Continuous Monitoring Systems (CMS) Reporting of Excess Emissions & CMS Downtime



Live Expert Series

Eric Swisher | eswisher@all4inc.com 610-422-1117 Matt Carideo | mcarideo@all4inc.com 610-422-1139 Aditya Shivkumar | ashivkumar@all4inc.com

281-201-1239

Objective

 Provide a "live", engaged discussion on completion of Excess Emissions and CMS Performance Reports





07-21-2021





07-21-2021

Compliance Demonstration



Building a Part 60 or 63 Performance Summary Report



Performance Summary Report

Reporting period dates: From _____ to _____

Company:

Emission Limitation

Address:

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.:

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit:

Process Unit Description:

Total source operating time in reporting period:

Emissions Data Summary		CMS Performance Summary	
1. Duration of excess emission in reporting period due to:		1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	
a. Startup/shutdown		a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	
b. Control equipment problems		b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	
c. Process problems		c. Quality assurance calibration	
d. Other known causes		d. Other known causes	
e. Unknown causes		e. Unknown causes	
2. Total duration of excess emission		2. Total CMS Downtime	
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time]	%	3. [Total CMS Downtime] x (100) [Total source operating time]	%

YOUR ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE IS CLEARLY OUR BUSINESS.

Full Report (Additional Content)

- □ For each excess emissions period:
 - Start and End (Date/Time)
 - Nature and cause of any malfunction
 - Corrective action taken
 - Preventative measures adopted
- For each CMS downtime period:
 - Start and End (Date/Time)
 - Nature of the system repairs or adjustments





Data Assessment Report (DAR)

Referenced in:

- CEMS: 40 CFR Part 60 Appendix F, Procedure 1 (P1) [§7]
- COMS: 40 CFR Part 60 Appendix F, Procedure 3 (P3) [§10.10]
- Applicability & Frequency:
 - "At the reporting interval specified in the applicable regulation..."



Data Assessment Report (DAR)

Contents (Overlap):

- Source owner or operator name and address.
- Identification and location of monitors in the CEMS.
- Manufacturer and model number of each monitor in the CEMS.



Data Assessment Report (DAR)

Contents (Additional):

- CEMS Assessment (CD, CGA, RATA, RAA)
 - Dates
 - Results (including cylinder gases certified values, the CEMS responses, and the calculations)
 - Out-of-Control (OOC) Information
 - Failing Results
 - Corrective Actions
 - Follow-up Audit



Data Assessment Report (DAR)

Actions:

- Review Gaps
- Reference other Submitted Results
 - Available upon request?
- Specifically Address DAR in Current Reports



Questions or Comments?



Eric SwisherMatt CarideoTechnical ManagerConsulting Engineer(610) 422-1117(610) 422-1139eswisher@all4inc.commcarideo@all4inc.com



EXAMPLES



Regrouping Example

Opacity Exceedance Events - Duration Plant: [PLANT NAME], [STATE] Report Period: 01/01/2015 00:00 Through 06/30/2015 23:59 Time Online Criteria: 0 minute(s)						
Source: Parameter: Interval:	[SOURCE] OPACITY 001M				Standard Limit: 20	
	Start	End	Duration		Reason Code - Description	
Incident ID	Date/Time	Date/Time	(minutes)	Max Value	Action Code - Description	
1	01/26/2015 12:36	01/26/2015 13:23	54	97.86	02 - XS EMISSION - PROCESS PROBLEM	
					15 - SEE LOG BOOK/MEMO	
Comments: Uncombined water in stack due to boiler tube leak causing errant opacity readings.						



Regrouping Example

INCORRECT!!!

Emission data summary ^a		CMS performance summary ^a		
1. Duration of excess emissions in the reporting period		1. CMS downtime in the reporting period due to:		
due to:				
a. Startup/shutdown	0	a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
b. Control equipment problems	0	b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	_
c. Process problems	54	c. Quality assurance calibration	0	_
d. Other known causes	0	d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total duration of excess emissions	0	2. Total CMS Downtime	0	
3. Total duration of excess emissions x		3. [Total CMS Downtime] x (100) / [Total		
(100) / [Total source operating time]	<i>0.02</i> % ^b	source operating time]	0.00	% ^b
				-

^a For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

^b For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in 40 CFR 60.7(c) shall be submitted.



Regrouping Example

CORRECT!!!

