi | 1 | 4,4 | |
| Fuel supply line max pressure, engine stopped | kPa | NA | 0,0 | |
| | psi | I | 0,0 | |
| System return flow | litre/h | NA | 25,0 | |
| | US gal/h | I | 6,6 | |
| Fuel return line max restriction | kPa | NA | 20,0 | |
| (Measured at fuel return connection) | psi | I | 2,9 | |
| Maximum allowable inlet fuel temp | O° | NA | 60 | |
| (Measured at fuel inlet connection) | °F | 1 | 140 | |
| Prefilter / Water separator micron size | μ | 1 | 10 | |
| Fuel filter micron size | μ | | 5 | |
| Governor type/make, standard | V | NF a NA 20 psi 2 2 °C NA 6 °F 11 µ 10 µ 5 Volvo/EMS 2.3 UNIT INJECTOR HYBR | | |
| Injection pump type/make | UNIT IN | JECTOR I | HYBRID | |

TWD1673GE

Document No

22412771

Issue Index

| Fuel system | | rpm | 1500 | 1800 |
|---|------|--------|------|-------|
| Prime Power | 25% | g/kWh | NA | 227 |
| Specific fuel consumption at: | | lb/hph | | 0,368 |
| | 50% | g/kWh | NA | 202 |
| | | lb/hph | | 0,327 |
| | 75% | g/kWh | NA | 195 |
| | | lb/hph | | 0,316 |
| | 100% | g/kWh | NA | 195 |
| | | lb/hph | | 0,316 |
| % adBlue consumption at: | 25% | % | NA | 6,4 |
| (Compare to Fuel consumption by Volyme) | 50% | % | NA | 6,7 |
| | 75% | % | NA | 7,2 |
| | 100% | % | NA | 6,4 |
| Standby Power | 25% | a/kWh | NA | 223 |
| Specific fuel consumption at: | 20,0 | lb/hph | | 0.361 |
| | 50% | g/kWh | NA | 201 |
| | | lb/hph | | 0,326 |
| | 75% | g/kWh | NA | 195 |
| | | lb/hph | | 0,316 |
| | 100% | g/kWh | NA | 197 |
| | | lb/hph | | 0,319 |
| % adBlue consumption at: | 25% | % | NA | 6,6 |
| (Compare to Fuel consumption by Volyme) | 50% | % | NA | 6,7 |
| | 75% | % | NA | 7,2 |
| | 100% | % | NA | 6,1 |

| Fuel system | rpm | 1500 | 1800 | |
|---|-------------|--|--------|--|
| Fuel to conform to | | | | |
| | ASTM D975 (| (2D) | | |
| System supply flow at: | litre/h | NA | 210,0 | |
| | US gal/h | I | 55,5 | |
| Fuel supply line max restriction | kPa | NA | 30,0 | |
| (Measured at fuel inlet connection) | psi | 1 | 4,4 | |
| Fuel supply line max pressure, engine stopped | kPa | NA | 0,0 | |
| | psi | I | 0,0 | |
| System return flow | litre/h | NA | 25,0 | |
| | US gal/h | I | 6,6 | |
| Fuel return line max restriction | kPa | NA | 20,0 | |
| (Measured at fuel return connection) | psi | I | 2,9 | |
| Maximum allowable inlet fuel temp | O° | NA | 60 | |
| (Measured at fuel inlet connection) | °F | 1 | 140 | |
| Prefilter / Water separator micron size | μ | 1 | 10 | |
| Fuel filter micron size | μ | | 5 | |
| Governor type/make, standard | V | NF a NA 20 psi 2 2 °C NA 6 °F 11 µ 10 µ 5 Volvo/EMS 2.3 UNIT INJECTOR HYBR | | |
| Injection pump type/make | UNIT IN | JECTOR I | HYBRID | |

TWD1673GE

Document No

22412771

Issue Index

| Intake and exhaust system | | | rpm | 1500 | 1800 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------|------|----------|
| Air consumption at: | Prime Power | | m ³ /min | | 48,1 |
| (+25°C and 100kPa) | | | cfm | | 1699 |
| | Standby Power | | m³/min | | 51,1 |
| | | | cfm | | 1805 |
| \triangle | | | | | |
| See front page for important information | | | | | |
| Max allowed air intake restriction including p | biping | | kPa | | 3 |
| | | | psi | | 0,4 |
| Air filter restriction clean Volvo Penta filter | | | kPa | | 1,4 |
| Least rejection to exhaust at | | Drime Dewer | psi | | 0,2 |
| Heat rejection to exhaust at: | | Prime Power | KVV BTLI/min | | 458 |
| | | Standby Power | kW | | 521 |
| | | | BTU/min | | 29623 |
| Exhaust gas temperature after turbine at: | | Prime Power | °C | | 455 |
| | | | °F | | 851 |
| | | Standby Power | °C | | 484 |
| | | | °F | | 903 |
| $\hat{\Lambda}$ | | | | | |
| See front page for important information | | | | | |
| | | . | | | 10 |
| Max allowable back pressure in exhaust line | | Prime Power | кРа | | 19 27 |
| Pipe dimension Ø: | mm | Standby Power | kPa | | 2,7 |
| | | | psi | | 2,9 |
| ^ | | | | | |
| See front page for important information | | | | | |
| | thing and CCD muffler | Drimo Dowor | ۸°C | | 10 |
| iniax allowable temperature drop between tu | rbine and SCR muffler | Plime Power | ΔC | | 10 |
| | | Standby Power | Δ°C | | 10 |
| | | | Δ°F | | 18 |
| SCR muffler pressure drop | | Prime Power | kPa | | 9 |
| (at exhaust gas flow and exhaust temp give | n) | | psi | | 1,3 |
| | | Standby Power | kPa | | 10 |
| | | | psi | | 1,5 |
| Pre-catalyst pressure drop | | Prime Power | кра | | NA NA |
| | | Standby Power | kPa | | NA |
| | | orandby r ower | psi | | NA |
| Exhaust gas flow at: | | Prime Power | m ³ /min | | 126,6 |
| (temp and pressure after turbine at the corre | esponding power | | cfm | | 4471 |
| setting) | | Standby Power | m³/min | | 137,8 |
| | | | cfm | | 4866 |

Document No

Issue Index 01

| TWD1673GE | | | | 2241 | 2771 |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Cooling system | | | rpm | 1500 | 1800 |
| Heat rejection radiation from engine at: | Prime | Power | kW | | 26 |
| | | | BTU/min | | 1479 |
| | Stand | by Power | kW | | 29 |
| - | | | BTU/min | | 1649 |
| Coolant | Volvo | Penta coolan | t "ready mix o | or Volvo Pe | nta coolant |
| Padiator cooling system type | | mixed | with fresh wa | ller 40/60 | it |
| Standard radiator core area | | | m ² | 1 1 | n 68 |
| | | | foot ² | 18 | 08 |
| Fan diameter | | | mm | 96 | 65 |
| | | | in | 37 | ,99 |
| an power consumption | | | kW | | 30 |
| | | | hp | | 41 |
| Fan drive ratio | | | | 1.0 | 4:1 |
| Coolant capacity, | Engine only | | litre | 3 | 3 |
| | | | US gal | 8, | 72 |
| | CACs (Charge Air Coolers) | | litre | 10 | |
| | | | US gal | 2,6 | 64 |
| | Coolant radiators incl piping, | | litre | 48 | |
| | Engine circuit | | US gal | 12,68 | |
| | Coolant radiators i | incl piping, | litre | 48 | |
| | CAC- circuit | | US gal | 12, | 68 |
| | Expansion tank, E | ngine circuit | litre | 20 | |
| | | | US gal | 5,2 | 28 |
| | Expansion tank, C | AC circuit | litre | 7 | 7 |
| | | | US gal | 1,8 | 35 |
| Coolant pump | | | drive/ratio | Belt / | 1,85:1 |
| Coolant pump , CAC circuit | | | drive/ratio | Belt / 2 | 2,29:1 |
| Thermostat, Engine circuit | Start to open | | °C | 8 | 2 |
| | | | °F | 18 | 30 |
| | Fully open | | °C | 9 | 2 |
| | | | °F | 19 | 98 |
| Thermostat, CAC circuit | Start to open | | °C | 4 | 0 |
| | | | °F | 10 |)4 |
| | Fully open | | °C | 5 | 2 |
| | | | °F | 12 | 26 |
| Maximum atatia pressure based | | | 1.0 | | |