Emission data summary ^a		CMS performance summary ^a		
1. Duration of excess emissions in the reporting period		1. CMS downtime in the reporting period due to:		
due to:				
a. Startup/shutdown	0	a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	= 54	
b. Control equipment problems	0	b. Non Marin	0	
c. Process problems	0	c. Quality assurance calibration	0	
d. Other known causes	0	d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total duration of excess emissions	0	2. Total CMS Downtime	0	
3. Total duration of excess emissions x		3. [Total CMS Downtime] x (100) / [Total		
(100) / [Total source operating time]	<i>0.00</i> % ^b	source operating time]	0.02	% ^b

^a For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

^b For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in 40 CFR 60.7(c) shall be submitted.



CMS DOWNTIME



What Is CMS Downtime?

- CMS downtime classified as periods during which the CMS are:
 - Inoperative
 - Routine Maintenance or Other Repair
 - Out-of-Control (OOC)
 - Operating not Generating Accurate Data
- Cannot express measurements in terms of the emissions standard at the required averaging period.



Downtime Report: Buckets



- "Reporting Buckets" consist of:
 - Monitoring equipment malfunction
 - Non-monitoring Equipment malfunction
 - Quality assurance calibration
 - Other known causes

 $- \times 100$

• Other unknown causes

YOUR ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE IS CLEARLY OUR BUSINESS.

Downtime Report: Calculation



Downtime Duration or Increments

- Opacity: 1 or 6-min
- CEMS: 1-hr
- Calculate for each "bucket" as well as total
- Calculate total downtime as percentage of total source operating time
 - 5% threshold

 $- \times 100$

- If < 5%; summary report
- If \geq 5%; full report



EXCESS EMISSIONS



What Are CMS Excess Emissions?

- Good data only
- Emissions are in terms of the relevant standard
- Demonstrate compliance <u>in terms of the standard</u>
 - All necessary components (e.g., NO_X CEMS utilizing O₂)
 - Calculation methodology
- Averaging Period
 - Validation criteria
 - Is there enough "good" data to demonstrate compliance?
- Possible regrouping to downtime



07-21-2021

Excess Emissions: Buckets



"Reporting Buckets" consist of:

- Startup/shutdown
- Control equipment problems
- Process problems
- Other known causes
- Other unknown causes

 $\frac{Sum \ of \ Excess \ Emissions \ during \ Source \ Operation}{Sum \ of \ Operating \ Time} \times 100$



Excess Emissions: Calculations



- Excess Emissions Duration or Increments
 - Opacity: 1 or 6-min
 - CEMS: 1-hr
- Calculate for each bucket as well as total
- 1% threshold
 - If < 1%; summary report
 - If \geq 1%; full report

 $\frac{Sum \ of \ Excess \ Emissions \ during \ Source \ Operation}{Sum \ of \ Operating \ Time} \times 100$



U.S. EPA Reporting Guidance

 U.S. EPA Guidance: Handbook for the Review of Excess Emission Reports [May 1986]
EPA-340/1-86-011

EPA-340/1-86-011 Handbook for the Review of Excess Emission Reports



Enforcement



U.S. EPA Region 4 CEM Enforcement Plan [*May 5, 1989*]

THE CEM ENFORCEMENT PLAN

The first step of the CEP is the review of EERs. The review of an EER is essentially accomplished by summarizing an EER into the form presented in Figure 1. Although most state/local agencies already summarize EER into this form, we recommend that the sources be required by the state/local agencies to submit a summary EER along with the raw CEM data. This will significantly reduce the man-hours necessary for EER review and will assist when inputting the data into the CEM subset of AIRS. As each source has been acknowledged to be summarizing correctly, a less detailed review of raw CEM data should ensue.

The second step of the CEP is the determination of the appropriate follow-up action. In order to determine the appropriate follow-up action data from the summary EER will be used to target



Report Thresholds – NOT ENFORCEMENT

- If the CMS downtime total more than:
 - 5% of total source operating time
- If the excess emissions or operating parameter deviations total more than:
 - 1% of total source operating time
- Full report requiring a more in-depth description of each event must be submitted.



CMS Downtime & Excess Emissions

□ ≤ 2.0%

- Written Acknowledgement
- Encouragement
- □ >2.0% and ≤5.0%
 - Written



CMS Downtime & Excess Emissions

□ >5.0% and ≤10.0%

- Written Warning
- Additional Information of Occurrences
- Corrective Action Plan

 $\hfill\square$ >5.0% and ≤10.0% for 2 consecutive quarters OR >10%

- Notice of Violation (NOV)
- Performance Testing and Recertification
- Corrective



Questions or Comments?

Eric SwisherMatt CarideoTechnical DirectorConsulting Engineer(610) 422-1117(610) 422-1139eswisher@all4inc.commcarideo@all4inc.com

Aditya Shivkumar Technical Manager (610) 422-1139 ashivkumar@all4inc.com