| | | °F | 180 |
|--|--|------|------|
| | Fully open | °C | 92 |
| | | °F | 198 |
| Thermostat, CAC circuit | at, CAC circuit Start to open static pressure head on tank height + pressure cap setting) Fully open static pressure head on tank height + pressure cap setting) static pressure head on tank height + pressure cap setting) pressure cap setting | °C | 40 |
| | | °F | 104 |
| | Fully open | °C | 52 |
| | | °F | 126 |
| Maximum static pressure head | | kPa | 100 |
| (expansion tank height + pressure cap setting) | | psi | 14,5 |
| Minimum static pressure head | | kPa | 70 |
| (expansion tank height + pressure cap setting) | psi | 10,2 | |
| Standard pressure cap setting | | kPa | 75 |
| | | psi | 10,9 |
| Maximum top tank temperature | | 0° | 107 |
| | | °F | 225 |
| Charge air pressure | | kPa | 360 |
| (after charge air coolers) | 1 | psi | 52,2 |
| \wedge | | | |
| | Prime Power | °C | 50 |
| See front page for important information | | °F | 122 |
| Iviax allowed Unarge air outlet temp. At air inlet temp. | Standby Power | °C | 50 |
| 20 0 | | °F | 122 |

TWD1673GE

22412771

01

OEM cooling system design:

| move of standard radiatorts | | rpm | 1500 | 1800 |
|--|--|-----------------|------|-------------|
| Maximum additional coolant, Engine circuit | with standard expansion tank | litre US gal | | 15 3,96 |
| Maximum additional coolant, CAC circuit wit | th standard expansion tank | litre US gal | | 5 1,32 |
| Maximum distans in vertikal direction with st (75 kPa) | andard pressure cap | m ft | | 2,5 8,20 |
| Maximum additional pressure drop due to m | Naximum additional pressure drop due to move | | | 10 1,5 |
| - replacement of standard radiators | | H H. | | |
| Heat rejection to coolant | Prime Power | kW | | 223 |
| engine radiator at: | | BTU/min | | 12682 |
| | Standby Power | kW | | 245 |
| | | BTU/min | | 13933 |
| Heat rejection to coolant | Prime Power | kW | | 208 |
| CAC radiator at: | | BTU/min | | 11829 |
| | Standby Power | kW | | 216 |
| | | BTU/min | | 12284 |
| Minimum coolant flow engine radiator (at fu | ully open thermostat) | litre/s | | 6 |
| | | US gal/s | 1, | ,59 |
| Minimum coolant flow CAC radiator (at fully | open thermostat) | litre/s | 2 | 2,5 |
| | | US gal/s | 0 | ,66 |
| Maximum coolant pressure drop over engin | e radiator incl. Piping | kPa | - | 70 |
| (at coolant flow above) | | psi | 1 | 0,2 |
| Coolant pressure drop over complete engine | e circuit cooling system | kPa | 1 | 60 |
| (at coolant flow above) | | psi | 2 | 3,2 |
| Coolant pressure drop over complete CAC | circuit cooling system | kPa | 1 | 35 |
| (at coolant flow above) | | psi | 1 | 9,6 |
| Nominal coolant pressure before engine circ | cuit coolant pump | kPa | 3 | 30 |
| | | psi | 4 | l,4 |
| Nominal coolant pressure before CAC circu | it coolant pump | kPa | : | 30 |
| | | psi | 4 | l,4 |

TWD1673GE

Document No

22412771

01

OEM cooling system design: 2-circuit system

| - engine coolant circuit | | rpm | 1500 | 1800 |
|---|-------------------------|----------|------|------|
| Heat rejection to coolant | Prime Power | kW | | |
| engine coolant circuit: | | BTU/min | | |
| | Standby Power | kW | | |
| | | BTU/min | | |
| Min coolant flow engine coolant circuit (at fully | open thermostat) | litre/s | | |
| | | US gal/s | | |
| Maximum coolant temperature entering engine | | Ĵ° | | |
| | | F | | |
| Maximum external engine coolant circuit restric | ction, including piping | kPa | | |
| | | psi | | |
| Nominal coolant pressure | | kPa | | |
| | | psi | | |
| - charge air cooler (CAC) coolant circuit | | | | |
| Heat rejection to coolant | Prime Power | kW | | |
| CAC coolant circuit: | | BTU/min | | |
| | Standby Power | kW | | |
| | | BTU/min | | |
| Minimum coolant flow CAC coolant circuit: | | litre/s | | |
| | | US gal/s | | |
| Maximum coolant temperature entering CAC | | C° | | |
| (at air inlet temperature 25°C) | | F | | |
| Coolant pressure drop over charge air coolers | | kPa | | |
| (at Minimum coolant flow CAC coolant circuit abo | ove) | psi | | |
| Nominal CAC coolant pressure | | kPa | | |
| | | psi | | |

| VOL | 70 PE | NTA | _ | | | | Document No Iss | | Issue Index |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|
| TWD1673GE | 1 | | | | | | 2241 | 2771 | 01 |
| Cooling perfor | mance | | | | | | | | |
| Standard fan: Cooling air flow and external restriction at different radiator air temperatures based on 107°C TTT and 40% antifreeze. Valid at 1 atm. (radiator and cooling fan, see optional equipment) | | Fan ratio: 1 | : 1.04 | Fan type: | FIX | | | | |
| Engine speed | Air on temp | | P | | | | STANDBY | POWER | |
| rpm | °C | Air flo m ³ /s | s | External | restriction Pa | Air fi m ³ | low /s | External r P | estriction a |
| 1800 | 63 62 61 60 59 58 | 15, 14, 14, 14, 13, | 2 5 1 6 | 2 | 0 100 200 300 | 15 14 13 | ,2 ,5 ,9 | 10 20 |))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) |
| | 57 | | | | | 13 | ,6 | 30 | 00 |
| Functionality | ement system | | | Alternativ | es | | Default | settina | |
| Governor mode | | | | Isochrono | us | | Isochro | onous | |

N/A Adjustable PID-constants (VODIA) Single speed 1800rpm, 60Hz 600-1200rpm +- 90 rpm On / Off

N/A

1800,0 900,0 0,0 Off

Governor droop Governor response Dual speed Idle speed Fine speed adjustment Preheating function

TWD1673GE

Document No

22412771

Engine sensor and switch settings

| | | Alarm level | | Engin | Engine protection | | |
|-----------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Unit | Setting range | Default setting | Level | Action. Default/Alternative | | |
| | °C | 120 - 130 | 125 | Setting +2.5 | Shutdown after 10s | | |
| Low idle 900rpm | kPa | - | 170,0 | 195,0 | Shutdown | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1800 rpm | kPa | - | 300,0 | 325,0 | Shutdown | | |
| | | | Min level | | | | |
| jector failure | | | On | Low level | Shutdown after 10s | | |
| | °C | 95 - 101 | 103 | Setting +4 | Shutdown after 10s | | |
| | | See cooling system | On | Low level | Shutdown after 10s | | |
| Low idle | kPa | | Min level | | | | |
| >1400 rpm | | | Min level | | | | |
| | | | Max level | | | | |
| ressure | kPa | - | Rapid increase | Rapid increase | Shutdown | | |
| ure drop | kPa | - | Max level | | | | |
| | 0,0 | Alarn | n level | Engir | ne protection | | |
| e sea | m | - | - | - | Automatic derating, see section Smoke, Fuel & Derating | | |
| | Low idle 900rpm 1800 rpm njector failure Low idle >1400 rpm ressure ressure ressure e sea | Unit °C Low idle 900rpm kPa 1800 rpm kPa njector failure °C Low idle °C 1400 rpm kPa sure drop kPa 0,0 e sea | Unit Setting range °C 120 - 130 Low idle 900rpm kPa 1800 rpm kPa 1800 rpm kPa °C 95 - 101 °C 95 - 101 See cooling system Low idle kPa >1400 rpm kPa orgen - 0,0 Alarm e sea m | Alarm levelUnitSetting rangeDefault setting°C120 - 130125Low idle 900rpmkPa-170,01800 rpmkPa-300,01800 rpmkPa-300,0injector failureOnOn°C95 - 101103See cooling systemOnLow idlekPaMin level>1400 rpmKPaMin level>1400 rpmKPaMin levelor dropkPaMax levelessurekPa-0,0Alarm level0,0Alarm levele seam- | Unit Setting range Default setting Level °C 120 - 130 125 Setting +2.5 Low idle 900rpm kPa - 170,0 195,0 1800 rpm kPa - 300,0 325,0 1800 rpm kPa - Min level njector failure On Low level °C 95 - 101 103 Setting +4 See cooling system On Low level 1400 rpm KPa Min level - See cooling system On Low level 1400 rpm KPa Min level - Min level Min level - Max level Min level - 0,0 Alarm level Engin 0,0 Alarm level Engin e sea m - - | | |

TWD1673GE

Document No

22412771

| Charge air temp | °C | | 80 | 82,5 | Shutdown after 10s |
|---|-----|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Charge air pressure | kPa | | 25 above demand | 35 above demand | Shutdown after 1s |
| Engine speed | rpm | 100 - 120% of rated speed | 115% of rated speed | Alarm level | Shutdown |
| Exhaust Temperature (before SCR volume) | °C | | 530 | 550,0 | Shutdown after 10s |

Engine protection can be disabled. For consequences please see VP International Limited Warranty Policy

Electrical system

| Voltage and type | | | 24V / insulated from earth | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------------------|--|
| Alternator: | make/output | A | Bosch / 80 | |
| | tacho output | Hz/alt. Rev | 6 | |
| | drive ratio | | 3,94 : 1 | |
| Starter motor | | make | Mitsubishi Electric | |
| | | type | 24V7.0KW12/3.175F | |
| | | kW | 7,0 | |
| Number of teeth on: | flywheel | | 153 | |
| | starter motor | | 12 | |
| Max wiring resistance main circuit | | mΩ | | |
| Cranking current at +20°C | | A | 300 | |
| Crank engine speed at 20°C | | rpm | 155 | |
| Starter motor battery capacity: | max | Ah/A | 2x225 | |
| | min at +5°C | Ah/A | | |
| Inlet manifold heater (at 20 V) | | kW | 4,0 | |
| Power relay for the manifold heater | | A | 1 | |

TWD1673GE

22412771

Issue Index

| Performance | Power (kW) | Rpm |
|---------------|------------|------|
| | | |
| Prime Power | 625 | 1800 |
| | | |
| Standby Power | 685 | 1800 |

| Sensors Alarm | Signal | Range | Alarm switch | Alarm Level | Derating level | Condition/Delay | Derating |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Boost pressure | 0,5-4,5 V | 50-400 kPa | N/A | 25kPa above demand | 30kPa above demand | 1s | Shutdown after delay |
| Boost temperaure | 50-0 kΩ | -40° - 130 °C | N/A | 80°C | 82.5°C | 10s | Shutdown after delay |
| Coolant level switch | Digital | | Alarm when closed | Low | Low | 10s | Shutdown after delay |
| Coolant temperature | 50-0 kΩ | -40° - 140 °C | N/A | 103°C | 107°C | 10s | Shutdown after delay |
| Crankcase pressure | 0,5-4,5 V | 0-15 kPa | N/A | Rapid pres inc | Rapid pres inc | | Shutdown without delay |
| Engine Speed Cam | Frequency | | N/A | Lost sign | | | |
| Engine Speed Crank | Frequency | | N/A | Lost sign | | | |
| Exhaust temp (before SCR volume) | | | N/A | 530°C | 550°C | 10s | Shutdown after delay |
| Oil level sensor | | | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| Oil temperature | 50-0 kΩ | -40° - 140 °C | N/A | 125°C | 127.5°C | 10s | Shutdown after delay |
| Water In fuel switch | Digital | | Alarm when closed | Water in Fuel | | | |
| DEF dosing injector failure | Digital | | Alarm when closed | Low | Low | 10s | Shutdown after delay |
| | | | | | | | |

| Sensors Alarm | Signal | Range | | | rpm Ma | р | | Condition | Derating |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|------------------------|
| Oil pressure | 0,5-4,5V | 0-700kPa | 450 rpm | 500 rpm | 1000 rpm | 1450 rpm | 2000 rpm | | |
| Alarm Level | | | -50 | 50 | 200 | 300 | 300 | | |
| Derating Level | | | -25 | 75 | 225 | 325 | 325 | | Shutdown without delay |
| Fuel pressure | 0,5-4,5 V | 0-700 kPa | 0 rpm | 600 rpm | 1000 rpm | 1800 rpm | 1900 rpm | | |
| Alarm Level | | | -50 | 50 | 75 | 200 | 200 | | |
| Derating Level | | | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

VOLVO PENTA TWD1673GE

Document No

Issue Index

22412771





| VOLVO PENTA | Document No | Issue Index |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| TWD1673GE | 22412771 | 01 |





VOLVO PENTA TWD1673GE

22412771

Issue Index



Document No

22412771

01

TWD1673GE







SAFETY DATA SHEET

SDS ID NO.: Revision Date 0290MAR019 06/01/2016

1. IDENTIFICATION

Product Name:

Synonym:

Marathon Petroleum No. 2 Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel

#2 Diesel: No. 2 Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel 15 ppm Sulfur Max: Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel No. 2 15 ppm Sulfur Max: Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel No. 2 15 ppm Sulfur Max with Polar Plus: No. 2 Diesel, Motor Vehicle Use, Undved: No. 2 Diesel, Motor Vehicle Use, Undved, with Polar Plus; ULSD No. 2 Diesel 15 ppm Sulfur Max; ULSD No. 2 Diesel 15 ppm Sulfur Max with Polar Plus; No. 2 MV 15 Diesel; No. 2 MV 15 Diesel with Polar Plus; No. 2 Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel Dyed 15 ppm Sulfur Max; Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel No. 2 Dyed 15 ppm Sulfur Max; Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel No. 2 Dyed 15 ppm Sulfur Max with Polar Plus; No. 2 Diesel, Tax Exempt-Motor Vehicle Use, Dyed; No. 2 Diesel, Tax Exempt-Motor Vehicle Use, Dyed, with Polar Plus; ULSD No. 2 Diesel Dyed 15 ppm Sulfur Max; ULSD No. 2 Diesel Dyed 15 ppm Sulfur Max, with Polar Plus; No. 2 MV 15 Diesel Dyed; #2 MV 15 CFI Diesel; #2 MV 15 CFI Diesel Dyed; No. 2 Low Sulfur Diesel (TxLED); No. 2 MV 15 Diesel Dyed, with Polar Plus; No. 2 NRLM 15 Diesel Dved: No.2 NRLM Diesel Dved: No. 2 MV 500 ppm TxLED: No.2 Low Emission Low Sulfur Diesel; No. 2 Low Sulfur Diesel (TxLED) 500 ppm Sulfur Max; No. 2 Heating Oil 5000 NMA Unmarked; NEMA No. 2 Heating Oil; Heating Oil, No. 2 Low Sulfur 5000 ppm; No. 2 Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel Dyed with <6% Renewable Diesel Fuel; Ultra Low Sulfur No. 2 Diesel Dyed with <6% Renewable Diesel Fuel; No. 2 Diesel Dyed with <6% Renewable Diesel Fuel 15 ppm Sulfur Max; No. 2 Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel with <6% Renewable Diesel Fuel; Ultra Low Sulfur No. 2 Diesel with <6% Renewable Diesel Fuel; No. 2 Diesel with <6% Renewable Diesel Fuel 15 ppm Sulfur Max; Garyville Export Diesel; Export Diesel, Garyville; Diesel Fuel, Export Garyville; #2 Motor Vehicle ULSD 15 ppm with 0-5% Renewable Diesel; Marathon No. 2 ULSD with 0-5% Renewable Fuel with R100; Marathon No. 2 ULSD with 0-5% Renewable Fuel with R99; No. 2 Heating Oil 2000 ppm Sulfur Max, Clear (Undyed) Unmarked; Ultra Low Sulfur Heating Oil 15 ppm Sulfur Max, Clear (Undyed) Unmarked; ULS Heating Oil 15 ppm Clear (Undyed) Unmarked; ULS HO 15 ppm CLR; Ultra-Low Sulfur Heating Oil (<= 15ppm, Undyed); No. 2 Heating Oil 2000 ppm Sulfur Max, Dyed Unmarked; No. 2 Heating Oil 2000 ppm Sulfur Max, Dyed Marked; Ultra Low Sulfur Heating Oil 15 ppm Sulfur Max. Dved Unmarked: Ultra Low Sulfur Heating Oil 15 ppm Sulfur Max. Dved Marked: 15 ppm Sulfur Heating Oil Grade 67: 15 PPM Heating Oil: 15 PPM Dved Heating Oil: 0291MAR019; 0306MAR019; 0308MAR019; 0334MAR019; 0335MAR019; 0336MAR019; 0337MAR019; 0340MAR019; 0290MAR019 Complex Hydrocarbon Substance Fuel

Recommended Use: Restrictions on Use:

Product Code:

Chemical Family:

All others.

Manufacturer, Importer, or Responsible Party Name and Address: MARATHON CANADA MARKETING, Ltd. Canadian Address Here

| SDS information: | 1-419-421-3070 |
|----------------------|----------------|
| Emergency Telephone: | 1-877-627-5463 |

2. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION

Classification

OSHA Regulatory Status

This chemical is considered hazardous by the 2012 OSHA Hazard Communication Standard (29 CFR 1910.1200)

| Flammable liquids | Category 3 |
|--|------------|
| Acute toxicity - Inhalation (Dusts/Mists) | Category 4 |
| Skin corrosion/irritation | Category 2 |
| Carcinogenicity | Category 2 |
| Specific target organ toxicity (single exposure) | Category 3 |
| Specific target organ toxicity (repeated exposure) | Category 2 |
| Aspiration toxicity | Category 1 |
| Acute aquatic toxicity | Category 2 |
| Chronic aquatic toxicity | Category 2 |

Hazards Not Otherwise Classified (HNOC)

Static accumulating flammable liquid

Label elements

EMERGENCY OVERVIEW

Danger

FLAMMABLE LIQUID AND VAPOR May accumulate electrostatic charge and ignite or explode May be fatal if swallowed and enters airways Harmful if inhaled Causes skin irritation May cause respiratory irritation May cause drowsiness or dizziness Suspected of causing cancer May cause damage to organs (thymus, liver, bone marrow) through prolonged or repeated exposure Toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects

Appearance Yellow to Red Liquid

Physical State Liquid

Odor Hydrocarbon

Precautionary Statements - Prevention

Obtain special instructions before use Do not handle until all safety precautions have been read and understood Keep away from heat/sparks/open flames/hot surfaces. - No smoking Keep container tightly closed Ground/bond container and receiving equipment Use only non-sparking tools. Use explosion-proof electrical/ventilating/lighting/equipment Take precautionary measures against static discharge Do not breathe mist/vapors/spray Use only outdoors or in a well-ventilated area Wear protective gloves/protective clothing/eye protection/face protection Wash hands and any possibly exposed skin thoroughly after handling Avoid release to the environment

Precautionary Statements - Response

IF exposed or concerned: Get medical attention IF ON SKIN (or hair): Take off immediately all contaminated clothing. Rinse skin with water/shower If skin irritation occurs: Get medical attention Wash contaminated clothing before reuse IF INHALED: Remove victim to fresh air and keep at rest in a position comfortable for breathing Call a POISON CENTER or doctor if you feel unwell IF SWALLOWED: Immediately call a POISON CENTER or doctor Do NOT induce vomiting In case of fire: Use water spray, fog or regular foam for extinction Collect spillage

Precautionary Statements - Storage

Store in a well-ventilated place. Keep container tightly closed Keep cool Store locked up

Precautionary Statements - Disposal

Dispose of contents/container at an approved waste disposal plant

3. COMPOSITION/INFORMATION ON INGREDIENTS

No. 2 Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel is a complex mixture of paraffins, cycloparaffins, olefins and aromatic hydrocarbon chain lengths predominantly in the range of eleven to twenty carbons. May contain up to 5% Renewable Diesel. May contain small amounts of dye and other additives (<0.15%) which are not considered hazardous at the concentration(s) used. May contain a trace amount of benzene (<0.01%). Contains a trace amount of sulfur (<0.0015%)

Composition Information:

| Name | CAS Number | % Concentration |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| No. 2 Diesel Fuel | 68476-34-6 | 50-100 |
| Kerosine (petroleum) | 8008-20-6 | 0-50 |
| Alkanes, C10-C20 branched and linear | 928771-01-1 | 0-5 |
| Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 0.3-2.6 |

All concentrations are percent by weight unless material is a gas. Gas concentrations are in percent by volume.

4. FIRST AID MEASURES

First Aid Measures

| General Advice: | In case of accident or if you feel unwell, seek medical advice immediately (show directions for use or safety data sheet if possible). |
|-----------------|--|
| Inhalation: | Remove to fresh air. If not breathing, institute rescue breathing. If breathing is difficult, ensure airway is clear, give oxygen and continue to monitor. If heart has stopped, immediately begin cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR). Keep affected person warm and at rest. GET IMMEDIATE MEDICAL ATTENTION. |
| Skin Contact: | Immediately wash exposed skin with plenty of soap and water while removing contaminated clothing and shoes. May be absorbed through the skin in harmful amounts. Get medical attention if irritation persists. Any injection injury from high pressure equipment should be evaluated immediately by a physician as potentially serious (See NOTES TO PHYSICIAN). |
| | Place contaminated clothing in closed container until cleaned or discarded. If clothing is to be laundered, inform the person performing the operation of contaminant's hazardous properties. Destroy contaminated, non-chemical resistant footwear. |

| Eye Contact: | Flush immediately with large amounts of water for at least 15 minutes. Eyelids should be held away from the eyeball to ensure thorough rinsing. Gently remove contacts while flushing. Get medical attention if irritation persists. |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Ingestion: | Do not induce vomiting because of danger of aspirating liquid into lungs, causing serious damage and chemical pneumonitis. If spontaneous vomiting occurs, keep head below hips, or if patient is lying down, turn body and head to side to prevent aspiration and monitor for breathing difficulty. Never give anything by mouth to an unconscious person. Keep affected person warm and at rest. GET IMMEDIATE MEDICAL ATTENTION. |
| Most important signs and symptom | s, both short-term and delayed with overexposure |
| Adverse Effects: | Irritating to the skin and mucous membranes. Symptoms may include redness, itching, and inflammation. May cause nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and signs of nervous system depression: headache, drowsiness, dizziness, loss of coordination, disorientation and fatigue. Aspiration hazard. May cause coughing, chest pains, shortness of breath, pulmonary edema and/or chemical pneumonitis. Repeated or prolonged skin contact may cause drying, reddening, itching and cracking. Prolonged or repeated exposure may cause adverse effects to the thymus, liver, and bone marrow. |
| Indication of any immediate medica | l attention and special treatment needed |
| Notes To Physician: | INHALATION: This material (or a component) sensitizes the myocardium to the effects of sympathomimetic amines. Epinephrine and other sympathomimetic drugs may initiate cardiac arrhythmias in individuals exposed to this material. Administration of sympathomimetic drugs should be avoided. |
| | SKIN: Leaks or accidents involving high-pressure equipment may inject a stream of material through the skin and initially produce an injury that may not appear serious. Only a small puncture wound may appear on the skin surface but, without proper treatment and depending on the nature, original pressure, volume, and location of the injected material, can compromise blood supply to an affected body part. Prompt surgical debridement of the wound may be necessary to prevent irreversible loss of function and/or the affected body part. High pressure injection injuries may be SERIOUS SURGICAL EMERGENCIES. |
| | hazard. Induction of emesis is not recommended. |
| | 5. FIRE-FIGHTING MEASURES |

Suitable extinguishing media

For small fires, Class B fire extinguishing media such as CO2, dry chemical, foam (AFFF/ATC) or water spray can be used. For large fires, water spray, fog or foam (AFFF/ATC) can be used. Firefighting should be attempted only by those who are adequately trained and equipped with proper protective equipment.

Unsuitable extinguishing media

Do not use straight water streams to avoid spreading fire.

Specific hazards arising from the chemical

This product has been determined to be a flammable liquid per the OSHA Hazard Communication Standard and should be handled accordingly. May accumulate electrostatic charge and ignite or explode. Vapors may travel along the ground or be moved by ventilation and ignited by many sources such as pilot lights, sparks, electric motors, static discharge, or other ignition sources at locations distant from material handling. Flashback can occur along vapor trail. For additional fire related information, see NFPA 30 or the Emergency Response Guidebook 128.

Hazardous combustion products

Smoke, carbon monoxide, and other products of incomplete combustion.

Explosion data

Sensitivity to Mechanical Impact No.

Sensitivity to Static Discharge Yes.

Special protective equipment and precautions for firefighters

Firefighters should wear full protective clothing and positive-pressure self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) with a full face-piece, as appropriate. Avoid using straight water streams. Water spray and foam (AFFF/ATC) must be applied carefully to avoid frothing and from as far a distance as possible. Avoid excessive water spray application. Keep surrounding area cool with water spray from a distance and prevent further ignition of combustible material. Keep run-off water out of sewers and water sources.

Additional firefighting tactics

FIRES INVOLVING TANKS OR CAR/TRAILER LOADS: Fight fire from maximum distance or use unmanned hose holders or monitor nozzles. Cool containers with flooding quantities of water until well after the fire is out. Do not direct water at source of leak or safety devices; icing may occur. Withdraw immediately in case of rising sound from venting safety devices or discoloration of tank. ALWAYS stay away from tanks engulfed in fire. For massive fire, use unmanned hose holders or monitor nozzles: if this is impossible, withdraw from area and let fire burn.

EVACUATION: Consider initial downwind evacuation for at least 1000 feet. If tank, rail car or tank truck is involved in a fire. ISOLATE for 5280 feet (1 mile) in all directions; also, consider initial evacuation of 5280 feet (1 mile) in all directions.

| <u>NFPA</u> | Health 1 | Flammability 2 | Instability 0 | Special Hazard - | | | |
|---|---|---|---------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | 6. ACCIDENTAL RELEASE MEASURES | | | | | | |
| Personal precautions: | | Keep public away. Isolate and evacuate area. Shut off source if safe to do so. Eliminate all ignition sources. All contaminated surfaces will be slippery. | | | | | |
| Protective equipment: Use personal protection measures as recommended in Section 8. | | | | ction 8. | | | |
| Emergency procedures | : | Advise authorities and National Response Center (800-424-8802) if the product has entered a water course or sewer. Notify local health and pollution control agencies, if appropriate. | | | | | |
| Environmental precaution | vironmental precautions: Avoid release to the environment. Avoid subsoil penetration. | | | | | | |
| Methods and materials t containment: | naterials for Contain liquid with sand or soil. Prevent spilled material from entering storm drains, see and open waterways. | | | m entering storm drains, sewers, | | | |
| Methods and materials t up: | for cleaning | ng Use suitable absorbent materials such as vermiculite, sand, or clay to clean up residual liquids. Recover and return free product to proper containers. When recovering free liquids ensure all equipment is grounded and bonded. Use only non-sparking tools. | | | | | |

7. HANDLING AND STORAGE

NEVER SIPHON THIS PRODUCT BY MOUTH. Use appropriate grounding and bonding Safe Handling Precautions: practices. Static accumulating flammable liquid. Bonding and grounding may be insufficient to eliminate the hazard from static electricity. Do not expose to heat, open flames, strong oxidizers or other sources of ignition. Vapors may travel along the ground or be moved by ventilation. Flashback may occur along vapor trails. No smoking. Use only non-sparking tools. Avoid breathing fumes, gas, or vapors. Use only with adequate ventilation. Avoid repeated and prolonged skin contact. Use personal protection measures as recommended in Section 8. Exercise good personal hygiene including removal of soiled clothing and prompt washing with soap and water. Do not cut, drill, grind or weld on empty containers since explosive residues may remain. Refer to applicable EPA, OSHA, NFPA and consistent state and local requirements. Hydrocarbons are basically non-conductors of electricity and can become electrostatically

charged during mixing, filtering, pumping at high flow rates or loading and transfer operations. If this charge reaches a sufficiently high level, sparks can form that may ignite the vapors of flammable liquids. Sudden release of hot organic chemical vapors or mists

| | from process equipment operating under elevated temperature and pressure, or sudden ingress of air into vacuum equipment may result in ignition of vapors or mists without the presence of obvious ignition sources. Nozzle spouts must be kept in contact with the containers or tank during the entire filling operation. |
|---------------------|---|
| | Portable containers should never be filled while in or on a motor vehicle or marine craft. Containers should be placed on the ground. Static electric discharge can ignite fuel vapors when filling non-grounded containers or vehicles on trailers. The nozzle spout must be kept in contact with the container before and during the entire filling operation. Use only approved containers. |
| | A buildup of static electricity can occur upon re-entry into a vehicle during fueling especially in cold or dry climate conditions. The charge is generated by the action of dissimilar fabrics (i.e., clothing and upholstery) rubbing across each other as a person enters/exits the vehicle. A flash fire can result from this discharge if sufficient flammable vapors are present. Therefore, do not get back in your vehicle while refueling. |
| | Cellular phones and other electronic devices may have the potential to emit electrical charges (sparks). Sparks in potentially explosive atmospheres (including fueling areas such as gas stations) could cause an explosion if sufficient flammable vapors are present. Therefore, turn off cellular phones and other electronic devices when working in potentially explosive atmospheres or keep devices inside your vehicle during refueling. |
| | High-pressure injection of any material through the skin is a serious medical emergency even though the small entrance wound at the injection site may not initially appear serious. These injection injuries can occur from high-pressure equipment such as paint spray or grease or guns, fuel injectors, or pinhole leaks in hoses or hydraulic lines and should all be considered serious. High pressure injection injuries may be SERIOUS SURGICAL EMERGENCIES (See First Aid Section 4). |
| Storage Conditions: | Store in properly closed containers that are appropriately labeled and in a cool. |

orage Conditions: Store in properly closed containers that are appropriately labeled and in a cool, well-ventilated area. Do not store near an open flame, heat or other sources of ignition.

Incompatible Materials

Strong oxidizing agents.

8. EXPOSURE CONTROLS/PERSONAL PROTECTION

| Name | ACGIH TLV | OSHA PELS: | OSHA - Vacated PELs | NIOSH IDLH |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| No. 2 Diesel Fuel 68476-34-6 | 100 mg/m ³ TWA Skin - potential significant contribution to overall exposure by the cutaneous route | - | - | - |
| Kerosine (petroleum) 8008-20-6 | 200 mg/m ³ TWA Skin - potential significant contribution to overall exposure by the cutaneous route | - | - | - |
| Alkanes, C10-C20 branched and linear 928771-01-1 | - | - | - | - |
| Naphthalene 91-20-3 | 10 ppm TWA Skin - potential significant contribution to overall exposure by the cutaneous route | TWA: 10 ppm TWA: 50 mg/m³ | 10 ppm TWA 50 mg/m³ TWA 15 ppm STEL 75 mg/m³ STEL | 250 ppm |
| Notes: | The manufacturer 1989 air contamina were vacated in 19 | has voluntarily elected to ants standard in its SDSs 992. | o provide exposure limits s, even though certain of | contained in OSHA's those exposure limits |
| Engineering measures: | Local or general e mechanical ventila | xhaust required in an end tion equipment that is e | closed area or with inade plosion-proof. | quate ventilation. Use |

SDS ID NO.: 0290MAR019 Product name: Marathon Petroleum No. 2 Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel

Personal protective equipment

| Eye protection: | Use goggles or face-shield if the potential for splashing exists. |
|---------------------------|---|
| Skin and body protection: | Wear neoprene, nitrile or PVA gloves to prevent skin contact. Glove suitability is based on workplace conditions and usage. Contact the glove manufacturer for specific advice on glove selection and breakthrough times. |
| Respiratory protection: | Use a NIOSH approved organic vapor chemical cartridge or supplied air respirators when there is the potential for airborne exposures to exceed permissible exposure limits or if excessive vapors are generated. Observe respirator assigned protection factors (APFs) criteria cited in federal OSHA 29 CFR 1910.134. Self-contained breathing apparatus should be used for fire fighting. |
| Hygiene measures: | Handle in accordance with good industrial hygiene and safety practice. Avoid contact with skin, eyes and clothing. |

9. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

| Information on basic physical and c | hemical properties |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Physical State | Liquid |
| Appearance | Yellow to Red Liquid |
| Color | Yellow to Red |
| Odor | Hydrocarbon |
| Odor Threshold | No data available. |
| Property_ | Values (Method) |
| Melting Point / Freezing Point | No data available. |
| Initial Boiling Point / Boiling Range | 154-366 °C / 310-691 °F (ASTM D86) |
| Flash Point | 58-76 °C / 136-168 °F (ASTM D93) |
| Evaporation Rate | No data available. |
| Flammability (solid, gas) | Not applicable. |
| Flammability Limit in Air (%): | |
| Upper Flammability Limit: | No data available. |
| Lower Flammability Limit: | No data available. |
| Explosion limits: | No data available. |
| Vapor Pressure | No data available. |
| Vapor Density | No data available. |
| Specific Gravity / Relative Density | 0.82-0.86 |
| Water Solubility | No data available. |
| Solubility in other solvents | No data available. |
| Partition Coefficient | No data available. |
| Decomposition temperature | No data available. |
| pH: | Not applicable |
| Autoignition Temperature | No data available. |
| Kinematic Viscosity | 1.90-3.32 cSt @ 40°C (ASTM D445) |
| Dynamic Viscosity | No data available. |
| Explosive Properties | No data available. |
| VOC Content (%) | No data available. |
| Density | No data available. |
| Bulk Density | Not applicable. |

10. STABILITY AND REACTIVITY

ReactivityThe product is non-reactive under normal conditions.Chemical stabilityThe material is stable at 70°F (21°C), 760 mmHg pressure.Possibility of hazardous reactionsNone under normal processing.

| Hazardous polymerization | Will not occur. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Conditions to avoid | Excessive heat, sources of ignition, open flame. |
| Incompatible Materials | Strong oxidizing agents. |
| Hazardous decomposition products | None known under normal conditions of use. |

11. TOXICOLOGICAL INFORMATION

Potential short-term adverse effects from overexposures

| Inhalation | Harmful if inhaled. May cause irritation of respiratory tract. May cause drowsiness or dizziness. Breathing high concentrations of this material in a confined space or by intentional abuse can cause irregular heartbeats which can cause death. |
|--------------|--|
| Eye contact | Exposure to vapor or contact with liquid may cause mild eye irritation, including tearing, stinging, and redness. |
| Skin contact | Irritating to skin. Effects may become more serious with repeated or prolonged contact. May be absorbed through the skin in harmful amounts. |
| Ingestion | May be fatal if swallowed or vomited and enters airways. May cause irritation of the mouth, throat and gastrointestinal tract. |

Acute toxicological data

| Name | Oral LD50 | Dermal LD50 | Inhalation LC50 |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| No. 2 Diesel Fuel 68476-34-6 | > 5000 mg/kg (Rat) | > 2000 mg/kg (Rabbit) | >1 - <5 mg/L (Rat) 4 h |
| Kerosine (petroleum) 8008-20-6 | > 5000 mg/kg (Rat) | > 2000 mg/kg (Rabbit) | > 5.28 mg/L (Rat) 4 h |
| Alkanes, C10-C20 branched and linear 928771-01-1 | - | - | >1 - <5 mg/l (Rat) 4 h |
| Naphthalene 91-20-3 | 490 mg/kg (Rat) | > 2000 mg/kg (Rabbit) | > 340 mg/m³ (Rat) 1 h |

Delayed and immediate effects as well as chronic effects from short and long-term exposure

MIDDLE DISTILLATES, PETROLEUM: Long-term repeated (lifetime) skin exposure to similar materials has been reported to result in an increase in skin tumors in laboratory rodents. The relevance of these findings to humans is not clear at this time. Altered mental state, drowsiness, peripheral motor neuropathy, irreversible brain damage (so-called Petrol Sniffer's Encephalopathy), delirium, seizures, and sudden death have been reported from repeated overexposure to some hydrocarbon solvents, naphthas, and gasoline.

MIDDLE DISTILLATES WITH CRACKED STOCKS: Light cracked distillates have been shown to be carcinogenic in animal tests and have tested positive with in vitro genotoxicity tests. Repeated dermal exposures to high concentrations in test animals resulted in reduced litter size and litter weight, and increased fetal resorptions at maternally toxic doses. Dermal exposure to high concentrations resulted in severe skin irritation with weight loss and some mortality. Inhalation exposure to high concentrations resulted in respiratory tract irritation, lung changes/infiltration/accumulation, and reduction in lung function.

ISOPARAFFINS: Studies in laboratory animals have shown that long-term exposure to similar materials (isoparaffins) can cause kidney damage and kidney cancer in male laboratory rats. However, in-depth research indicates that these findings are unique to the male rat, and that these effects are not relevant to humans.

NAPHTHALENE: Severe jaundice, neurotoxicity (kernicterus) and fatalities have been reported in young children and infants as a result of hemolytic anemia from overexposure to naphthalene. Persons with glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency are more prone to the hemolytic effects of naphthalene. Adverse effects on the kidney have been reported in persons overexposed to naphthalene but these effects are believed to be a consequence of hemolytic anemia, and not a direct effect. Hemolytic anemia has been observed in laboratory animals exposed to naphthalene. Laboratory rodents exposed to naphthalene vapor for 2 years (lifetime studies) developed non-neoplastic and neoplastic tumors and inflammatory lesions of the nasal and respiratory tract. Cataracts and other adverse effects on the eye have been observed in laboratory animals exposed to high levels of naphthalene. Findings from a large number of bacterial and mammalian cell mutation assays have been negative. A few studies have shown chromosomal effects (elevated levels of Sister Chromatid Exchange or chromosomal aberrations) in vitro. Naphthalene has been classified as Possibly Carcinogenic to Humans (2B) by IARC, based on findings from studies in laboratory animals.

DIESEL EXHAUST: The combustion of diesel fuels produces gases including carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, oxides of nitrogen and/or sulfur, and hydrocarbons that can be irritating and hazardous with overexposure. Long-term occupational overexposure to diesel exhaust and diesel exhaust particulate matter has been associated with an increased risk of respiratory disease, including lung cancer, and is characterized as a "known human carcinogen" by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), as "a reasonably anticipated human carcinogen" by the National Toxicology Program, and as "likely to be carcinogenic to humans" by the EPA, based upon animal and occupational exposure studies. However, uncertainty exists with these classifications because of deficiencies in the supporting occupational exposure/epidemiology studies, including reliable exposure estimates. Lifetime animal inhalation studies with pulmonary overloading exposure concentrations of diesel exhaust emissions have produced tumors and other adverse health effects. However, in more recent long-term animal inhalation studies of diesel exhaust emissions, no increase in tumor incidence and in fact a substantial reduction in adverse health effects along with significant reductions in the levels of hazardous material emissions were observed and are associated with fuel composition alterations coupled with new technology diesel engines.

Adverse effects related to the physical, chemical and toxicological characteristics

| Signs and Symptoms | Irritating to the skin and mucous membranes. Symptoms may include redness, itching, and inflammation. May cause nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and signs of nervous system depression: headache, drowsiness, dizziness, loss of coordination, disorientation and fatigue. Aspiration hazard. May cause coughing, chest pains, shortness of breath, pulmonary edema and/or chemical pneumonitis. Repeated or prolonged skin contact may cause drying, reddening, itching and cracking. Prolonged or repeated exposure may cause damage to organs. |
|--------------------|---|
| | damage to organs. |

| Skin corrosion/irritation | Causes skin irritation. |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Serious eye damage/eye irritation | None known. |
| Sensitization | None known. |
| Mutagenic effects | None known. |

Carcinogenicity

Suspected of causing cancer.

| Name | ACGIH | IARC | NTP | OSHA | |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|--|
| | (Class) | (Class) | | | |
| No. 2 Diesel Fuel | Confirmed animal | Not Classifiable (3) | Not Listed | Not Listed | |
| 68476-34-6 | carcinogen (A3) | | | | |
| Kerosine (petroleum) | Confirmed animal | Not Classifiable (3) | Not Listed | Not Listed | |
| 8008-20-6 | carcinogen (A3) | | | | |
| Alkanes, C10-C20 branched | Not Listed | Not Listed | Not Listed | Not Listed | |
| and linear | | | | | |
| 928771-01-1 | | | | | |

Cancer designations are listed in the table below

| Naphthalene 91-20-3 | Confirmed animal carcinogen (A3) | Possible human carcinogen (2B) | Reasonably anticipated to be a human carcinogen | Not Listed | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|------------|--|--|
| Reproductive toxicity | None know | None known. | | | | |
| Specific Target Organ To (STOT) - single exposure | exicity Respiratory | Respiratory system. Central nervous system. | | | | |
| Specific Target Organ To (STOT) - repeated expos | exicity Thymus. Liv ure | Thymus. Liver. Bone marrow. | | | | |
| Aspiration hazard | May be fata | l if swallowed or vomited an | d enters airways. | | | |

12. ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION

Ecotoxicity

This product should be considered toxic to aquatic organisms, with the potential to cause long lasting adverse effects in the aquatic environment.

| Name | Algae/aquatic plants | Fish | Toxicity to Microorganisms | Crustacea |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| No. 2 Diesel Fuel 68476-34-6 | - | 96-hr LC50 = 35 mg/l Fathead minnow (flow-through) | - | 48-hr EL50 = 6.4 mg/l Daphnia magna |
| Kerosine (petroleum) 8008-20-6 | 72-hr EL50 = 5.0-11 mg/l Algae | 96-hr LL50 = 18-25 mg/l Fish | - | 48-hr EL50 = 1.4-21 mg/l Invertebrates |
| Alkanes, C10-C20 branched and linear 928771-01-1 | - | - | - | - |
| Naphthalene 91-20-3 | - | 96-hr LC50 = 0.91-2.82 mg/l Rainbow trout (static) 96-hr LC50 = 1.99 mg/l Fathead minnow (static) | - | 48-hr LC50 = 1.6 mg/l Daphnia magna |
| Persistence and degradability Expected to be inherently biodegradable. | | | | |
| Bioaccumulation | Has the pote | ntial to bioaccumulate. | | |

Mobility in soil May partition into air, soil and water.

No information available.

Other adverse effects

13. DISPOSAL CONSIDERATIONS

Description of Waste Residues

This material may be a flammable liquid waste.

Safe Handling of Wastes

Handle in accordance with applicable local, state, and federal regulations. Use personal protection measures as required. Use appropriate grounding and bonding practices. Use only non-sparking tools. Do not expose to heat, open flames, strong oxidizers or other sources of ignition. No smoking.

Disposal of Wastes / Methods of Disposal

The user is responsible for determining if any discarded material is a hazardous waste (40 CFR 262.11). Dispose of in accordance with federal, state and local regulations.

Methods of Contaminated Packaging Disposal

Empty containers should be completely drained and then discarded or recycled, if possible. Do not cut, drill, grind or weld on empty containers since explosive residues may be present. Dispose of in accordance with federal, state and local regulations.

14. TRANSPORT INFORMATION

| DOT (49 CFR 172.101): | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| UN Proper Shipping Name: | Fuel Oil, No. 2 NA 1993 |
| UN/Identification No: | |
| Class: | 3 |
| Packing Group: | III |
| TDG (Canada): | |
| UN Proper Shipping Name: | Diesel Fuel |
| UN/Identification No: | UN 1202 |
| Transport Hazard Class(es): | 3 |
| Packing Group: | 111 |
| | |

15. REGULATORY INFORMATION

US Federal Regulatory Information:

US TSCA Chemical Inventory Section 8(b):

This product and/or its components are listed on the TSCA Chemical Inventory.

EPA Superfund Amendment & Reauthorization Act (SARA):

SARA Section 302:

This product does not contain any component(s) included on EPA's Extremely Hazardous Substance (EHS) List.

| Name | CERCLA/SARA - Section 302 Extremely Hazardous Substances and TPQs |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| No. 2 Diesel Fuel | NA |
| Kerosine (petroleum) | NA |
| Alkanes, C10-C20 branched and linear | NA |
| Naphthalene | NA |

SARA Section 304:

This product may contain component(s) identified either as an EHS or a CERCLA Hazardous substance which in case of a spill or release may be subject to SARA reporting requirements:

| Name | Hazardous Substances RQs |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| No. 2 Diesel Fuel | NA |
| Kerosine (petroleum) | NA |
| Alkanes, C10-C20 branched and linear | NA |
| Naphthalene | 100 lb final RQ |
| | 45.4 kg final RQ |

SARA Section 311/312:

The following EPA hazard categories apply to this product:

Acute Health Hazard Fire Hazard Chronic Health Hazard

SARA Section 313:

This product may contain component(s), which if in exceedance of the de minimus threshold, may be subject to the reporting requirements of SARA Title III Section 313 Toxic Release Reporting (Form R).

| Name | CERCLA/SARA 313 Emission reporting: |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| No. 2 Diesel Fuel | None |
| Kerosine (petroleum) | None |
| Alkanes, C10-C20 branched and linear | None |
| Naphthalene | 0.1 % de minimis concentration |

State and Community Right-To-Know Regulations:

The following component(s) of this material are identified on the regulatory lists below:

No. 2 Diesel Fuel

0290MAR019 Marathon Petroleum No. 2 Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel

Louisiana Right-To-Know: California Proposition 65: New Jersey Right-To-Know: Pennsylvania Right-To-Know: Massachusetts Right-To Know: Florida Substance List: Rhode Island Right-To-Know: Michigan Critical Materials Register List: Massachusetts Extraordinarily Hazardous Substances: California - Regulated Carcinogens: Pennsylvania RTK - Special Hazardous Substances: New Jersey - Special Hazardous Substances: New Jersey - Environmental Hazardous Substances List: Illinois - Toxic Air Contaminants: New York - Reporting of Releases Part 597 -List of Hazardous Substances: Kerosine (petroleum) Louisiana Right-To-Know: California Proposition 65: New Jersey Right-To-Know: Pennsylvania Right-To-Know: Massachusetts Right-To Know: Florida Substance List: Rhode Island Right-To-Know: Michigan Critical Materials Register List: Massachusetts Extraordinarily Hazardous Substances: California - Regulated Carcinogens: Pennsylvania RTK - Special Hazardous Substances: New Jersey - Special Hazardous Substances: New Jersey - Environmental Hazardous Substances List: Illinois - Toxic Air Contaminants: New York - Reporting of Releases Part 597 -List of Hazardous Substances: Alkanes, C10-C20 branched and linear Louisiana Right-To-Know: California Proposition 65: New Jersey Right-To-Know: Pennsylvania Right-To-Know: Massachusetts Right-To Know: Florida Substance List: Rhode Island Right-To-Know: Michigan Critical Materials Register List: Massachusetts Extraordinarily Hazardous Substances: California - Regulated Carcinogens: Pennsylvania RTK - Special Hazardous Substances: New Jersey - Special Hazardous Substances: New Jersey - Environmental Hazardous Substances List: Illinois - Toxic Air Contaminants: New York - Reporting of Releases Part 597 -List of Hazardous Substances: Naphthalene Louisiana Right-To-Know: California Proposition 65:

Revision Date 06/01/2016

Not Listed Not Listed SN 2444 Not Listed SN 2444 TPQ: 10000 lb (Under N.J.A.C. 7:1G, environmental hazardous substances in mixtures such as gasoline or new and used petroleum oil may be reported under these categories) Not Listed Not Listed Not Listed Not Listed SN 1091 Present Present Not Listed SN 1091 TPQ: 10000 lb (Under N.J.A.C. 7:1G, environmental hazardous substances in mixtures such as gasoline or new and used petroleum oil may be reported under these categories) Not Listed Carcinogen, initial date 4/19/02

| New Jersey Right-To-Know: Pennsylvania Right-To-Know: Massachusetts Right-To Know: Florida Substance List: Rhode Island Right-To-Know: Michigan Critical Materials Register List: Massachusetts Extraordinarily Hazardous Substances: California - Regulated Carcinogens: Pennsylvania RTK - Special Hazardous Substances: New Jersey - Special Hazardous Substances: New Jersey - Environmental Hazardous Substances List: Illinois - Toxic Air Contaminants: | SN 1322 SN 3758 Environmental hazard Present (particulate) Present Not Listed Toxic; Flammable Not Listed Not Listed Not Listed Not Listed Carcinogen SN 1322 TPQ: 500 lb (Reportable at the de minimis quantity of >0.1%) Present |
|---|--|
| Substances List: Illinois - Toxic Air Contaminants: New York - Reporting of Releases Part 597 - List of Hazardous Substances: | >0.1%) Present 100 lb RQ (air); 1 lb RQ (land/water) |

Canada DSL/NDSL Inventory:

This product and/or its components are listed either on the Domestic Substances List (DSL) or are exempt.

Canadian Regulatory Information:

This product has been classified in accordance with the hazard criteria of the Controlled Products Regulations and the SDS contains all of the information required by those regulations.

| Name | Canada - WHMIS: Classifications of | Canada - WHMIS: Ingredient |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Substances: | Disclosure: |
| No. 2 Diesel Fuel | B3,D2A,D2B | 0.1% |
| Kerosine (petroleum) | B3,D2B | 1% |
| Alkanes, C10-C20 branched and linear | B3,D2A,D2B | 0.1% |
| Naphthalene | B4,D2A | 0.1% |



Note:

Not applicable.

16. OTHER INFORMATION

| Prepared By | Toxicology and Product Safety |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Issue Date Revision Notes | 10/31/2016 |
| Revision Date | 06/01/2016 |

Disclaimer

The information provided in this Safety Data Sheet is correct to the best of our knowledge, information and belief at the date of its publication. The information is intended as guidance for safe handling, use, processing, storage, transportation, accidental release, clean-up and disposal and is not considered a warranty or quality specification. The information relates only to the specific material designated and may not be valid for such material used in combination with any other materials or in any process, unless specified in the text.